



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
2	TUESDAY, JANUARY 27, 2009, 9:30 A.M.
3	
4	
5	
6	SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I WOULD ASK THAT EVERYONE PLEASE RISE.
7	WE WILL BEGIN OUR MEETING WITH AN INVOCATION LED BY REVEREND
8	SKIP LINDENMAN, LA CANADA CONGRESS CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH IN LA
9	CANADA, FOLLOWED BY THE PLEDGE, LED BY MR. JERRY YAMAMOTO,
10	PRESIDENT OF THE SOUTH BAY CHAPTER NUMBER 53, HARBOR CITY,
11	VIETNAM VETERANS OF AMERICA. REVEREND LINDENMAN.
12	
13	REV. SKIP LINDENMAN: LET US PRAY. UNDER YOUR LAW WE LIVE, OH
14	GOD, AND BY YOUR WILL, WE GOVERN OURSELVES. HELP US AS GOOD
15	CITIZENS TO RESPECT NEIGHBORS WHOSE VIEWS DIFFER FROM OURS, SO
16	WITHOUT PARTISAN ANGER WE MAY WORK OUT ISSUES THAT DIVIDE US
17	TO, SERVE THE WELFARE OF MANKIND IN FREEDOM, AMEN.
18	
19	JERRY YAMAMOTO: FACE THE FLAG, PLACE YOUR RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR
20	HEART AND PLEASE JOIN IN RECITING THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.
21	[PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.]
22	
23	SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: JERRY, IF YOU'LL JOIN ME HERE, PLEASE.
24	MY PLEASURE TO PRESENT A CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO MR.
25	JERRY YAMAMOTO, WHO'S THE PRESIDENT, AS I SAID, OF THE SOUTH



- 1 BAY CHAPTER, THE VIETNAM AMERICANS OF AMERICA. HE IS ALSO A
- 2 FORMER DEPUTY WITH THE NATIONAL VIETNAM VETERANS OF AMERICA,
- 3 AND A PAST COMMANDER OF THE AMERICAN LEGION POST. HE SERVED IN
- 4 OUR UNITED STATES ARMY, RECEIVED THE UNITED STATES ARMY GOOD
- 5 CONDUCT MEDAL AND THE NATIONAL DEFENSE SERVICE MEDAL. HE IS
- 6 RETIRED RETIRED FROM CALPERS, WHERE HE WORKED AS A RETIREMENT
- 7 SPECIALIST. HE HAS LIVED IN THE FOURTH DISTRICT FOR OVER 51
- 8 YEARS. I WOULD ALSO SAY JUST AN INCREDIBLE ADVOCATE ON BEHALF
- 9 OF THE VETERANS, PARTICULARLY THE VIETNAM ERA, NAMING A
- 10 PORTION OF PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY AND OTHERS IN THEIR HONOR.
- 11 HE'S BEEN A TRUE ADVOCATE ON BEHALF OF VETERANS. SO ON BEHALF
- 12 OF MYSELF AND THE BOARD WE'D LIKE TO PRESENT THIS CERTIFICATE
- 13 OF APPRECIATION, JERRY. JUST THANK YOU, THANK YOU, THANK YOU,
- 14 FOR ALL YOU DO.

15

16 JERRY YAMAMOTO: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE.]

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MIKE?

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEY'RE MAKING A CORRECTION ON THE SCROLL.
- 21 HOLD THIS FOR ONE MOMENT?

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MIKE?



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS, IT IS A PLEASURE TO
- 2 INTRODUCE REVEREND SKIP LINDENMAN, WHO HAS BEEN SERVING AS
- 3 INTERIM MINISTER OF THE LA CANADA CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH, WHICH
- 4 IS AN AFFILIATE OF THE UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST SINCE 2002. HE
- 5 IS A GRADUATE OF WABASH COLLEGE IN INDIANA, AND A GRADUATE OF
- 6 BERKELEY SPECIFIC SCHOOL OF RELIGION IN 1970. HE HAS WORKED IN
- 7 BROADCASTING AND TELEVISION AND SERVED AS A CLASSICAL MUSIC
- 8 ANNOUNCER IN SAN FRANCISCO AND LOS ANGELES, AND WAS A NEWS
- 9 ANCHOR IN OREGON AND NEVADA. SO HE IS MARRIED, HIS WIFE
- 10 HARLENE FOR THE PAST 12 YEARS. PASTOR, THANK YOU FOR COMING
- 11 DOWN, OPENING US WITH PRAYER. [APPLAUSE.]

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE WILL CALL THE AGENDA.

14

- 15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE
- 16 BOARD. WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE THREE,
- 17 PRESENTATIONS AND SET MATTERS. ON ITEM S-2, AS INDICATED ON
- 18 THE POSTED AGENDA, THE INTERIM DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES
- 19 REQUESTS THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK, TO FEBRUARY 3RD,
- 20 2009.

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC HEARINGS 1 THROUGH 4, ON ITEM NUMBER
- 25 1, AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED AGENDA, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH



- 1 REQUESTS THAT THIS BE CONTINUED WITHOUT DISCUSSION TO APRIL
- 2 28TH, 2009.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

5

- 6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 2, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 7 POSTED AGENDA, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM
- 8 BE CONTINUED WITHOUT DISCUSSION TO APRIL 28TH, 2009.

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEMS 3 AND 4 HELD FOR PUBLIC HEARING. ON
- 13 PAGE 6, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 5 THROUGH 14, ON ITEM
- 14 NUMBER 5, THERE IS A REQUEST FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO
- 15 HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 7, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WOULD
- 16 ALSO LIKE TO ADD THAT-- ADD TO HIS RECOMMENDATION THAT THE
- 17 SHERIFF REPORT BACK IN ONE YEAR WITH THEIR REVIEW OF THE
- 18 EFFECTIVENESS AND/OR ANY UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES AND RELATED
- 19 RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE ORDINANCE. AND THE REMAINING ITEMS
- 20 UNDER BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IS BEFORE YOU.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, THE ITEMS BEFORE US, WITH
- 23 AMENDMENT TO ITEM NUMBER 7, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA,
- 24 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, SO ORDERED.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE'RE NOW ON PAGE 9, CONSENT CALENDAR,
- 2 ITEMS 15 THROUGH 29. ON ITEM NUMBER 15, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY
- 3 AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUEST THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM
- 4 NUMBER 17 THERE IS A REQUEST FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO
- 5 HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 18 THERE IS REQUESTS FROM
- 6 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 20
- 7 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS REQUESTS THIS ITEM BE HELD. ITEM
- 8 NUMBER 21, AS ON AGENDA DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH REQUESTS
- 9 THIS BE CONTINUED THREE WEEKS TO FEBRUARY 17TH, 2009.

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THOSE ITEMS BEFORE US, NOTING--

13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: I'M SORRY, I JUST NEEDED APPROVAL ON 21 TO

14 CONTINUE.

10

12

15

17

21

23

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 22, AS INDICATED ON

- 19 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH REQUESTS
- 20 THIS BE CONTINUED TWO WEEKS TO FEBRUARY 10TH, 2009.
- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.
- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 24, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 25 POSTED AGENDA, SUPERVISOR KNABE REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE



- 1 CONTINUED WITHOUT DISCUSSION FOR TWO WEEKS TO FEBRUARY 10TH,
- 2 2009.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

5

- 6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND THE REMAINING ITEMS ON THE CONSENT--
- 7 EXCUSE ME, THERE'S ONE OTHER ITEM, ITEM 29, THE INTERIM
- 8 DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES REQUESTS THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED
- 9 ONE WEEK, TO FEBRUARY 3RD, 2009.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: 29? SO--

12

13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ONE WEEK.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND THE REMAINING ITEMS ON THE CONSENT
- 18 CALENDAR ARE BEFORE YOU.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NOTING HELD ITEMS AND THE CONTINUANCES,
- 21 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY MOVES THE REMAINDER, SUPERVISOR
- 22 ANTONOVICH WILL SECOND, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE ARE ON PAGE 16, ORDINANCE FOR
- 25 INTRODUCTION, ITEM NUMBER 30, AND I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN



- 1 FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 13, PUBLIC
- 2 PEACE, MORALS, AND WELFARE OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE TO
- 3 ADD CHAPTER 13.59, TO IMPOSE RESIDENCY OF LOITERING
- 4 RESTRICTIONS ON REGISTERED SEX OFFENDERS IN THE UNINCORPORATED
- 5 AREAS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED
- 8 BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

9

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: DISCUSSION ITEMS, ITEMS 31 THROUGH 34. ON
- 11 ITEMS 31 AND 32 WE WILL HOLD FOR DISCUSSION. ON ITEM NUMBER
- 12 33, AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA THIS ITEM IS A
- 13 JOINT RECOMMENDATION BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND THE
- 14 INTERIM DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES, AND WE WILL ALSO HOLD
- 15 THIS FOR A DISCUSSION. ON ITEM NUMBER 34 THE INTERIM DIRECTOR
- 16 OF HEALTH SERVICES REQUESTS THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK,
- 17 TO FEBRUARY 3RD, 2009.

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NUMBER 34? SO ORDERED.

20

- 21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MISCELLANEOUS ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA WHICH
- 22 WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING, AS
- 23 INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, 35-A.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: CHAIR WILL MOVE IT, SECONDED BY
- 2 SUPERVISOR MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM 35-B, SUPERVISOR KNABE REQUESTS THIS
- 5 BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 35-C, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WOULD LIKE
- 6 TO REVISE THE LAST PART OF HIS MOTION TO STATE, "TO UPDATE THE
- 7 COUNTY'S PLAN OF MULTI USE TRAILS FOR THE REGION" INSTEAD OF
- 8 "THE COUNTY'S MASTER PLAN OF RIDING AND HIKING TRAILS FOR THE
- 9 REGION."

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WITH THAT CHANGE, MOVED BY
- 12 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT
- 13 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

14

15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 35-D.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, THE
- 18 CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

19

- 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF AGENDA. BOARD
- 21 OF SUPERVISORS, SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL
- 22 DISTRICT NUMBER 4.

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, I WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME AND
- 25 INVITE THE HONORABLE DAVID MARTINON TO COME FORWARD. HE IS THE



- 1 CONSUL GENERAL OF FRANCE, HERE IN LOS ANGELES. HE WAS
- 2 ACCREDITED TO HIS POST HERE THIS PAST SEPTEMBER 9TH. CONSUL
- 3 GENERAL MARTINON IS A GRADUATE OF THE INSTITUTE OF POLITICAL
- 4 SCIENCES AND NATIONAL SCHOOL OF ADMINISTRATION, AND IS AN
- 5 EXPERT IN POLITICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTORAL MARKETING. HE
- 6 HAS SERVED AT THE HIGHEST POLITICAL LEVELS. HE WAS A DEPUTY
- 7 SPOKESPERSON FOR THE FRENCH MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, THEN
- 8 HE JOINED THE DEPARTMENT OF EUROPEAN COOPERATION FROM 2001 TO
- 9 2002. AFTER THAT HE SERVED IN VARIOUS POLITICAL AND
- 10 GOVERNMENTAL POSITIONS UNDER MR. NICOLAS SARKOZY, INCLUDING
- 11 DIPLOMATIC COUNSELOR IN THE MINISTRY OF INTERIOR, WHEN IT WAS
- 12 RUN BY MR. SARKOZY. CONSUL GENERAL MARTINON WAS CHIEF OF STAFF
- 13 WHEN MR. SARKOZY'S CAMPAIGN HE RAN FOR PRESIDENT OF FRANCE AND
- 14 BECAME PRESIDENTIAL SPOKESPERSON UPON HIS ELECTION. HE LEFT
- 15 THAT POSITION TO TAKE UP CURRENT DUTIES HERE AS CONSUL GENERAL
- 16 OF FRANCE IN LOS ANGELES, SO CONSUL GENERAL, ON BEHALF OF
- 17 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, MY COLLEAGUES AND ITS TEN MILLION
- 18 RESIDENTS WE WELCOME YOU. LOOK FORWARD TO LOOKING FORWARD TO
- 19 YOUR BUILDING CONSTITUENCY AND ANY WAY WE CAN IMPROVE
- 20 RELATIONS BETWEEN OUR TWO COUNTRIES. [APPLAUSE.]

- 22 HON. DAVID MARTINON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 23 SUPERVISORS. IT IS A GREAT PLEASURE AND IT'S A GREAT HONOR FOR
- 24 ME TO BE HERE. THE FRENCH COMMUNITY HERE IS VERY IMPORTANT,
- 25 AROUND 40 TO 50,000 PEOPLE IN THE WHOLE AREA. OF COURSE I'M IN



- 1 CHARGE OF PROTECTING OR DEFENDING THEIR INTERESTS, BUT MY
- 2 MISSION IS WIDER THAN THIS, AND I'M HERE TO TRY TO PROMOTE
- 3 FRENCH COMPANIES, TO IMPROVE BUSINESS RELATIONS BETWEEN
- 4 FRANCE, CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND L.A. I'M HERE ALSO
- 5 TO TRY AND PROMOTE FRENCH ARTISTS, FRENCH MUSICIANS, FRENCH
- 6 PRODUCERS, FRENCH ACTORS, ACTRESSES, FILM MAKERS AND PAINTERS,
- 7 AND THAT IS WHAT I'M TRYING TO DO. I THINK THERE IS A LOT THAT
- 8 CAN BE DONE TO TRY AND STRENGTHEN OUR PARTNERSHIPS BETWEEN
- 9 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIAN UNIVERSITIES AND FRENCH UNIVERSITIES. I'M
- 10 CERTAIN WE CAN MAKE GREAT PROGRESS IN HEALTH IF WE WORK
- 11 TOGETHER AND I'M HERE TO TRY AND IMPROVE THAT. I'M VERY, VERY
- 12 HAPPY TO BE HERE IN L.A., IN THE DREAM FACTORY. I WILL
- 13 CONSIDER MY MISSION ACCOMPLISHED WHEN IN AMERICAN POPULAR
- 14 MOVIES, THE DUMB ASS OR THE BAD GUY WILL NOT ALWAYS BE FRENCH,
- 15 AND I WILL WORK ON THIS. AND I'M SURE-- I'M LOOKING FOR A VERY
- 16 GOOD COOPERATION WITH YOU AND I'M SURE WE WILL HAVE THAT.
- 17 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR HONOR. [APPLAUSE.]

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I'M SURE IT WAS AN OVERSIGHT, CONSUL
- 20 GENERAL, BUT YOU DID FORGET THE WINE. [LAUGHTER.]

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NOW IT IS MY PLEASURE TO WELCOME UP
- 23 REVEREND BRUCE GRAY AND HIS WIFE, KATHY. REVEREND GRAY IS
- 24 REPRESENTING ST. MATTHIAS EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN WHITTIER. ST.
- 25 MATTHIAS HAS SPEARHEADED TWO VERY SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMS: THE



- 1 "SOUP HOUR" AND COLD WEATHER SHELTER. THE SOUP HOUR SERVES
- 2 HUNDREDS OF HUNGRY PEOPLE EACH WEEK, INCLUDING SENIORS,
- 3 FAMILIES, CHILDREN AND THE HOMELESS. THE COLD WEATHER SHELTER
- 4 PROGRAM WAS ORIGINALLY CREATED BY THE WHITTIER ARE INTERFAITH
- 5 COUNCIL, WHEN THE LOCAL COLD WEATHER SHELTER DIRECTOR, JACKIE
- 6 KOLNICK, PASSED AWAY IN OCTOBER OF 2006. REVEREND GRAY QUICKLY
- 7 STEPPED, AND HIS FAMILY, TO OVERSEE THE PROGRAM. THE COLD
- 8 WATER SHELTER PROGRAM HAS ALWAYS ASSISTED MANY PEOPLE, AS I
- 9 MENTIONED, AND FAMILIES TO PROVIDE THEM SHELTER AND A WARM
- 10 PLACE TO SLEEP. REVEREND BRUCE AND KATHY GRAY AND THE ST.
- 11 MATTHIAS EPISCOPAL CHURCH ARE TO BE CREDITED FOR EXPANDING
- 12 BOTH OF THESE WONDERFUL PROGRAMS, WHICH SERVE SO MANY
- 13 THROUGHOUT THE WHITTIER AREA. SO I WANT TO SAY A HEARTFELT
- 14 THANK YOU, CONGRATULATIONS TO THEM FOR ALL THEIR GREAT WORK. I
- 15 ALSO HAVE ANOTHER CERTIFICATE THAT HE DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT
- 16 HONORING HIS 11 YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE TO HIS CONGREGATE
- 17 THERE AT THE ST. MATTHIAS EPISCOPAL CHURCH, AS A TRUE SERVANT
- 18 OF GOD AND AN ADVOCATE FOR THE HOMELESS, BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY,
- 19 HE JUST, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, HAS BECOME THE CANON TO THE
- 20 ORDINARY FOR THE EPISCOPAL DIOCESE IN INDIANAPOLIS. I ASKED
- 21 HIM IF HE KNEW HOW COLD IT WAS IN INDIANAPOLIS. HE SAID 11
- 22 DEGREES. SO ANYWAY, REVEREND GRAY AND KATHY, WE THANK YOU FOR
- 23 YOUR SERVICE HERE, WISH YOU GODSPEED AND A SAFE JOURNEY TO
- 24 INDIANAPOLIS.



1 REV. BRUCE GRAY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE.]

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NOW I WOULD LIKE TO INVITE UP A GOOD
- 4 FRIEND, PATRICK BOURCHARD, COMMUNITY ANGELS DIRECTOR, THADDEUS
- 5 NOEL, WHO IS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE WHITTIER AREA FIRST DAY
- 6 COALITION AND MEMBERS OF THE FIRST DAY BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND
- 7 COMMUNITY ANGELS. WHITTIER'S FIRST DAY COMMUNITY ANGELS
- 8 ORGANIZATION WAS ESTABLISHED IN FEBRUARY OF 2004 TO PROVIDE
- 9 VOLUNTEER OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE WHITTIER AREA FIRST DAY
- 10 COALITION, A HOMELESS SHELTER LOCATED IN WHITTIER. WHITTIER'S
- 11 FIRST DAY COMMUNITY ANGELS HAVE BUILT A BRIDGE BETWEEN THE
- 12 HOMELESS, LAW ENFORCEMENT, NONPROFITS, LOCAL BUSINESSES AND
- 13 LOCAL GOVERNMENT, AS WELL AS WHITTIER AREA RESIDENTS, BY
- 14 DEMONSTRATING THEIR WILLINGNESS TO GIVE BACK TO THE COMMUNITY
- 15 THAT HAS GIVEN SO MUCH TO THEM. THEIR VOLUNTEERISM HAS
- 16 INCREASED THE SELF-WORTH AND ESTEEM OF THE HOMELESS, BROKEN
- 17 DOWN BARRIERS OF DISCRIMINATION IN THE COMMUNITY, AND HELPED
- 18 MOVE WHITTIER FROM N.I.M.B.Y., NOT IN MY BACKYARD TO
- 19 Y.I.M.B.Y., YES, IN MY BACKYARD. SINCE THEIR INCEPTION, MORE
- 20 THAN 250 COMMUNITY ANGELS HAVE PROVIDED MORE THAN 6,000 HOURS
- 21 OF VOLUNTEER SERVICE AT OVER 180 EVENTS FOR VARIOUS
- 22 INDIVIDUALS, NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT
- 23 AGENCIES, INCLUDING THE CITY OF WHITTIER AND THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 24 ANGELES. SO ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY COLLEAGUES AND THE 10
- 25 MILLION RESIDENTS OF THIS GREAT COUNTY, WE WANT TO SAY A



- 1 HEARTFELT THANKS AND CONGRATULATIONS BUT I THINK, MORE
- 2 IMPORTANTLY, I SPEAK ON BEHALF OF ALL THOSE FOLKS AS WELL THAT
- 3 YOU HAVE GENEROUSLY DONATED OVER 6,000 HOURS TO ASSIST. YOU
- 4 GUYS ARE TRULY ANGELS OF GOD. [APPLAUSE.]

5

- 6 PATRICK BOURCHARD: I JUST WANT TO THANK THE SUPERVISOR FOR
- 7 GIVING ME ANOTHER CHANCE TO SHARE THIS DISTINGUISHED DAIS WITH
- 8 HIM. A YEAR AGO I GOT THE PRESTIGIOUS HONOR OF VOLUNTEER OF
- 9 THE YEAR. I'D LIKE TO THANK HIM FOR THIS AND ALSO THE ANGELS
- 10 IN THE AUDIENCE, PLEASE STAND UP. IT WOULDN'T BE POSSIBLE
- 11 WITHOUT ALL OF THEM, THANK YOU ALL. [APPLAUSE.]

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU ALL, KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK AND
- 14 STAY OUT OF TROUBLE. THAT IS NOT EASY FOR YOU, PATRICK.

15

16 PATRICK BOURCHARD: I KNOW.

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, HE'S GOING TO
- 19 MAKE THE PRESENTATION, BUT WE ARE HERE TO HONOR MEMBERS OF OUR
- 20 LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL
- 21 EQUINE RESPONSE TEAM, A TEAM THAT WAS FORMED IN 2001. I KNOW
- 22 MIKE HAS A BUNCH OF DETAILS AS WELL. BUT WE HAVE OVER A
- 23 HUNDRED TRAINED SPECIALLY VOLUNTEERS WHO UNDERGO RIGOROUS
- 24 TRAINING, FIRE BEHAVIOR, EMERGENCY TRAILER DRIVING, HORSE
- 25 HANDLING, AND SO ON. THESE UNITS ARE CENTERED IN SANTA MONICA



- 1 MOUNTAINS, THE ANTELOPE VALLEY AND PALOS VERDES PENINSULA.
- 2 THESE VOLUNTEERS WORK SIDE-BY-SIDE WITH OUR STAFF AND ARE
- 3 PERMITTED BEHIND FIRE LINES WITH OTHER RESCUE PERSONNEL SO
- 4 ANIMALS CAN BE SAFELY EVACUATED. WE APPRECIATE IT ALL YOU DO.
- 5 MICHAEL?

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, LET ME JUST SAY, THEY WORK FORMED IN
- 8 2001, AND THEY WERE PARTICIPANTS IN THIS GREAT TOURNAMENT OF
- 9 ROSES PARADE. THEY PROVIDE EMERGENCY RESPONSE THAT REMOVE
- 10 HORSES AND OTHER ANIMALS FROM HARM'S WAY DURING EMERGENCY
- 11 SITUATIONS, SUCH AS THE FIRES THAT WE ALWAYS HAVE, OR FLOODS
- 12 OR EARTHOUAKES OR ANY TYPE OF PROBLEM. THEY'RE VOLUNTEERS,
- 13 ESTABLISHED TO MAINTAIN TEMPORARY EVACUATION SITES SO THAT
- 14 THOSE HORSES AND OTHER ANIMALS CAN BE SAFELY HOUSED UNTIL THEY
- 15 CAN BE RETURNED TO THEIR RIGHTFUL OWNERS. OUR COUNTY IS VERY
- 16 GRATEFUL FOR THE DEDICATION, COMPASSION AND DONATION OF THEIR
- 17 TIME AND EFFORTS TO ENSURE THAT THESE ANIMALS WILL FIND A
- 18 LOVING PLACE DURING THESE TYPES OF EMERGENCIES THAT OCCUR, AND
- 19 THEN BE ABLE TO BE RETURNED TO THEIR OWNERS AFTER THOSE
- 20 EMERGENCIES ARE OVER WITH. SO WE HAVE A PROCLAMATION
- 21 SUPERVISOR KNABE AND I HAVE COAUTHORED AND WISH THEM MANY MORE
- 22 HAPPY TRAILS. WE WERE PROUD THAT THEY WERE IN OUR GREAT
- 23 PASADENA TOURNAMENT OF ROSES PARADE THIS YEAR.

13

16

18

20

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 MARCIA MAYEDA: THANK YOU, SUPERVISORS. THIS IS A GREAT HONOR
- 2 FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND FOR OUR VOLUNTEERS WHO HAVE WORK SO
- 3 TIRELESSLY OVER SO MANY YEARS TO RESCUE LITERALLY HUNDREDS AND
- 4 HUNDREDS OF ANIMALS THROUGH THE SEVERE FIRE STORMS, FLOODS AND
- 5 EVEN LARGE-SCALE ANIMAL CRUELTY CASES, WE HAVE RELIED ON THEM
- 6 TO ASSIST US WITH THOSE EFFORTS. AND THEY ARE JUST A
- 7 TREMENDOUS RESOURCE FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE COUNTY, AND WE
- 8 ARE SO PROUD TO SEE THEM HONORED NATIONALLY AND
- 9 INTERNATIONALLY ON THE TOURNAMENT OF ROSES PARADE FROM
- 10 PASADENA THIS YEAR. THEY CERTAINLY HAVE EARNED THE HONOR AND
- 11 WE WANT TO CONGRATULATE THEM ON ALL THE HARD WORK AND THANK
- 12 THEM. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE.]

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEY JUST MENTIONED THEY SAVED TWO CAMELS ONE

15 TIME. VERY GOOD. WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THEM?

17 SPEAKER: THEY HELPED TO MOVE THEM OUT OF THE WAY OF FIRE.

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOW WE WANT TO RECOGNIZE OUR SANTA ANITA GOLF

- 22 COURSE. I KNOW IT IS VERY DEAR TO-- GOLF IS VERY DEAR TO SOME
- 23 OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, INCLUDING OUR CHAIRMAN. WE HAVE
- 24 SCOTT HENDERSON AND GENERAL MANAGER, RON LOPEZ, DIRECTOR OF
- 25 CATERING, AND HECTOR REYES, THE EXECUTIVE CHEF, AND GEORGE



- 1 BIDEL, KENNY HAYES, HEAD OF GOLF. THIS IS A VERY INTERESTING
- 2 SITUATION, BECAUSE THEY RECOGNIZED SANTA ANITA GOLF COURSE AS
- 3 THE 2008 GOLF COURSE OF THE YEAR FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 4 ANGELES. THIS IS RECOGNITION OF THEIR MAINTAINING AND CUSTOMER
- 5 SERVICE THEY HAVE BEEN ABLE TO PROVIDE. THIS IS THE SIXTH TIME
- 6 IN 17 YEARS THAT THEY HAVE RECEIVED THIS COVETED AWARD. THEY
- 7 HAVE PREVIOUSLY WON THE AWARD IN 1993, 1996, 1997, '99 AND
- 8 2007. SO WE ARE LOOKING FOR BIG THINGS FOR 2009 TO KEEP THAT
- 9 STREAK GOING. THEY HAVE OPERATED-- THE SANTA ANITA ASSOCIATES
- 10 HAVE OPERATED SANTA ANITA COUNTY GOLF COURSE SINCE JANUARY OF
- 11 1986. THEY HAVE PROVIDED MANY SERVICES TO THE COMMUNITY AND
- 12 GOLFERS ALIKE, INCLUDING HOSTING TOURNAMENTS FOR SEVERAL LOCAL
- 13 CHARITIES, ACTING AS THE HOME COURSE FOR MANY OF OUR AREA'S
- 14 HIGH SCHOOL GOLF TEAMS AND OPERATING ONE OF THE FINEST COFFEE
- 15 SHOPS IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. LAST YEAR THEY AVERAGED
- MORE THAN 114,000 ROUNDS OF GOLF, SO WE WISH THEM A BIG
- 17 CONGRATULATIONS. WE HAVE TWO PROCLAMATIONS. ONE IS TO THE GOLF
- 18 COURSE, AND THE OTHER IS TO SANTA ANITA ASSOCIATES. SO FIRST
- 19 TO THE GOLF COURSE, ASSOCIATES. A PICTURE, THEN YOU ALL CAN
- 20 SAY SOMETHING. WHO WANTS TO GO FIRST, RUSS, GREAT DEPARTMENT
- 21 CHAIRMAN.

- 23 RUSS GUINEY: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR. THE DEPARTMENT OPERATES
- 24 THE LARGEST MUNICIPAL GOLF COURSE SYSTEM IN THE NATION, 19
- 25 GOLF COURSES. EACH GOLF COURSE IS OPERATED BY AN INDEPENDENT



- 1 CONTRACT FOR FOR THE COUNTY. EACH MONTH WE INSPECT THOSE GOLF
- 2 COURSES AND THEN ONCE A YEAR WE DO KIND OF A GRAND INSPECTION
- 3 TOUR TO PICK THE GOLF COURSE OF THE YEAR. WE ARE VERY PROUD OF
- 4 SCOTT HENDERSON, SAN ANITA ASSOCIATES AND WORK THEY DO AT
- 5 SANTA ANITA GOLF COURSE. AND SO ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT I
- 6 WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT SCOTT AND HIS TEAM WITH THIS PLAQUE.
- 7 [APPLAUSE.]

8

- 9 SCOTT HENDERSON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, RUSS. THIS IS A GREAT
- 10 AWARD FOR US. THE AWARD HAS CREATED SOME STIFF COMPETITION
- 11 BETWEEN THE OPERATORS OF THE COUNTY GOLF COURSES, AND IT'S
- 12 BECOME VERY SIGNIFICANT. I WANT TO SAY THAT WE ENJOY VERY
- 13 CLOSE WORKING RELATIONSHIP WITH THE COUNTY'S PARKS AND
- 14 RECREATION DEPARTMENT. I THINK THE RESULT OF THAT, IT REALLY
- 15 BENEFITS THE GOLFING PUBLIC. I'D LIKE TO ALSO THANK-- THE
- 16 AWARD WOULD NOT BE POSSIBLE IF IT WEREN'T FOR THE TREMENDOUS
- 17 AMOUNT OF HARD WORK THAT THE STAFF PUTS IN TO PEOPLE HERE I'D
- 18 LIKE TO MENTION. THERE IS HECTOR REYES, OUR CHEF, AND RON
- 19 LOPEZ, THE HEAD OF OUR CATERING DEPARTMENT. WITHOUT THEM IT
- 20 WOULDN'T BE POSSIBLE. EVERYBODY ELSE OUT THERE IS HARD AT
- 21 WORK, GROWING THE GRASS, KEEPING THINGS IN GREAT SHAPE, AND WE
- 22 LOOK FORWARD TO HOPEFULLY GETTING BACK HERE IN THE FUTURE,
- 23 THANK YOU.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU GOT TO BE CAREFUL ABOUT INVITING
- 2 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH OUT. HE MAY BRING A HORSE OUT AND
- 3 TRAMPLE THE GREENS.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOW WE HAVE LITTLE LUCKY, WHO'S A TERRIER-
- 6 POODLE MIX, WHO'S EIGHT WEEKS OLD. THIS LOOKS LIKE ANOTHER
- 7 DISNEY DOG. SO LITTLE LUCKY IS LOOKING FOR A HOME. ANYBODY
- 8 LIKE TO ADOPT LUCKY? LITTLE BUTTER BALL?

9

10 SUP KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I THINK ZEV'S MAKING A CALL RIGHT NOW.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MARK, YOU DIDN'T KNOW EACH SUPERVISOR HAS TO
- 13 ADOPT FIVE DOGS DURING THE YEAR. YOU DIDN'T KNOW THAT.
- 14 [LAUGHTER.]

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, THIS IS NUMBER ONE, CONGRATULATIONS,
- 17 THIS IS OUR GIFT TO YOU.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU DIDN'T SEE IT IN THE COUNTY CHARTER,
- 20 HUH?

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: EIGHT WEEKS OLD, LITTLE LUCKY, ANYBODY LIKE
- 23 TO ADOPT HIM? HE'S A LITTLE BOY. YOU CAN CALL CALL 562-728-
- 24 4644. ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE? JUST A BEAUTIFUL DOGGY. ANYBODY
- 25 FOR LUCKY?

1

14

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU'VE GOT A HAND OVER HERE. YOU GOT A 2 3 HAND RIGHT OVER THERE. [APPLAUSE.] 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR MOLINA? SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-5 THOMAS? 6 7 8 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. CHAIRMAN AND COLLEAGUES, IT GIVES ME GREAT PRIDE TO BE JOINED BY A STELLAR GROUP OF CONSTITUENTS 9 WHO HAVE DISTINGUISHED THEMSELVES OVER AND OVER AGAIN. THEY 10 REPRESENT WHAT SOME OF YOU KNOW TO BE THE EMPOWERMENT 11 CONGRESS. THEY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN THIS EXPERIMENT IN 12 PARTICIPATORY DEMOCRACY, MANY OF THEM, SINCE ITS INCEPTION 13

- 15 ON THOSE WHO ARE INTERESTED IN IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE
- 16 IN THEIR RESPECTIVE COMMUNITIES TO DO SO. WE BEGAN THIS EFFORT

SOME 17 YEARS AGO. THE EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS ESSENTIALLY CALLS

- 17 IN THE EARLY '90S WHEN I SERVED ON THE LOS ANGELES CITY
- 18 COUNCIL AND IT HAS GROWN. IT HAS EXPANDED. IT CONTINUES TO
- 19 INNOVATE AND TO MAKE ITS MARK IN THE LIFE OF THE COMMUNITIES
- 20 OF WHICH IT IS A PART. THESE EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS LEADERS
- 21 SERVE IN A VARIETY OF CAPACITIES ON THE MATTER OF HEALTHCARE,
- 22 ON THE MATTER OF PUBLIC SAFETY, THE MATTER OF MENTAL HEALTH,
- 23 THE MATTER OF THE ENVIRONMENT, DEALING WITH MATTERS RELATED TO
- 24 YOUTH, TO SENIORS, A WHOLE RANGE OF ISSUES, INCLUDING THE ARTS
- 25 AS WELL. SO OVER AND OVER AGAIN, THIS ENTITY HAS SET A HIGH



- 1 MARK FOR WHAT WE NOW KNOW AS NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCILS THROUGHOUT
- 2 THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES. WE INTEND TO CONTINUE THE ENGAGEMENT
- 3 OF THE EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS BECAUSE OF WHAT HAS DONE TO
- 4 IMPROVE THE LIVES OF THOSE INVOLVED, AS WELL AS THOSE WHO ARE
- 5 IN THE COMMUNITIES WHERE IT HAS BEEN PRIVILEGED TO WORK. ON
- 6 THIS COMING SATURDAY, THE EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS WILL CONTINUE
- 7 IT'S TRADITION OF AN ANNUAL BUDGET SUMMIT, 31ST OF JANUARY, 9
- 8 A.M. AT THE HAWTHORNE MEMORIAL AUDITORIUM, 3901 WEST EL
- 9 SEGUNDO BOULEVARD. I'D SEE THERE ARE EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS
- 10 PEOPLE EVEN IN THE AUDIENCE, WHO HAVE COME TO MAKE THEIR
- 11 SENTIMENTS KNOWN ON A WIDE VARIETY OF ISSUES. THIS IS
- 12 VOLUNTARY. THE PERSONS WHO PARTICIPATE ARE ELECTED, MR.
- 13 CHAIRMAN AND COLLEAGUES, AND IT HAS BEEN ONE OF THE MOST
- 14 INNOVATIVE WAYS IN WHICH TO INSPIRE AND ENGENDER COMMUNITY
- 15 INVOLVEMENT. KNOWN THROUGHOUT THE NATION AS SUCH, WE ARE
- 16 SIMPLY DELIGHTED TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ENTERPRISE. MAY I
- 17 THEN PRESENT TO THESE PERSONS WHO HAVE ALREADY MADE THEIR MARK
- 18 IN THEIR RESPECTIVE FIELDS OF ENDEAVOR. AND I'M GOING TO
- 19 INTRODUCE THEM BRIEFLY. GRACE WELTMAN, SHE STARTED WITH US
- 20 WHEN SHE WAS WITH THE YOUTH ADVISORY COUNCIL. SHE'S ONE OF THE
- 21 CHARTER MEMBERS. OUR CHAIR, GUY LEMUS, DISABILITY RIGHTS
- 22 ATTORNEY. THE REVEREND APEN EM [PH?] WHO IS THE EXECUTIVE
- 23 DIRECTOR AND C.E.O. OF KOREAN CHURCHES FOR COMMUNITY AND
- 24 DEVELOPMENT. AND WE HAVE STEVE VASQUEZ BEHIND ME, AN
- 25 ENTREPRENEUR IN THE ARTS AND THE MEDIA AREA, MARTHA ESCONDONE,



- 1 AN ENTREPRENEUR AND CONSULTANT, JESUS ESCONDONE, WHO IS AN
- 2 ADVOCATE WITH THE C.T.A., AND YASSIR AMEN, THE EXECUTIVE
- 3 DIRECTOR AND C.E.O. OF THE U.M.A. CLINIC. GIVE THEM A BIG
- 4 ROUND OF APPLAUSE. [APPLAUSE.]

5

- 6 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THEY ARE A DIVERSE, ACTIVE GROUP OF
- 7 INDIVIDUALS. SO I'M DELIGHTED, ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF
- 8 SUPERVISORS, TO PRESENT THIS CERTIFICATE, THIS PROCLAMATION,
- 9 TO COMMEND YOU ON THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE DONE. YOUR VISION,
- 10 YOUR LEADERSHIP AND OUTSTANDING COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT
- 11 ENCOURAGES MANY OTHERS TO DO LIKEWISE. WE LOOK FORWARD TO
- 12 SEEING YOU ON THIS SATURDAY. I AM SIMPLY GOING TO ASK GUY IF
- 13 HE WOULD COME FORWARD AND PRESENT A FEW REMARKS ON BEHALF OF
- 14 THE GROUP AND WE WILL PROCEED FROM THERE. BUT BEFORE, LET ME
- 15 MAKE THIS PRESENTATION TO ALL OF YOU.

- 17 GUY LEMUS: MR. SUPERVISOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF
- 18 SUPERVISORS, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THIS RECOGNITION. I THINK I
- 19 SPEAK FOR ALL THE HUNDREDS OF EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS MEMBERS
- 20 WHEN I CAN SAY THIS IS TRULY A LABOR OF LOVE, TO BE ABLE TO GO
- 21 OUT IN YOUR RESPECTIVE COMMUNITIES, MULTI-ETHNIC, MULTI-
- 22 DENOMINATIONAL, BASICALLY FOCUSED ON THE IMPROVEMENT OF OUR
- 23 OVERALL COMMUNITY. WE SEEK TO ENGAGE, AND TO EDUCATE AND
- 24 EMPOWER OUR COMMUNITY. IT'S BEEN A PRIVILEGE TO WORK WITH THE
- 25 HONORABLE MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS IN THIS ENDEAVOR. WE ARE VERY



- 1 EXCITED AND THE PROSPECT OF EXPANDING OUR RANKS IN THE
- 2 EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS AND TO NOT ONLY WORK TO IMPROVE THE
- 3 SECOND SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT BUT ALSO TO COLLABORATE WITH
- 4 OTHER COMMUNITIES THAT REPRESENT THE VERY VIBRANT AND RICH
- 5 CULTURAL DIVERSITY THAT MAKES UP LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THIS
- 6 WON'T BE THE LAST TIME THAT YOU WILL HEAR ABOUT THE
- 7 EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS, AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH ALL
- 8 OF YOU. THANK YOU SO MUCH ON BEHALF OF THE EMPOWERMENT
- 9 CONGRESS FOR RECOGNIZING OUR WORK TODAY. IT IS TRULY, TRULY A
- 10 LABOR OF LOVE, THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE.]

11

- 12 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: WE THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COLLEAGUES, THEY
- 13 HAVE A VIDEO THAT THEY ARE GOING TO GIVE EACH OF YOU OF THE
- 14 WORK CELEBRATING THE 15TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE EMPOWERMENT
- 15 CONGRESS. WE ASK THAT YOU TAKE A LOOK AT IT, SHARE IT WITH
- 16 YOUR STAFF. I THINK YOU WILL BE APPROPRIATELY IMPRESSED WITH
- 17 THE ACTIVISM THAT THEY HAVE DISPLAYED, THE COURAGE THAT THEY
- 18 HAVE COMMITTED AND SEE IT AS VALUE ADDED TO THE ENTIRETY OF
- 19 THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. ONCE AGAIN, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 20 [APPLAUSE.]

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, YOU HAVE ANY
- 23 MORE? IS THAT IT?



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, THANK YOU. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK
- 2 FRANK STOLTZE AND QUEENA KIM TO COME FORWARD AND THEIR
- 3 DELEGATION.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: COME ON UP.

6

7 SPEAKER: HOW ARE YOU?

8

9 SPEAKER: SUPERVISOR, GOOD TO SEE YOU.

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT BEHIND ME, YEAH. BESIDE ME. MR.
- 12 CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, A FEW WEEKS AGO, I WAS DRIVING
- 13 ONE SATURDAY AND LISTENING TO ONE OF MY FAVORITE N.P.R.
- 14 STATIONS, KPCC, AND CAUGHT I GUESS WHAT CAN ONLY BE CALLED A
- 15 RADIO DOCUMENTARY, AN HOUR-LONG RADIO DOCUMENTARY ABOUT THE
- 16 TRAGIC FIRE IN THE OAKRIDGE ESTATES MOBILE HOME PARK IN
- 17 SYLMAR, IN OUR DISTRICT. IT WAS AN INCREDIBLE PIECE OF WORK
- 18 BECAUSE IT WAS A RADIO DOCUMENTARY, NO FILM, OBVIOUSLY, NO
- 19 PICTURES. THE PICTURES WERE PAINTED BY THE WORDS OF THE
- 20 WRITERS AND THE SPOKEN WORD OF THE BROADCASTERS AND, MOST
- 21 IMPORTANTLY, THE SPOKEN WORDS OF THE VICTIMS THEMSELVES WHO
- 22 TOLD THE STORY OF THE NIGHT OF THE FIRE AND THEN THE
- 23 AFTERMATH, AN AFTERMATH WHICH THEY ARE STILL LIVING WITH, AS
- 24 WE SPEAK. FRANK STOLTZE AND QUEENA KIM WERE PRINCIPALLY
- 25 RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS OUTSTANDING JOURNALISTIC EFFORT IN



- 1 PRODUCING THIS HOUR-LONG PROGRAM. THE PROGRAM WAS CALLED "THE
- 2 ASHES OF OAKRIDGE", CHRONICLING THE DEVASTATING PROPERTY
- 3 LOSSES SUFFERED BY THE RESIDENTS OF THE OAKRIDGE MOBILE HOME
- 4 ESTATES, WHICH LOST MORE THAN 500 OF THE 600 MOBILE HOMES IN
- 5 LAST FALL'S WILDFIRE. THIS IS THE SINGLE LARGEST LOSS OF
- 6 RESIDENCES OF ANY FIRE IN THE HISTORY OF THE CITY OF LOS
- 7 ANGELES, SIGNIFICANTLY LARGER THAN THE BEL AIR FIRE OF 1961.
- 8 FRANK STOLTZE DID A LOT OF THE FIELD REPORTING, INTERVIEWING
- 9 AND SOME EDITING, WHILE OUEENA DID MUCH OF THE EDITING AND
- 10 SHAPING AND PRODUCING OF INDIVIDUAL SEGMENTS. THEY CO- WROTE
- 11 THE DOCUMENTARY TOGETHER. IN WORDS OF THE EXECUTIVE PRODUCER,
- 12 JOHN RABE, WHO IS WITH US TODAY, THE PROGRAM, AND I OUOTE,
- 13 "NOT ONLY RECOUNTED THE DAMAGE THE FIRE DID AND CONSIDERED ITS
- 14 CAUSES AND POSSIBLE REMEDIES TO PREVENT SIMILAR CATASTROPHES
- 15 IN THE FUTURE, BUT GAVE VOICE TO ITS VICTIMS AND THE HEROES
- 16 WHO FOUGHT THE FIRE AND ALSO TOLD THE STORY OF AN
- 17 EXTRAORDINARY COMMUNITY." SO WE WANTED TO HONOR KPCC BUT
- 18 ESPECIALLY FRANK AND OUEENA WITH THESE PROCLAMATIONS, SIGNED
- 19 BY ALL FIVE OF US. KPCC IS AN OUTSTANDING RADIO STATION. I
- 20 THINK IT IS THE FLAGSHIP OF IN DEPTH NEWS COVERAGE AND
- 21 ANALYSIS AND IT IS THIS KIND OF REPORTAGE WHICH DISTINGUISHES
- 22 IT FROM JUST ABOUT EVERYBODY ELSE. YOU WOULDN'T FIND AN HOUR
- 23 DEDICATED TO THIS KIND OF A STORY ON ANY OTHER STATION OF
- 24 WHICH I AM AWARE IN THIS COMMUNITY. SO ON BEHALF OF ALL FIVE



- 1 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, FRANK, LET ME GIVE YOU THIS
- 2 PROCLAMATION. WHERE ARE YOU? AND QUEENA THIS FOR YOU.

3

4 QUEENA KIM: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WE HAVE A COPY OF-- THEY HAVE PROVIDED A
- 7 COPY, A C.D. OF THE BROADCAST FOR ALL THE MEMBERS OF THE
- 8 BOARD, WHICH IF WE HAVEN'T ALREADY, WE WILL PROVIDE IT FOR
- 9 YOU. CAN PEOPLE HEAR IT ONLINE?

10

11 FRANK STOLTZE: YES, THEY CAN.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN YOU GET UP HERE, YOU TELL PEOPLE HOW
- 14 THEY CAN DO THAT, BECAUSE MILLIONS OF PEOPLE WATCH THIS
- 15 PROGRAM, AND THEY ARE JUST DYING TO HEAR WHAT WE AND YOU HAVE
- 16 TO SAY. SERIOUSLY, THIS IS AN HOUR-LONG PROGRAM THAT IS WORTH
- 17 LISTENING TO. IT IS GRIPPING AND IT IS ONE OF THOSE THINGS
- 18 WHERE YOU COULD SAY THAT THERE BUT FOR THE GRACE OF GOD IT
- 19 COULD HAVE BEEN ANY ONE OF US IN A SITUATION OF DANGER, OUR
- 20 LIVES SAVED BY HEROIC FIRE FIGHTERS AND PROVIDENCE, FRANKLY,
- 21 BUT YET EXPERIENCING TREMENDOUS LOSS. THANK YOU FOR DOING
- 22 THAT, FOR EDUCATING THE COMMUNITY AT LARGE, FOR HELPING BRING
- 23 FOCUS TO THIS VERY TRAGIC EVENT. LET ME ASK FRANK TO SAY A
- 24 WORD, AND THEN QUEENA AND JOHN. [APPLAUSE.]



- 1 FRANK STOLTZE: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR. FIRST AND FOREMOST WE
- 2 SHOULD THANK THE RESIDENTS OF OAKRIDGE. IT'S ALWAYS DIFFICULT
- 3 TO SPEAK WITH REPORTERS, AND YOU SUPERVISORS KNOW THIS, AND
- 4 PARTICULARLY AT A TIME OF GREAT LOSS FOR THE RESIDENTS OF
- 5 OAKRIDGE TO OPEN UP THEIR LIVES ESSENTIALLY TO US, AND TALK
- 6 FRANKLY AND HONESTLY WITH US WAS A GREAT PRIVILEGE. ALSO I'D
- 7 LIKE TO THANK KPCC FOR GIVING US THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THIS
- 8 KIND OF WORK. KPCC IS COMMITTED TO IN-DEPTH COVERAGE OF
- 9 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. I THINK THIS IS A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THAT.
- 10 "OFF-RAMP," WHICH IS HEARD SATURDAYS AT NOON EVERY DAY, IS A
- 11 GREAT FORUM FOR THIS IN PARTICULAR, AND THAT IS WHERE THIS
- 12 PARTICULAR SHOW WAS AIRED. IT IS AVAILABLE ONLINE AT KPCC.ORG,
- 13 IF YOU CLICK ON THE "OFF-RAMP" CONNECTION. I WOULD OF COURSE
- 14 BE REMISS IF I DIDN'T THANK MY MOTHER, BECAUSE THAT IS WHAT
- 15 YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO DO EVERY TIME YOU GET SOMETHING LIKE THIS,
- 16 RIGHT? SHE'S A VERY SWEET, SWEET WOMAN. THANK YOU, MOTHER, IF
- 17 YOU ARE LISTENING. AND SHE WAS IN THE DOCUMENTARY BECAUSE SHE
- 18 ACTUALLY LOST HER HOME IN A SANTA BARBARA FIRE 15 YEARS AGO.
- 19 AND, OF COURSE, THANK YOU TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. IT'S A
- 20 LITTLE UNCOMFORTABLE GETTING AN AWARD FROM PEOPLE THAT YOU
- 21 COVER. OF COURSE THIS WILL REQUIRE A HIP PIECE ON SUPERVISOR
- 22 YAROSLAVSKY, IN PARTICULAR-- [LAUGHTER.]

23

24 FRANK STOLTZE: WHICH SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS WILL ENJOY. AND

25 OF COURSE, I SAY THAT SLIGHTLY IN JEST BUT YOU DO WONDER--



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: KEEP GOING.

3

- 4 FRANK STOLTZE: YOU DO WONDER, IF WE HAD DONE A HARD-HITTING
- 5 PIECE EXPOSING PROBLEMS AT A PUBLIC HOSPITAL WHETHER OR NOT WE
- 6 WOULD HAVE GOTTEN AN AWARD LIKE THIS. BUT, IN ANY CASE, WE ARE
- 7 EXTREMELY GRATEFUL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR RECOGNIZING
- 8 THIS WORK AND I'M ESPECIALLY, LASTLY, THANKFUL TO WORK WITH
- 9 QUEENA KIM, WHO'S A FANTASTIC PRODUCER, AND WANTS TO SAY A FEW
- WORDS.

11

- 12 QUEENA KIM: IT'S HARD TO UPSTAGE THAT ONE. I JUST WANT TO
- 13 THANK, I AGREE WITH YOU, THE RESIDENTS OF OAKRIDGE. IT WAS
- 14 SORT OF AMAZING HOW MUCH THEY SHARED WITH US AND HOW OPEN THEY
- 15 WERE, AND HOW MANY THINGS THEY SORT OF TAUGHT YOU IN THE
- 16 PROCESS. SO I THINK THEY SORT OF ARE THE BIGGEST THANKS. AND
- 17 THEN I'D ALSO LIKE TO THANK PAUL AND JOHN FOR GIVING FRANK AND
- 18 I THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THIS THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE
- 19 AWARD. [APPLAUSE.]

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME ASK THE EXECUTIVE PRODUCER OF-- AND
- 22 THE HOST, RIGHT? THE HOST OF OFF RAMP, JOHN RABE, TO SAY A
- 23 COUPLE OF WORDS, THEN I WILL ASK PAUL GLICKMAN, WHO'S THE NEWS
- 24 DIRECTOR FOR KPCC'S NETWORK.



- 1 JOHN RABE: I'M JOHN. I WAS THE EXECUTIVE PRODUCER ON THIS
- 2 PROJECT, WHICH MAINLY MEANS I GET TO STAND UP HERE AND SHARE
- 3 IN THE REFLECTED GLORY OF THE TWO HARD-WORKING REPORTERS AND
- 4 PRODUCERS. I ONLY KICKED THEM IN THE BUTT A COUPLE OF TIMES
- 5 AND SAID, "OH, THAT LOOKS REALLY GOOD. LET'S PUT THAT ON THE
- 6 AIR." THE ASSOCIATED PRESS OR THE R.T.N.D.A. GIVE OUT AWARDS
- 7 FOR STORIES, SOMETIMES FOR GOOD EDITING OR GOOD SOUND OR GOOD
- 8 WRITING. I FEEL LIKE THE AWARD THAT QUEENA AND FRANK HAVE WON
- 9 IS BECAUSE THE STORY MATTERED AND GAVE VOICE TO A COMMUNITY
- 10 THAT MIGHT NOT HAVE HAD THAT VOICE MADE PUBLIC. SO I'M VERY
- 11 PROUD OF THEM. I'M VERY DEEPLY APPRECIATIVE THAT SUPERVISOR
- 12 YAROSLAVSKY RECOGNIZED US AND THEIR WORK IN THIS MANNER. I
- 13 ACTUALLY HAVE ABOUT 15 PHYSICAL COPIES OF THE C.D., IF ANYBODY
- 14 WANTS TO HAVE A COPY AND TAKE IT HOME SO JUST AFTER WE ARE
- 15 DONE, COME ON UP. I WILL GIVE YOU ONE, IF YOU LIKE. PLEASE
- 16 SPREAD IT AROUND, LET EVERYBODY KNOW. THE MORE PEOPLE HEAR
- 17 THIS, THE MORE VOICES OF THIS COMMUNITY IS HEARD, THANK YOU
- 18 VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE.]

- 20 PAUL GLICKMAN: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, FOR
- 21 RECOGNIZING THE DOCUMENTARY AND CONGRATULATIONS FRANK AND
- 22 QUEENA FOR YOUR EXCELLENT WORK ON THIS. I HAD A FAIRLY SMALL
- 23 ROLE IN THIS. IT WAS MY IDEA TO DO THE DOCUMENTARY , BUT FRANK
- 24 AND QUEENA DID ALL THE WORK. AND THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR, FOR
- 25 RECOGNIZING KPCC AND THE ROLE WE PLAY IN THE COMMUNITY AND ARE



- 1 TRYING TO PLAY. WE DO TRY TO PRESENT THE NEWS THAT'S IMPORTANT
- 2 TO THE PEOPLE OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA IN AN IN-DEPTH WAY, WITH
- 3 A LOT OF ANALYSIS AND INTELLIGENT DISCUSSION SO HOPEFULLY IF
- 4 YOU ARE NOT ALREADY A LISTENER, CHECK US OUT, IT'S 89.3, KPCC
- 5 ON YOUR F.M. DIAL AND KPCC.ORG.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANKS, PAUL. THANK YOU ALL. I SINCERELY
- 8 HOPE THAT YOU'LL -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE NOMINATING PROCESS
- 9 IS FOR GOLDEN MIKES BUT THIS IS A SLAM-DUNK IF THERE EVER WAS
- 10 ONE. DON'T JINX IT. DON'T DO ANY HIT PIECES ON US AND WE WON'T
- 11 JINX YOU. THIS IS YOUR ONE AND ONLY RESOLUTION FROM BOARD OF
- 12 SUPERVISORS SO IT COVERS ANYTHING YOU DO FROM THIS POINT
- 13 FORWARD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, BOTH OF YOU. YOU DID A GREAT JOB
- 14 AND GREAT PUBLIC SERVICE. AND THAT IS ALL I HAVE, MR.
- 15 CHAIRMAN. [APPLAUSE.]

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE WILL BEGIN WITH THE PUBLIC HEARING
- 18 ITEMS.

- 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ALL RIGHT. ITEMS NUMBER 3 AND 4, ALL THOSE
- 21 WHO PLAN TO TESTIFY BEFORE THE BOARD, PLEASE STAND AND RAISE
- 22 YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN IN. IN THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE
- 23 BEFORE THIS BOARD DO YOU SOLEMNLY AFFIRM TO TELL THE TRUTH,
- 24 WHOLE TRUTH, NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH SO HELP YOU GOD. THANK YOU,
- 25 YOU MAY BE SEATED. ON ITEM NUMBER 3, THIS IS THE COMBINED

9

11

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 HEARING ON PROJECT NUMBER R20005-02441-5, ZONE CHANGE CASE
- 2 NUMBER 2005-00016-5, CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT CASE NUMBER 2007-
- 3 00074-5, A NEGATIVE DECLARATION RELATING TO PROPERTIES LOCATED
- 4 AT 31425 223RD STREET EAST, IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF
- 5 ANTELOPE VALLEY, ANTELOPE VALLEY EAST ZONE DISTRICT,
- 6 PETITIONED BY LYNN ADAMS. THERE IS A DEPARTMENT STATEMENT ON
- 7 THIS. I BELIEVE THAT THERE IS A COUPLE PEOPLE WHO SIGNED UP TO
- 8 SPEAK ON IT, AS WELL. THERE'S A DEPARTMENT STATEMENT?

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IS THERE A STAFF REPORT FIRST?

12 **DEAN EDWARDS:** GOOD MORNING, MY NAME IS DEAN EDWARDS. I WORK

- 13 WITH THE ZONING PERMITS ONE SECTION OF DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
- 14 PLANNING. ITEM NUMBER 3 IS A REQUEST FOR ZONE CHANGE FROM
- 15 LIGHT AGRICULTURAL TO HEAVY AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM
- 16 AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, TO AUTHORIZE AN EXISTING \$50
- 17 CAPACITY COMMERCIAL KENNEL LOCATED AT 31425, 223RD STREET EAST
- 18 IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF ANTELOPE VALLEY IN ANTELOPE
- 19 VALLEY EAST ZONE DISTRICT. ZONE CHANGED TO A-2 IS NEEDED
- 20 BECAUSE THE A-2 ZONE IS A ZONE IN WHICH A COMMERCIAL KENNEL IS
- 21 ALLOWED. THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT IS REQUIRED BY THE
- 22 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM TO ENSURE THAT A COMMERCIAL DOG KENNEL, AS
- 23 AN ACCESSOR USE, IS APPROPRIATE FOR THE FIVE ACRE SITE. THE
- 24 CASE WAS HEARD BY THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION ON MARCH
- 25 26TH, 2008. AT THAT HEARING, THE COMMISSION RECOMMENDED



- 1 APPROVAL OF THE ZONE CHANGE AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. THIS
- 2 CONCLUDES MY REPORT TO THE BOARD. I'M AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY
- 3 QUESTIONS.

4

5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THERE ARE NO PUBLIC SPEAKERS.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO PUBLIC SPEAKER. ANY COMMENTS FROM
- 8 COUNSEL? THE ITEM IS BEFORE US, CHAIR MOVE TO CLOSE TO PUBLIC
- 9 HEARING. THE ITEM'S BEFORE US. OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ASKING STAFF HOW MANY TIMES HAS THE KENNEL
- 12 BEEN INSPECTED?

13

- 14 DEAN EDWARDS: IT WAS INSPECTED ONCE BY DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
- 15 PLANNING.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY TIMES ANIMAL CONTROL
- 18 INSPECTED THEM?

19

- 20 **DEAN EDWARDS:** I DON'T KNOW THE EXACT NUMBER, BUT IT'S BEEN
- 21 MORE THAN SEVERAL.

22

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE VIOLATIONS THAT WERE OBSERVED, HAVE YOU
- 24 NOTICED THAT THEY WERE CORRECTED OR NEVER CORRECTED?



- 1 DEAN EDWARDS: THE VIOLATIONS THAT WERE BROUGHT TO STAFF'S
- 2 ATTENTION OCCURRED AFTER THE SITE INSPECTION.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BASED UPON YOUR OBSERVATIONS AND THE
- 5 OPERATOR'S TRACK RECORD WOULD YOU FEEL COMFORTABLE
- 6 RECOMMENDING THAT THE PEOPLE BOARD THEIR DOGS AT THIS KENNEL?

7

- 8 **DEAN EDWARDS:** I BELIEVE THOSE QUESTIONS MAY BE APPROPRIATE FOR
- 9 ANIMAL CONTROL.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. LET ME ASK ANIMAL CONTROL THAT. AS ONE
- 12 OF THE INSPECTORS, WOULD YOU WANT YOUR DOG THERE?

13

14 **DEAN EDWARDS:** I DON'T HAVE A DOG.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE GIVE ONE AWAY EVERY WEEK. COME A LITTLE
- 17 BIT EARLIER, WE HAD A NICE ONE TODAY, AND WE WILL GET YOU ONE
- 18 NEXT WEEK.

19

20 **DEAN EDWARDS:** NO, I WOULD NOT.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MARSHA'S GOING TO COME UP FOR AN AMENDMENT,
- 23 MR. CHAIRMAN.

24

25 SUP. KNABE: AND WE ARE WAITING FOR?

1

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. ANTONOVICH: MARSHA? 2 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MARSHA? 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHY DON'T WE PUT THIS ON THE TABLE. SOON AS 6 7 THEY FIND MARSHA--8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, THIS ITEM WILL TAKE-- IS THIS 9 ITEM 3, CORRECT? 10 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: YES. 12 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE WILL SET THAT ASIDE UNTIL THE HEAD OF 14 15 ANIMAL CONTROL COMES. I'LL TAKE UP ITEM NUMBER 4. MICHAEL 16 THERE, IS TESTIMONY ON 4, DO YOU WANT TO-- OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WE WILL TAKE UP ITEM 4.

18

- 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 4, THIS IS COMBINED HEARING
- ON PROJECT NUMBER R2006-03771-2, ZONE CHANGE CASE NUMBER 20
- 21 200600013-2, CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT CASE NUMBER 200600326-2
- 22 AND CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION RELATING TO PROPERTY LOCATED 13500
- 23 SOUTH BROADWAY STREET IN THE WEST RANCHO DOMINGUEZ VICTORIA
- COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT, ATHENS ZONE DISTRICT, PETITIONED 24
- BY CHARLES J. MOORE ON BEHALF OF CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY. THERE 25



- 1 IS A DEPARTMENT STATEMENT ON THIS. CORRESPONDENCE WAS ALSO
- 2 RECEIVED ON THIS MATTER, AND I BELIEVE THERE'S SOME
- 3 INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE SIGNED UP TO TESTIFY.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. FIRST OF ALL, WE'LL HAVE STAFF
- 6 REPORT, THEN WE'LL CALL THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.

- 8 MI KIM: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS, MI KIM WITH ZONING PERMITS,
- 9 REGIONAL PLANNING. NEXT TO THE ME IS MARK CHILD, THE
- 10 SUPERVISING REGIONAL PLANNER. ITEM NUMBER 4, PROJECT NUMBER
- 11 R200603771 IS A ZONE CHANGE REQUEST, WITH THE CONCURRENT
- 12 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR THE CONTINUATION OF AN INDUSTRIAL
- 13 PLANT FOR THE STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION OF GASOLINE, DIESEL
- 14 OIL, DISTILLATE PRODUCTS AND ETHANOL ON 11.9 ACRES. THE
- 15 PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 13500 SOUTH BROADWAY STREET IN THE
- 16 UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY OF WEST RANCHO DOMINGUEZ VICTORIA
- 17 ATHENS ZONE DISTRICT. THE APPLICANT CONOCOPHILLIPS REQUESTS A
- 18 ZONE CHANGE FROM M1.5, RESTRICTED TO HEAVY MANUFACTURING, TO
- 19 M2D.P., PROGRAM, HEAVY MANUFACTURING WITH A DEVELOPMENT
- 20 PROGRAM, TO BRING ZONING IN CONFORMANCE WITH EXISTING
- 21 FACILITY. THE EXISTING FACILITY WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1950S, AS
- 22 ALLOWED BY ZONING AT THAT TIME. IN 1978 THE ZONING CODE WAS
- 23 AMENDED TO PROHIBIT THE USE IN M1.5 ZONE. AND THE FACILITY
- 24 BECAME LEGAL NON CONFORMING. THE REQUEST TO ZONE CHANGE WOULD
- 25 MAKE ZONING CONSISTENT WITH THE EXISTING LAND USE. THE ZONE



- 1 CHANGE IS LIMITED TO A DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM TO ENSURE THAT THE
- 2 EXISTING FACILITY CONTINUES TO OPERATE WITHIN IT'S CURRENT
- 3 DEVELOPMENTAL FOOTPRINT. THIS DESIGNATION LIMITS THE USE OF
- 4 THE SITE TO WHAT IS EXISTING AND ENSURES THAT THE FUTURE
- 5 REQUEST FOR CHANGE WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCRETIONARY REVIEW.
- 6 THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION HELD A PUBLIC HEARING ON THIS
- 7 MATTER ON SEPTEMBER 24TH, 2008. ONE PERSON SPOKE IN
- 8 OPPOSITION. NO OTHERS TESTIFIED. THE COMMISSION RECOMMENDED
- 9 ADOPTION OF THE ZONE CHANGE AND APPROVAL OF THE CONDITIONAL
- 10 USE PERMIT WITH CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION BY A 4-0 VOTE. THIS
- 11 CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION, THANK YOU.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OF STAFF
- 14 BEFORE WE CALL UP THOSE ASSIGNED TO TESTIFY? EACH SPEAKER WILL
- 15 BE ALLOWED TWO MINUTES. I'LL CALL UP SEVERAL. FIRST OF ALL,
- 16 JOHN MELVIN, RICK SONGER, I BELIEVE, JUDITH SONGER AND CHARLES
- 17 MOORE. THEN WHEN MR. MELVIN IS THROUGH AND RETURNS TO HIS
- 18 SEAT, BELYNDA, I THINK IT IS REYA, SIGNED UP. OKAY. MR.
- 19 MELVIN? IDENTIFY YOURSELF FOR THE RECORD, PLEASE.

- 21 JOHN MELVIN: YES, MY NAME IS JOHN MELVIN. I REPRESENT SO.CAL.
- 22 AND PAN- PACIFIC YARN. I'M AN ATTORNEY INVOLVED IN TWO
- 23 LAWSUITS THAT ARE RELATED TO THIS LOCATION. I'M SPEAKING IN
- 24 OPPOSITION TO THE RECOMMENDATION. THE RECOMMENDATION IS COMING
- 25 TO YOU BASED ON AN INCOMPLETE PICTURE, TO SAY THE LEAST, TO



- 1 THE TUNE OF WELL OVER 100,000 GALLONS OF GASOLINE THAT IS
- 2 UNDERNEATH THE CONOCOPHILLIPS LOCATION AND ITS NEIGHBORING
- 3 PROPERTIES. RIGHT NOW, WE STILL DO NOT KNOW THE FULL EXTENT OF
- 4 THIS CONTAMINATION. THE DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND THE
- 5 REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD ARE STILL DOING THEIR
- 6 INVESTIGATION. WE DON'T KNOW HOW DEEP THE CONTAMINATION GOES,
- 7 THE VOLUME OF IT, OR HOW WIDE IT IS BUT IT IS MIGRATING SOUTH
- 8 AND IT IS ON THE GROUND WATER. IN FACT, WE HAVE CONFIRMED THAT
- 9 WE HAVE OVER TWO FEET OF GASOLINE SITTING ON THE GROUND WATER.
- 10 NOW THIS OMISSION MEANS THE COUNTY COUNSEL'S RECOMMENDATION IS
- 11 WRONG, AS A MATTER OF LAW. IT CANNOT BE CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT
- 12 FROM C.E.O.A. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS. IT IS A PROJECT UNDER
- 13 C.E.Q.A. UNDER SECTION 21065. BUT C.E.Q.A. ARTICLE 19, SECTION
- 14 15300.2 SAYS THAT A CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION, QUOTE, "SHALL NOT
- 15 BE USED FOR AN ACTIVITY WHERE THERE IS A REASONABLE
- 16 POSSIBILITY THAT THE ACTIVITY WILL HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT
- 17 ON THE ENVIRONMENT DUE TO UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES." AND THIS
- 18 WILL HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT, AS DEFINED BY APPENDIX G TO
- 19 THE GUIDELINES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT, BECAUSE WILL IT
- 20 CONTAMINATE A PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY AND WILL CREATE A POTENTIAL
- 21 PUBLIC HAZARD. AS A RESULT, WE NEED TO HAVE, BEFORE THIS
- 22 ZONING CHANGE IS ALLOWED, AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, SO
- 23 WE KNOW HOW MUCH CONTAMINATION WE ARE DEALING WITH. EVENTUALLY
- 24 IT MAY BE PERMITTED BUT AS OF RIGHT NOW THERE IS NO NEED TO



- 1 RUSH FORWARD BECAUSE THEY COULD CONTINUE AS A LEGAL NON
- 2 CONFORMING USE. I DO HAVE A COUPLE OTHER POINTS.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: CAN YOU MAKE THEM QUICKLY?

5

- 6 JOHN MELVIN: YES. RIGHT NOW WE ARE DEALING WITH BENZENE LEVELS
- 7 9,000 TIMES THE LEGAL LIMIT. THIS IS A SERIOUS HEALTH HAZARD
- 8 BUT WE STILL DON'T HAVE THE COMPLETE PICTURE, THE DEPARTMENT
- 9 OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES IS STILL INVESTIGATING, SO IS THE WATER
- 10 BOARD. WE SHOULD NOT RUSH FORWARD, WE SHOULD WAIT UNTIL THE
- 11 INVESTIGATION IS COMPLETE. THE BOARD DOES HAVE ITS POWER TO
- 12 DELAY THIS, AND IT WON'T HURT CONOCOPHILLIPS EITHER, BECAUSE
- 13 THEY COULD CONTINUE AS A LEGAL NONCONFORMING USE, SO THERE IS
- 14 NO PREJUDICE TO THEM.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. MR. SONGER?

- 18 RICK SONGER: MY NAME IS RICK SONGER. I OWN THE PROPERTY THAT
- 19 ADJOINS THE CONOCOPHILLIPS PROPERTY TO THE SOUTH. ACROSS THE
- 20 WAY, I CAN SEE THEIR TANK FACILITIES. RECENTLY THIS HAS COME
- 21 TO THE ATTENTION OF ME THAT THIS GASOLINE SPILL HAS OCCURRED
- 22 AND THE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD IS INVESTIGATING,
- 23 THEY WERE OUT AT MY PROPERTY YESTERDAY, AND IN THE STREET.
- 24 THIS IS NOT ONLY MY PROPERTY BUT IT'S SEVERAL ADJOINING
- 25 PROPERTIES. THEY ARE NOT ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE EXTENT OF THE



- 1 SPILL YET. THEY ARE STILL TRYING TO INVESTIGATE THAT. MY MAIN
- 2 CONCERN IS, ANY CHANGE IN THE ZONING THAT WOULD PREVENT THE
- 3 ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT FROM BEING COMPLETE I DON'T WANT. I'M A
- 4 SIMPLE GUY. THE MAIN THING I'M CONCERNED WITH IS THE HEALTH OF
- 5 MY EMPLOYEES. I HAVE BEEN IN BUSINESS 25 YEARS. I'VE HAD THIS
- 6 PROPERTY FOR TEN YEARS. ALL OF A SUDDEN, THIS COMES UP. AND
- 7 WITHOUT GETTING INTO LEGAL RAMIFICATIONS, I'M CONCERNED ABOUT
- 8 MY HEALTH, MY WIFE'S HEALTH AND EMPLOYEES' HEALTH. WE HAVE
- 9 REQUESTED THAT THE WATER CONTROL BOARD COME OUT AND EDUCATE
- 10 EMPLOYEES. WE'RE TRYING TO GET THAT SCHEDULED. AND I JUST
- 11 DON'T WANT ANY RUSH TO JUDGMENT THAT WOULD PREVENT THIS FROM
- 12 BEING CORRECTLY DEALT WITH FROM THE GOVERNMENT LEVEL. IT IS
- 13 PRETTY MUCH AS SIMPLE AS THAT. I DON'T EVEN NEED THREE
- 14 MINUTES. I THINK ANYBODY IN THIS ROOM WOULD TAKE THE SAME
- 15 POSITION AS ME, IF THEY WERE IN MY SHOES, WITHOUT A DOUBT. SO
- 16 THAT'S THE CONCERN.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. JUDITH?

19

- 20 JUDITH SONGER: EXCUSE ME IF I'M A LITTLE NERVOUS, I HAVE NEVER
- 21 DONE THIS BEFORE.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: JUST RELAX.



- 1 JUDITH SONGER: MY NAME IS JUDY SONGER. I AM THE PROPERTY OWNER
- 2 AT 207 WEST 138TH STREET, ADJOINING THE PROPERTY OF
- 3 CONOCOPHILLIPS. I RESPECTFULLY OPPOSE ANY ZONING CHANGE
- 4 REQUESTED BY CONOCOPHILLIPS UNTIL A FULL INVESTIGATION HAS
- 5 BEEN COMPLETED BY THE CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY
- 6 CONTROL BOARD ON THE ADVERSE HEALTH EFFECTS FROM THE BENZENE
- 7 VAPOR INTRUSION THAT HAS OCCURRED BECAUSE OF THE GASOLINE
- 8 SPILL. IN A LETTER FROM THE CALIFORNIA WATER QUALITY CONTROL
- 9 BOARD TO RICHARD SOLOMON OF CONOCOPHILLIPS DATED DECEMBER
- 10 31ST, REGARDING SOME OF THE INITIAL FINDINGS, AS OF SEPTEMBER
- 11 28TH, APPROXIMATELY 74,000 GALLONS OF FREE PRODUCT HAVE BEEN
- 12 RECOVERED FROM UNDERNEATH OF OUR BUILDING. IF I CAN OUOTE SOME
- 13 OF THE KEY FINDINGS FROM THE WATER REGIONAL QUALITY CONTROL
- 14 BOARD TO MR. SOLOMON, ON PAGE 3, NUMBER ONE, SOIL VAPOR
- 15 CONCENTRATIONS FOR BENZENE BENEATH THE 207 WEST 138TH
- 16 BUILDING, THAT'S OUR BUILDING, AT A DEPTH OF 6.5 FEET RANGE
- 17 FROM 19,600 MICROGRAMS PER LITER TO A MAXIMUM OF 23,000
- 18 MICROGRAMS PER LITER. THIS IS A QUOTE FROM . THESE SOIL VAPOR
- 19 CONCENTRATIONS COULD POSE A THREAT TO HUMAN HEALTH. NUMBER
- 20 TWO, ON PAGE THREE, APPROXIMATELY 2.45 FEET OF LIGHT NON-
- 21 AQUEOUS PHASE LIQUID HAS BEEN DETECTED BENEATH BUILDING 207,
- 22 WEST 138TH STREET BUILDING, IN THE MOST RECENT SAMPLING EVENT
- 23 CONDUCTED ON SEPTEMBER 16TH, 2008. FURTHERMORE, THE HIGHEST
- 24 CONCENTRATIONS OF BENZENE DETECTED IN GROUND WATER, DURING THE
- 25 MOST RECENT SAMPLING, BETWEEN BUILDINGS 201 AND 207, WAS 780



- 1 MICROGRAMS PER LITER. IN PRIOR INVESTIGATIONS -- EXCUSE ME, THE
- 2 CALIFORNIA MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVEL FOR BENZENE IN GROUND
- 3 WATER IS 1 MICROGRAM PER LITER. IN PRIOR INVESTIGATIONS, THE
- 4 BENZENE CONCENTRATION IN GROUND WATER AT THIS LOCATION WAS AS
- 5 HIGH AS 9,200 MICROGRAMS PER LITER. IN CONCLUSION, I DO NOT
- 6 THINK IT WOULD BE TO THE PUBLIC'S BENEFIT TO GRANT THIS ZONING
- 7 CHANGE UNTIL A FULL INVESTIGATION HAS BEEN COMPLETED BY THE
- 8 WATER BOARD, WHO WE ENTRUST TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND
- 9 SAFETY. AND IN CONCLUSION, IF YOU PULL UP TO A GASOLINE PUMP
- 10 AND YOU START PUMPING YOUR GAS, YOU WILL SEE THE WARNING SIGNS
- 11 ON THE GAS PUMP SAYING THAT BENZENE IS HAZARDOUS. I HAVE BEEN
- 12 IN THAT BUILDING SOMETIMES 24 HOURS A DAY AND THEY ARE JUST
- 13 BRUSHING THIS OFF LIGHTLY. IT IS A TERRIBLE HEALTH HAZARD TO
- 14 MY EMPLOYEES AND MYSELF. AND I'M SORRY.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. BELYNDA
- 17 I THINK IT IS RECH, AND CHARLES MOORE? THANK YOU FOR COMING
- 18 DOWN. PLEASE IDENTIFY YOURSELF, TWO MINUTES, EACH.

- 20 CHARLES MOORE: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS CHARLES
- 21 MOORE, SPEAKING FOR THE APPLICANT. FIRST OF ALL THIS IS A ZONE
- 22 CHANGE MATTER BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. CERTAINLY THE
- 23 REMEDIATION WORK IS UNDERWAY THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
- 24 WATER QUALITY CONTROL AND I HAVE COUNSEL FOR THE COMPANY WITH
- 25 ME HERE, SHOULD THERE BE QUESTIONS. THIS IS A ZONE CHANGE



- 1 REOUEST. IT'S SIMPLY A NON CONFORMING USE THAT'S BEEN THERE
- 2 FOR DECADES. THE REASON WE ARE MAKING THE REQUEST NOW,
- 3 FRANKLY, IS AS MATTERS COME UP AT THE FACILITY, THE DEPARTMENT
- 4 OF REGIONAL PLANNING QUITE CORRECTLY REQUIRES A CONDITIONAL
- 5 USE PERMIT. WE CAN'T OBTAIN A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT BECAUSE
- 6 WE DON'T HAVE THE CORRECT ZONING. SO THERE IS NO CHANGE IN THE
- 7 OPERATION. THE REMEDIATION WORK THAT IS UNDERWAY, WHICH
- 8 COUNSEL JUST SPOKE TO, IS BEING ADMINISTERED AND MONITORED BY
- 9 REGIONAL WATER QUALITY. THIS IS SIMPLY A ZONE CHANGE REQUEST,
- 10 AND WE REQUEST THAT THE BOARD ACCEPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF
- 11 YOUR REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION AND APPROVE THE ZONE CHANGE.
- 12 COUNSEL HERE IS INVOLVED IN THE LITIGATION. IF YOU HAVE
- 13 QUESTIONS, SHE IS HERE TO ANSWER THEM. OTHERWISE WE SUBMIT TO
- 14 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, BELYNDA?

18 BELYNDA RECH: UNLESS YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I DID WANT TO

- 19 MENTION THAT THE INVESTIGATION REMEDIATION AT THE SITE HAS
- 20 BEEN ONGOING QUITE SOME TIME, AND IS BEING DONE UNDER THE
- 21 DIRECT SUPERVISION, AND UNDER THE ORDER OF THE REGIONAL WATER
- 22 QUALITY CONTROL BOARD WITH ASSISTANCE FROM D.T.S.C.
- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS.

25

23

15



- 1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. LET ME JUST
- 2 SIMPLY INDICATE THAT I THINK THE APPROPRIATE RESOLUTION MAY BE
- 3 LOCATED IN THE MOTION THAT I'D LIKE TO PRESENT, THAT THE BOARD
- 4 OF SUPERVISORS' APPROVAL OF THIS PROJECT AND ASSOCIATED PERMIT
- 5 REQUEST, REFERRED TO AS PROJECT NUMBER R2006-03771-2 AUTHORIZE
- 6 THE CONTINUED STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION OF GASOLINE, DIESEL,
- 7 ETHANOL AND SIMILAR PRODUCTS ON 11.9 ACRE LOT WITHIN THE
- 8 ATHENS ZONED DISTRICT. TO ENSURE THAT THE PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS
- 9 ARE INSTALLED IN A TIMELY MANNER, I REQUEST THE BOARD ADD A
- 10 CONDITION TO CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT THAT REQUIRES LANDSCAPE
- 11 PLAN BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF DIRECTOR APPROVAL. I
- 12 THEREFORE MOVE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CLOSE THE PUBLIC
- 13 HEARING, FIND THAT THE PROJECT IS CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT UNDER
- 14 C.E.Q.A. ACT AND TO INDICATE INTENT TO APPROVE THE SO-NAMED
- 15 PROJECT, AND FINALLY INSTRUCT THE COUNTY COUNSEL TO PREPARE
- 16 FINDING AND CONDITIONS NECESSARY FOR THESE APPROVALS AND BRING
- 17 THEM BACK TO A FUTURE MEETING FOR THE BOARD'S CONSIDERATION
- 18 AND DISPOSITION. I SO MOVE, MR. CHAIRMAN.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: CHAIR WILL SECOND THAT. DISCUSSION, ANY
- 21 ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS OF COUNSEL OR OF DEPARTMENT? IF NOT, WITH
- 22 THAT MOTION AND THOSE ADDITIONS TO THE C.U.P., SO ORDERED,
- 23 THANK YOU.

24

25 CHARLES MOORE: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR.



1

2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, READY TO CALL ITEM 3 BACK? IS THAT

3 CORRECT, MR. ANTONOVICH?

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE QUESTION I HAD, HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU
- 6 VISITED THE SITE AND WHAT TYPE OF VIOLATIONS WAS THE APPLICANT
- 7 INVOLVED WITH AND WHAT WAS THE STATUS OF CORRECTING THOSE
- 8 VIOLATIONS?

9

- 10 MARCIA MAYEDA: SUPERVISOR, I'D LIKE TO REQUEST THAT I HAVE MY
- 11 MAJOR CASES UNIT MANAGER AND HER OFFICER WHO'S DONE THE
- 12 INSPECTIONS ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS.

13

- 14 SHERI KOENIG: OKAY. WE'VE BEEN TO THE PROPERTY AND PROBABLY
- 15 WITHIN THE LAST YEAR WE'VE BEEN THERE AT LEAST FOUR TIMES, IF
- 16 NOT MORE.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

19

- 20 SHERI KOENIG: I'M SORRY, SHERI KOENIG. I'M MANAGER FOR THE
- 21 DEPARTMENT'S MAJOR CASE UNIT. SO WE'VE BEEN TO THE PROPERTY AT
- 22 LEAST FOUR TIMES TO INSPECTING IT.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WHAT WAS THE CORRECTION? YOU WANT TO FIX
- 2 YOUR MICROPHONE. WHAT TYPE OF VIOLATIONS WERE CITED AND WHAT
- 3 WAS THE RAMIFICATION BY APPLICANT IN CORRECTING THOSE?

4

- 5 SHERI KOENIG: THAT VIOLATIONS ON THE PROPERTY ARE NUMEROUS,
- 6 ALL THE WAY TO INADEQUATE FENCING. THE DOGS MAY BE ABLE TO GET
- 7 OFF THE PROPERTY. THE WAY THE DOGS ARE HOUSED ON THE PROPERTY.
- 8 IT'S A BOARDING FACILITY FOR PUBLIC CITIZENS SO THE FACILITY
- 9 IS SUCH THAT THERE ARE NO KENNEL RUNS. IT'S JUST LARGE OPEN
- 10 PLAY YARDS OR SPACES, IF YOU WILL, AND ALL THE DOGS ARE JUST
- 11 KIND OF THROWN IN THIS AREA AND LEFT TO FEND FOR THEMSELVES.
- 12 SO THERE ARE INJURIOUS CONDITIONS MAINTAINED. DOGS ARE BEING
- 13 INJURED AT THAT FACILITY. ALSO THE SHELTER FOR THE ANIMALS IS
- 14 INADEQUATE. THEY ARE OUT IN THESE PLAY YARDS WITH NO PROPER
- 15 SHELTER. SOME OF THE FENCING INSIDE WHERE I'M TOLD SOME OF THE
- 16 DOGS ARE KEPT AT NIGHT, THE CAGES ARE KIND OF DISREPAIR AND
- 17 THOSE WERE ORDERED. SO THERE ARE A LOT OF DIFFERENT
- 18 VIOLATIONS, AND THEY HAVE NOT BEEN CORRECTED. WE HAVE ISSUED
- 19 SEVERAL ORDERS.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I ASKED PUBLIC WORKS IF THEY WOULD PLACE
- 22 THEIR ANIMAL THERE. WOULD YOU PLACE YOUR ANIMAL AT THAT
- 23 FACILITY?

24

25 SHERI KOENIG: WOULD I PLACE MINE?



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YES.

3

4 SHERI KOENIG: ABSOLUTELY NOT.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS, THE SAFETY OF ANIMALS
- 7 IN OUR PRIVATE KENNELS IS IMPORTANT TO INDIVIDUALS WHO MUST
- 8 BOARD THEIR DOGS WHEN THEY ARE AWAY. OVER THE PAST YEAR OR SO
- 9 THE DEPARTMENTS OF REGIONAL PLANNING AND ANIMAL CARE AND
- 10 CONTROL HAVE REVIEWED THE OPERATION AND PRACTICES OF THE
- 11 APPLICANT. THEY HAVE NOT EXHIBITED GOOD FAITH TO ADDRESS
- 12 ENFORCEMENT NOTICES OR VIOLATION ORDERS, NOR HAS THE APPLICANT
- 13 SHOWN A WILLINGNESS TO WORK COOPERATIVELY WITH COUNTY STAFF.
- 14 UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES THE OPERATION OF KENNEL MAY
- 15 ADVERSELY IMPACT BOTH THE BOARDED ANIMALS AS WELL AS
- 16 SURROUNDING PROPERTY OWNERS. BASED UPON THEIR FINDINGS AND THE
- 17 TESTIMONY BEFORE THE BOARD, IT IS CLEAR THE OPERATION OF
- 18 KENNEL AT THIS LOCATION WOULD NOT BE IN INTEREST OF PUBLIC
- 19 HEALTH, SAFETY, GENERAL WELFARE, NOR DOES IT CONSTITUTE A GOOD
- 20 ZONING PRACTICE. SO I'D MOVE THAT THE BOARD CLOSE PUBLIC
- 21 HEARING, DENY ZONE CHANGE 2005-000165, DIRECT COUNTY COUNSEL
- 22 TO PREPARE FINDINGS FOR DENIAL AND BRING THOSE BACK TO THE
- 23 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. THAT'S THE MOTION.



- 1 DEAN EDWARDS: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, THERE WAS ALSO A C.U.P.
- 2 AT ISSUE, SO THE BOARD'S MOTION SHOULD INCLUDE A DENIAL OF THE
- 3 C.U.P. ALONG WITH ZONE CHANGE.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT WOULD BE INCLUDED.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: HAVE THAT GENTLEMAN GET ONE OF THE
- 8 COUNTY DOGS? THAT IS NOT PART OF IT? NO.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: COME HERE NEXT WEEK. WE'LL BE HERE. WE WERE
- 11 GOING TO MEET EARLY SO--

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED
- 14 BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND WITHOUT OBJECTIONS, DENIAL IS
- 15 ORDERED, THANK YOU.

16

17 SHERI KOENIG: THANK YOU.

18

- 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MR. CHAIRMAN THAT COMPLETES THE PUBLIC
- 20 HEARINGS TODAY. YOUR OFFICE IS UP FIRST.

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. LET'S SEE. ITEM 35-B.

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ARE WE READY FOR 35-B?



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: 35-B, I WILL ASK THE C.E.O. TO MAKE SOME
- 2 COMMENTS ON THIS MOST SIGNIFICANT EFFORT BY THE STATE OF
- 3 CALIFORNIA, POTENTIALLY.

- 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I'M GOING TO START IN A SHORT WHILE BUT I WANT
- 6 TO GIVE TIME FOR THE DEPARTMENTS TO JOIN US. WHAT I HAVE ASKED
- 7 IS THE DEPARTMENTS MAINLY ARE SOCIAL SERVICES AND HEALTH
- 8 SERVICES DEPARTMENTS TO COME FORWARD AND TO ALSO SPEAK TO THE
- 9 IMPACT OF ANY DEFERRALS THAT ARE BEING PROPOSED BY THE
- 10 GOVERNOR. AT THIS POINT IN TIME WE HAVE TWO DEFERRALS THAT ARE
- 11 PENDING APPROVAL AT THE STATE LEVEL. ONE IS THE STATE
- 12 CONTROLLER'S DEFERRAL, THAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN BECAUSE OF THE
- 13 DELAY IN APPROVING THE BUDGET. IT REPRESENTS ABOUT \$105
- 14 MILLION. ON TOP OF THAT, THE GOVERNOR HAS PROPOSED A
- 15 SUBSTANTIAL DEFERRAL OF PAYMENTS, THAT IF IT GOES FOR ENTIRE
- 16 TIME PERIOD, IT COULD BE APPROXIMATELY \$1.4 BILLION. THIS IS,
- 17 TO SAY THE LEAST, WOULD HAVE AN EXTREMELY SERIOUS IMPACT ON
- 18 NOT ONLY OUR ABILITY TO DELIVER THE IMPACTED SERVICES BUT FOR
- 19 THE ENTIRE COUNTY BUDGET. IT IS, IN MY OPINION, AN OUTRAGEOUS
- 20 PROPOSAL. I THINK IT IS IRRESPONSIBLE. IT ASSUMES THAT AN
- 21 ENTITY LIKE THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, LET ALONE THE OTHER
- 22 COUNTIES AND CITIES THROUGHOUT THE STATE, HAVE THE ABILITY TO
- 23 DEAL WITH THIS DEFERRAL BY ACCESSING OTHER PARTS OF MONEY THAT
- 24 JUST DON'T EXIST. IF THE MONEY IS DEFERRED OVER EXTENDED
- 25 PERIOD OF TIME WE WOULD HAVE NO CHOICE BUT ATTEMPT TO BORROW



- 1 MONEY FROM WHATEVER SOURCE POSSIBLE TO CONTINUE THESE
- 2 PROGRAMS. WE HAVE ALSO, AS PART OF THIS PRESENTATION, WILL
- 3 HEAR FROM COUNTY COUNSEL AS RELATES TO OUR LEGALLY MANDATED
- 4 RESPONSIBILITIES TO PROVIDE SOME OF THE SERVICES. WE HAVE -- WE
- 5 DON'T HAVE THE SPECIFIC DETAIL REGARDING EXACTLY WHAT PROGRAMS
- 6 ARE GOING TO BE IMPACTED BUT EVERY SINGLE ENTITY, ESPECIALLY
- 7 OUR COUNTY, WE ARE FACING A DEFICIT TO BEGIN WITH. WE ARE
- 8 DEALING WITH DEFERRALS ON AN ONGOING BASIS, SUCH AS DEFERRALS
- 9 FOR SOME OF OUR HEALTHCARE REIMBURSEMENT, WHEN COMES TO MEDI-
- 10 CAL PROGRAM. THIS WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY COMPOUND THE PROBLEMS.
- 11 WE LOOKED AT SOME OF THE AREAS. IT WILL COVER-- IF THIS DOES
- 12 GO FORWARD, IT WILL COVER A LOT OF PREVENTIVE HEALTHCARE
- 13 PROGRAMS, OUR ALCOHOL AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROGRAMS, THE EARLY
- 14 AND PERIODIC SCREENING AND DIAGNOSTIC TREATMENT, MENTAL HEALTH
- 15 SERVICES, BOTH ON INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT BASIS. OF COURSE
- 16 OUR HEALTH SERVICES. THERE WILL BE HUNDREDS OF PRIVATE
- 17 CONTRACTORS, OUR COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS THAT WOULD BE
- 18 IMPACTED AS A CONSEQUENCE OF THIS DEFERRAL AND WILL LOOK TO US
- 19 TO HELP CONTINUE WITH THE FUNDING AND YET OUR ABILITY TO DO SO
- 20 WOULD BE IMPACTED. I'M GOING TO PAUSE RIGHT NOW AND ASK IF WE
- 21 CAN START WITH OUR CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES TO SPEAK TO
- 22 THE IMPACT IT WILL HAVE ON OUR CHILDREN'S AND FAMILY PROGRAMS.

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, YES.

25



- 1 TRISH PLOEHN: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. BASICALLY THE CUTS
- 2 AND DEFERRALS WOULD HAVE POTENTIALLY A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON ALL
- 3 OF THE CHILDREN AND FAMILIES IN THIS COUNTY. AT THIS POINT IN
- 4 TIME, WE ALL KNOW THAT ON TOP OF THE UNEMPLOYMENT, ON TOP OF
- 5 LOSS OF HOUSING, AS THESE FAMILIES ARE TAKING CUTS IN INCOME,
- 6 THERE WILL BE STRESS TO THESE FAMILIES. THAT STRESS HAS THE
- 7 POTENTIAL TO MANIFEST IN DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, WHICH COULD
- 8 ULTIMATELY RESULT IN CHILD ABUSE. THAT INDEED WOULD CAUSE A
- 9 SEVERE IMPACT TO THE NUMBER OF CHILDREN THAT ARE COMING INTO
- 10 CARE. AS YOU KNOW, UNDER THE WAIVER THAT YOU ALL APPROVED OVER
- 11 A YEAR AGO WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF CHILDREN
- 12 THAT HAVE BEEN COMING INTO CARE AND KEEP FAMILIES TOGETHER.
- 13 THIS WOULD BASICALLY SLOW OR EVEN REVERSE THOSE TRENDS, HAVING
- 14 MORE CHILDREN COMING IN THE FRONT DOOR. WITHOUT THE SERVICES,
- 15 WITHOUT THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE SERVICES, WITHOUT THE MENTAL
- 16 HEALTH SERVICES, WITHOUT THE SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND TREATMENT
- 17 SERVICES FOR THESE FAMILIES THESE CHILDREN WOULD HAVE TO
- 18 REMAIN IN CARE BECAUSE WE WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO GET THEM HOME
- 19 OUICKLY AND THAT WOULD START TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF
- 20 CHILDREN THAT THIS COUNTY IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SERVING AND TAKE
- 21 AWAY THAT HOPE AND THAT POTENTIAL THAT THESE FAMILIES COULD
- 22 GET THE TREATMENT THAT THEY NEED AND THEN HAVE THEIR CHILDREN
- 23 RETURNED TO THEM. IT ALSO HAS THE POTENTIAL TO REVERSE THE
- 24 TREND ON OUR WAIVER. OUR WAIVER THUS FAR HAS BEEN SUCCESSFUL
- 25 AND ALLOWED US TO DO FLEXIBLE FOR SERVICES AND HAS ALLOWED US



- 1 TO SAVE MONEY FOR THIS COUNTY. IF INDEED THESE CUTS AND THESE
- 2 DEFERRALS ACTUALLY OCCUR, MORE CHILDREN ENTER CARE. IT HAS THE
- 3 POTENTIAL FOR HAVING US TO HAVE TO OPT OUT OF THE WAIVER,
- 4 WHICH INDEED WOULD BE A HUGE FISCAL IMPACT ON THE COUNTY AND
- 5 NEGATIVE IMPACT ON CHILDREN AND FAMILIES.

- 7 DR. JONATHON FIELDING: THANK YOU, SUPERVISORS. THIS WOULD HAVE
- 8 A DEVASTATING IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND IT WOULD PROBABLY
- 9 BOTH INCREASE OVERALL COSTS, INCREASE THOSE ENTERING THE
- 10 CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM AND BE A HAMPER TO ECONOMIC
- 11 PRODUCTIVITY. THE CUTS WE SEE HAPPENING, IF THIS OCCURS, ARE
- 12 IN FOUR AREAS. THE FIRST IS THE ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM
- 13 ADMINISTRATION. WE WOULD SEE THE STATE-SUPPORTED OUTPATIENT
- 14 AND RESIDENTIAL SERVICES AND DETOX AND TREATMENT WOULD AFFECT
- 4,500 OR 5,000 CLIENTS WITH A LOSS OF ABOUT \$17.5 MILLION, BUT
- 16 ANOTHER \$121 MILLION FROM MEDI-CAL REIMBURSEMENT, WHICH IS
- 17 ESSENTIAL FOR TREATMENT AND OUTPATIENT FOR NARCOTICS USE AND
- 18 WOULD LIKELY AFFECT ABOUT 50,000 CLIENTS. ALSO WE WOULD HAVE A
- 19 SUBSTANTIAL REDUCTION OF \$22.5 MILLION IN CHILDREN'S MEDICAL
- 20 SERVICES. THE \$22 MILLION LOSS IN STATE FUNDS WHICH WE USE TO
- 21 MATCH WOULD LEAD TO ABOUT A \$41 MILLION DECLINE IN
- 22 ADMINISTRATION, WHICH BASICALLY WOULD WIPE IT OUT. IT WOULD BE
- 23 94 PERCENT OF ALL THE FUNDING WE HAVE FOR THAT SO IT WOULD
- 24 AFFECT THOSE WITH NEW PROBLEMS GETTING ON THE LIST, AFFECT THE
- 25 AVAILABILITY OF THOSE ALREADY IN TO GET DIAGNOSIS, TO GET



- 1 TREATMENT AND TO GET THERAPY AT THE THERAPY CENTERS. THE THIRD
- 2 AREA IS CORE PUBLIC HEALTH. WE ESTIMATE THAT WOULD BE \$8.5
- 3 MILLION DECLINE, AND THAT WOULD AFFECT OUR HEALTH FACILITIES'
- 4 INSPECTION, WHICH ARE CRITICAL FOR PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC IN
- 5 TERMS OF ENTERING THOSE. IT WOULD AFFECT ADVERSELY OUR H.I.V.
- 6 TRACKING, OUR MATERNAL , CHILD AND ADOLESCENT HEALTH PROGRAMS,
- 7 NUTRITION PROGRAMS, IMMUNIZATION PROGRAMS, TOBACCO-CONTROL
- 8 PROGRAMS AND HEALTH EDUCATION PROGRAMS. AND THEN FINALLY IT
- 9 WOULD ALSO AFFECT H.I.V. TO THE TUNE OF ABOUT \$9 MILLION,
- 10 PARTICULARLY A.D.A.P., WHICH IS THE PROGRAM OF THE STATE THAT
- 11 PROVIDES FUNDING FOR LIFE SAVING AND LIFE SUSTAINING
- 12 MEDICATION, FOR THOSE WITH H.I.V. AND A.I.D.S., IT WOULD LEAD
- 13 TO A SUBSTANTIAL REDUCTION IN TESTING AND COUNSELING, THE BEST
- 14 MEASURE OF PREVENTION WE HAVE. IT WOULD PROBABLY AFFECT AT
- 15 LEAST 8,000 WHO WOULD NOT BE TESTED AND PROBABLY ANOTHER
- 16 12,000 WHO WOULD NOT RECEIVED ADEQUATE COUNSELING SO IT WOULD
- 17 HAVE DEVASTATING IMPACT IN TOTAL. WE ARE STILL-- THESE ARE THE
- 18 BEST ESTIMATES TODAY-- WE ARE STILL LOOKING AT HOW MANY STAFF
- 19 THIS WOULD LEAD TO HAVING TO LAY OFF, BUT THE PRELIMINARY
- 20 NUMBER WE HAVE IS IN THE AREA OF 900 TO 1,000. IT WOULD AFFECT
- 21 320 OF OUR CONTRACTORS, SO A SUBSTANTIAL NUMBER OF OUR
- 22 CONTRACTORS.

- 24 SPEAKER: SUPERVISORS, FOR HEALTH SERVICES, THE SEVEN-MONTH
- 25 DEFERRAL IS ABOUT \$35.7 MILLION A MONTH, OR \$250 MILLION OVER



- 1 THE SEVEN MONTHS. THAT WOULD BE FROM OUR COST BASE
- 2 REIMBURSEMENT FOR CLINICS PROGRAM, REIMBURSEMENT. THE
- 3 DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE OF HOSPITAL PAYMENTS AND THE PAYMENTS
- 4 TO OUR COMMUNITY HEALTH PLAN. THESE ARE ALL SERVICES THAT
- 5 WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT FOR US TO CUT BUT IT PROVIDES A VERY
- 6 SIGNIFICANT CASH FLOW ISSUE FOR THE COUNTY, UP TO \$250
- 7 MILLION, THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE FLOATED BY COUNTY IN ORDER TO
- 8 KEEP THESE SERVICES GOING.

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. YES.

11

- 12 PHILLIP BROWNING: I THINK THIS WOULD AFFECT DIRECTLY OR
- 13 INDIRECTLY EVERY ONE OF THE 2.2 MILLION INDIVIDUALS THAT WE
- 14 SERVE EVERY DAY IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. WE ARE STILL TRYING TO
- 15 ASSESS THE REAL IMPACT BUT IT LOOKS LIKE WE WOULD TAKE
- 16 SIGNIFICANT REDUCTIONS IN CAL-WORKS. WE SERVE ABOUT 145,000
- 17 INDIVIDUALS EVERY DAY IN THAT PROGRAM. IF THE ACTUAL DEFERRAL
- 18 INCLUDES THE ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS WE ARE LOOKING AT OVER A
- 19 BILLION DOLLARS IN THE SEVEN-MONTH PERIOD. OUR HOPE IS THAT
- 20 THE STATE WOULD PASS ON THE FEDERAL SHARE OF THE BLOCK GRANT,
- 21 WHICH IS ABOUT \$3.7 BILLION. THIS WOULD ALSO IMPACT C.A.P.I.
- 22 THE STATE-FUNDED ONLY PROGRAM FOR REFUGEES. THE FOOD STAMP
- 23 PROGRAM WOULD BE IMPACTED. WE HAVE 600,000 INDIVIDUALS THAT
- 24 ARE SERVED THERE. MEDI-CAL, WE HAVE 1.6 MILLION THAT ARE
- 25 SERVED. WE CURRENTLY HAVE THE ADVANCED PAYMENT FOR THIS



- 1 CURRENT OUARTER ALREADY, SO THE DEFERRAL WOULDN'T ACTUALLY
- 2 TAKE PLACE, WE DON'T BELIEVE, UNTIL MARCH BUT THAT WOULD
- 3 DEFINITELY BE AN IMPACT. I THINK IT IS GOING TO HAVE A
- 4 DRAMATIC IMPACT IF IT WERE TO CARRY ON MORE THAN ONE MONTH
- 5 MANY OF THE INDIVIDUALS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SERVING IN CAL-
- 6 WORKS GET CHILD CARE, 10,000 OR MORE INDIVIDUALS WHO GET CHILD
- 7 CARE EVERY MONTH, AND OF COURSE, THEY WOULD BE IMPACTED ALSO.
- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.
- 11 SPEAKER: AND FINALLY, FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF MENTAL HEALTH,
- 12 THE DEFERRAL OF STATE PAYMENTS WOULD REPRESENT FOR THE LAST
- 13 TWO QUARTER OF THE FISCAL YEAR APPROXIMATELY \$96 MILLION IN
- 14 E.P.S.D.T. SERVICES, OR SERVICES TO CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS.
- 15 THIS REPRESENTS NOT ONLY THE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES TO
- 16 CHILDREN IN SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY CLINICS, BUT ALSO SERVICES
- 17 TO CHILDREN IN THE SPECIALIZED FOSTER CARE SYSTEM, JUVENILE
- 18 JUSTICE SYSTEM THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. IT WOULD IMMEDIATELY
- 19 IMPACT CONTRACT PROVIDERS AS WELL AS DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL
- 20 HEALTH DIRECTLY OPERATED FACILITIES.
- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ANY QUESTIONS? YES, SUPERVISOR MOLINA?
- 23

21

8



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I WOULD LIKE TO GET A CLARIFICATION BECAUSE I'M
- 2 NOT SO SURE I UNDERSTAND ALL OF IT COMPLETELY. RIGHT NOW THESE
- 3 ARE TWO PROPOSALS, CORRECT?

4

- 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES. WELL, ONE IS A PROPOSAL. THE STATE
- 6 CONTROLLER'S DEFERRAL IS PROBABLY A REALITY, GIVEN WHERE THEY
- 7 ARE AT NOW.

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: HE, UNDER HIS AUTHORITY, CAN CARRY THIS OUT
- 10 WITHOUT LEGISLATIVE--

11

12 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE STATE CONTROLLER CAN.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: CAN.

15

- 16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WHEREAS THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL, I UNDERSTAND,
- 17 DOES REQUIRE LEGISLATIVE ACTION BUT THE STATE CONTROLLER, WITH
- 18 HIS CURRENT AUTHORITY, COULD DELAY PAYMENTS TO US, IF THE
- 19 MONEY IS NOT THERE. AND THAT IS WHAT HE ANNOUNCED LAST WEEK,
- 20 THAT THAT COULD HAPPEN.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT.

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: SO IT'S ON A MONTH-TO-MONTH BASIS THERE.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: SO THAT I UNDERSTAND AND I NEED TO CLARIFY, WHEN
- 2 YOU SAY WE HAVE ENOUGH CASH FLOW TO COVER ONE MONTH, OR AT
- 3 LEAST THAT'S BEEN SAID, I'M NOT SURE WHAT THAT MEANS. WHEN WE
- 4 SAY WE HAVE ENOUGH CASH FLOW TO COVER IT FOR ONE MONTH, THAT
- 5 WOULD MEAN THOSE SERVICES COULD CONTINUE AS NORMAL FOR ONE
- 6 MONTH. IN THE FOLLOWING MONTH WHAT HAPPENS TO OUR CASH FLOW
- 7 SITUATION?

8

- 9 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AT THAT POINT, WHAT I MENTIONED EARLIER, AND
- 10 THE REPORT REFLECTS THAT, WE CAN PROBABLY DEAL WITH THIS FOR
- 11 ONE MONTH. IT WOULD HAVE A CONSEQUENCE BUT WE WOULD DIP INTO
- 12 OUR RESERVES AND WE DO HAVE SOME CASH AVAILABLE TO ADDRESS
- 13 THIS THROUGH THAT PROCESS.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: SO LET ME UNDERSTAND THAT. IF WE WERE DOING IT
- 16 FOR ONE MONTH, WE'RE GOING TO DIP INTO CASH RESERVES TO PULL
- 17 OUT, RIGHT?

18

19 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: BUT DO WE HAVE THE CASH FLOW IN MARCH OR APRIL
- 22 TO--

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NO, WE DO NOT.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: FOR THOSE SERVICES BUT WHAT ABOUT THE OTHER,
- 2 SAFETY, ISSUES, ALL THESE OTHERS?

3

- 4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE DO NOT HAVE THE CASH FLOW TO DEAL WITH
- 5 THIS. WE WOULD HAVE TO GO AND BORROW THE MONEY, AND WE
- 6 UNDERSTAND THE DIFFICULTIES IN GOING TO MARKET RIGHT NOW AND
- 7 BORROWING THE MONEY.

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: LET ME UNDERSTAND THIS CORRECTLY. NOW, DO WE HAVE
- 10 A PROPOSAL OR AN ORDER FROM THE CONTROLLER TO DEFER THE
- 11 PAYMENTS FOR FEBRUARY, CORRECT?

12

13 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: SO WHEN WOULD THAT AFFECT US ON A CASH FLOW
- 16 BASIS? ON THE FIRST OF FEBRUARY OR AT THE END OF FEBRUARY?

17

- 18 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE WOULD LOOK AT IT TOWARDS THE END OR
- 19 BEGINNING PART OF MARCH.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. SO THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR US TO COVER
- 22 THAT, LET'S SAY, WOULD BE TOWARD THE END OF FEBRUARY?

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: IF WE WERE TO COVER IT FOR A MONTH. SO ON MARCH
- 2 30TH, OR 31ST, DO WE HAVE-- THEN WE HAVE NO CASH FLOW, SHOULD
- 3 THEY NOT RESOLVE THIS ISSUE, NO CASH FLOW FOR OF OTHER
- 4 SERVICES LIKE SAFETY, IS THAT CORRECT?

5

- 6 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE WOULD HAVE SAFETY, SUCH AS OUR SHERIFF'S
- 7 SERVICES. SO ON--

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: EXACTLY, THAT IS WHY I'M TRYING TO JUST GET A
- 10 CLARIFICATION OF CASH FLOW ISSUE. I UNDERSTAND THESE ARE ALL
- 11 OUR SOCIAL SERVICES, OUR HEALTH SAFETY SERVICES, ALL OF THOSE.
- 12 THAT'S WHERE THE FIRST SET OF CUTS, SO WHEN WE SAY WE ARE
- 13 COVERING IT FROM OUR RESERVES, THAT'S WHY I WANT TO
- 14 UNDERSTAND.

15

16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT IS IMPACT ON OUR CASH FLOW FOR THE MONTH OF
- 19 MARCH OR APRIL.

20

- 21 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THERE ARE SOME SERVICES WE WOULD BE ABLE TO
- 22 CONTINUE, BUT THE SERVICES THAT ARE BEING IMPACTED BY THIS
- 23 PROPOSAL--



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I'M NOT ASKING THE QUESTION. WHAT IS CASH FLOW
- 2 IMPLICATION? IN OTHER WORDS, LET'S SAY WE FUND THIS FOR A
- 3 MONTH, LET'S SAY.

4

5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I UNDERSTAND.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: DOES IT AFFECT OUR CASH FLOW FOR THE FOLLOWING
- 8 MONTH?

9

10 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES, IT DOES.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: WHICH WAY, JUST FOR THESE SERVICES?

13

- 14 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IF WE CONTINUE MAINTAINING ALL THESE PROGRAMS,
- 15 WE WILL NOT HAVE THE CASH TO FUND THOSE SERVICES.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE REALITY IS, IT AFFECTS ALL SERVICES.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S THE POINT I'M TRYING TO MAKE, AND I GUESS
- 20 IT'S NOT CLEAR. I UNDERSTAND ALL THIS PART.

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IT IMPACTS ALL OUR SERVICES.

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: SURE IT DOES.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT I'M TRYING TO SAY IN MARCH, WILL I HAVE TO
- 2 CUT BACK ON PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN MY
- 3 CASH FLOW? THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING YOU.

4

- 5 SPEAKER: THE ANSWER I'M TRYING TO PROVIDE IS YOU WILL NOT--
- 6 YOU WILL HAVE THE CASH. IF YOU SHUT DOWN ALL THESE OTHER
- 7 SERVICES, YOU CAN CONTINUE WITH YOUR PUBLIC SAFETY PROGRAMS.
- 8 BUT GIVEN THE CRITICALITY OF ALL THESE OTHER SERVICES, THE
- 9 CONSEQUENCES WOULD BE--

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND THE CONSEQUENCES, I'M JUST TRYING TO
- 12 UNDERSTAND THE SEQUENCE OF THE CASH FLOW SITUATION, BECAUSE IT
- 13 IS FROM RESERVE, AND WHEN IT STARTS AFFECTING OUR CASH FLOW TO
- 14 FUND OTHER SERVICES, I UNDERSTAND THE IMPLICATIONS HERE, VERY
- 15 DRAMATIC, BUT YOU ARE SAYING THAT IF WE WERE NOT TO FUND THIS
- 16 AND WE FUNDED IT ONE MONTH, AND DON'T FUND IT FOR THE SECOND
- 17 MONTH--

18

19 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AND START SHUTTING ALL THE PROGRAMS DOWN--

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: YES, I UNDERSTAND BUT IT IS NOT CUTTING BACK ON
- 22 SAFETY SERVICES.

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I UNDERSTAND.



1 SUP. MOLINA: WELL--

2

- 3 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT PRESUMES WE CAN SHUT EVERYTHING DOWN, AND
- 4 I'M SAYING THAT IS IMPOSSIBLE.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: I'M JUST NOT GETTING IT CLEAR.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: AS AN EXAMPLE, IF THEY DECIDED TO
- 9 FURTHER PAYMENTS, THE STATE, LONGER THAN A MONTH, WHAT ARE
- 10 OPTIONS AS A RESULT TO SAY WELFARE PAYMENTS? DO WE HAVE
- 11 OPTIONS? WE STILL HAVE TO PROCEED WHICH WOULD IMPACT-- WHAT
- 12 OPTIONS DO WE HAVE?

13

- 14 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE SPEAK TO SOME OF THE LEGAL OPTIONS THAT WE
- 15 HAVE.

16

- 17 RAY FORTNER, COUNSEL: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, WITH
- 18 RESPECT TO PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES AND HEALTH SERVICES, AS YOU
- 19 KNOW, WE ARE MANDATED TO PROVIDE GENERAL RELIEF AND CERTAIN
- 20 MEDICAL CARE TO THE INDIGENT.

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I KNOW THAT PART, BUT CAN WE--

- 24 RAY FORTNER: THOSE CONTINUE ON BUT OTHER PROGRAMS WE DO NOT
- 25 BELIEVE ARE MANDATED.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: LET ME ASK YOU AN ABBREVIATED QUESTION.
- 3 CAN WE JUST PAY OUR WELFARE PORTION AND EXCLUDE THE STATE
- 4 PORTION?

5

- 6 RAY FORTNER: FOR EXAMPLE IN CAL-WORKS THERE IS A COUNTY
- 7 PORTION. I BELIEVE WE COULD DO THAT BUT I DON'T KNOW FROM A
- 8 LEGAL STANDPOINT.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING, CAN WE JUST PAY
- 11 OUR PORTION?

12

13 RAY FORTNER: WE MAY WANT TO DO THAT.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: CAN WE JUST PAY OUR PORTION, AND NOT THE
- 16 STATE'S PORTION, NUMBER ONE? NUMBER TWO, CAN WE JUST TRANSFER
- 17 THE PROGRAM BACK TO SACRAMENTO?

18

- 19 RAY FORTNER: WELL, THAT WOULD TAKE A LEGISLATIVE CHANGE TO
- 20 TRANSFER THE PROGRAM BACK. THE LEGISLATION TRANSFERS ARE
- 21 ACTING AS THE STATE'S AGENT TO RUN THE PROGRAM.

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: PROBABLY COULDN'T FIND ANYBODY TO CARRY
- 24 THAT.



1 RAY FORTNER: IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT.

2

- 3 SUP. MOLINA: IS THIS ENOUGH TO PAY FOR PUBLIC SAFETY? IT'S AN
- 4 ISSUE.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: GLORIA, ARE YOU THROUGH WITH YOUR
- 7 QUESTIONS?

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: I GOT MORE CONFUSED BUT I AM GOING TO TRY TO
- 10 FIGURE IT OUT.

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, DAV AND THEN MIKE.

13

- 14 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE DO HAVE THE AUDITOR HERE. WE HAVE ASKED HER
- 15 TO COME FORWARD, THE ACTING AUDITOR CONTROLLER, TO COME
- 16 FORWARD AND SPEAK TO SOME OF THE CASH FLOW ISSUES, BECAUSE
- 17 SUPERVISOR MOLINA HAS A QUESTION. I DON'T KNOW-- I GUESS I
- 18 DIDN'T ANSWER IT DIRECTLY, BUT WE DO HAVE HER HERE AVAILABLE
- 19 TO SPEAK TO THAT.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. BEFORE WE GET TO HER, SHE MAY
- 22 WANT TO RESPOND, WHAT I HAVE TO SAY ALSO. I SPENT ALL LAST
- 23 EVENING TALKING TO LEGISLATORS WHO REPRESENT-- EXCUSE MY
- 24 VOICE, SORRY, PART OF THE PROBLEM WAS TALKING ON THE PHONE TOO
- 25 MUCH LAST NIGHT-- TO MY LEGISLATORS ABOUT THIS ISSUE. THIS IS



- 1 RIDICULOUS. THIS WHOLE IDEA OF THE STATE DEFERRING ITS
- 2 OBLIGATION SO THAT IT CAN DEFER ITS RESPONSIBILITY OF HAVING
- 3 TO BALANCE ITS BUDGET AS BEST THEY CAN, AS MR. FUJIOKA SAID,
- 4 IS IRRESPONSIBLE. IT'S BIZARRE. MY REACTION WAS, WELL FINE,
- 5 YOU WANT TO DEFER YOUR PAYMENTS, WE WILL TELL ALL OF OUR
- 6 CONTRACTORS, "WE WILL SEND YOU A CHECK WHEN WE GET STATE'S
- 7 CHECK NEXT AUGUST, AND YOU GUYS CAN HANDLE IT, GO OUT BORROW
- 8 SOME MONEY." NOW WHICH ONE OF OUR AGENCIES IS GOING TO OUT
- 9 THERE AND BORROW MONEY? HOW MUCH ARE WE GOING TO HAVE TO PAY
- 10 IN INTEREST IF WE COULD GET A LOAN, IF WE COULD SELL BONDS
- 11 RIGHT NOW IN THIS ENVIRONMENT? IT IS ABSOLUTELY RIDICULOUS,
- 12 ABSOLUTELY RIDICULOUS. SO THE ISSUE FOR ME, THIS IS NOT AN
- 13 OPTION. I THINK, I SAID IT YESTERDAY TO SOMEBODY, THIS IS A
- 14 DOOMSDAY SCENARIO. THIS IS A DOOMSDAY SCENARIO. IF THEY DECIDE
- 15 TO DEFER THEIR PAYMENTS TO US SEVEN MONTHS WE ARE ALL DEAD. I
- 16 DON'T THINK THERE IS A WAY TO GET THROUGH THIS. I DON'T THINK
- 17 WE OUGHT TO HOLD OUT HOPE THAT THERE IS A WAY TO GET THROUGH
- 18 THIS. MAYBE WE CAN GET THROUGH FOR ONE MONTH. AS YOU SAY,
- 19 THERE WILL BE A CONSEQUENCE IN GETTING THROUGH ONE MONTH
- 20 BECAUSE IT IS ONE MONTH WORTH OF-- IT IS ONE MONTH WORTH OF
- 21 RESERVES, WHATEVER WE HAVE IN RESERVE, THAT WILL BE EXPENDED
- 22 COMPLETELY BEFORE YOU GET TO THE SECOND MONTH. AND THE
- 23 RESERVES THAT WE HOLD, THE FUNDS THAT WE HOLD, ARE NOT
- 24 INTENDED TO BACKFILL FEDERAL AND STATE MONIES. IT'S NEVER BEEN
- 25 THE CASE. WE ARE DOING SOME OF THAT TODAY, NUMBER 15, WE'RE



- 1 GOING TO DO SOME BRIDGE FUNDING WHICH, FRANKLY, I HAVE TO
- 2 SWALLOW HARD TO DO IT. BUT AS A RULE, WE DON'T USE GENERAL
- 3 FUND MONEY TO BACKFILL MEDI-CAL OR WELFARE FUNDS, OR CHILD
- 4 WELFARE FUNDS, FEDERAL, STATE FUNDS. WE JUST DON'T DO THAT. WE
- 5 COULDN'T BEGIN TO DO THAT IF WE WANTED TO. WE DON'T HAVE THAT
- 6 KIND OF MONEY. SO THEY DEFER AND WE DEFER THE INEVITABLE. AND
- 7 I THINK WE NEED-- NOW IS THE TIME. RIGHT NOW IS THE TIME TO
- 8 MAKE IT ABSOLUTELY CLEAR THAT THE CONSEQUENCE OF THE
- 9 GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL, WHICH I THINK THIS IS AT THIS MOMENT,
- 10 ONLY THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL, FROM WHAT I'M ABLE TO GLEAN, BUT
- 11 YOU NEVER KNOW, THAT ANY DEFERRAL OF FUNDS IS GOING TO LEAD TO
- 12 A-- TO OUR BUDGET SPINNING OUT OF CONTROL. NOT JUST OURS, ALL
- 13 THE MAJOR COUNTIES IN THE STATE, ALL MAJOR URBAN COUNTIES IN
- 14 THE STATE ARE GOING TO HAVE THE EXACT SAME PROBLEM. WE HAVE
- 15 THE BIGGEST PROBLEM BECAUSE WE ARE, WHAT, 40 PERCENT, 35, 40
- 16 PERCENT OF THE LOAD THAT THE STATE HAS IN THIS. I THINK IT
- 17 OUGHT TO BE-- I'M NOT-- AND WHAT IS THE RATIONALE? I SAY THIS,
- 18 IT IS A RHETORICAL QUESTION, WHAT IS THE RATIONALE FOR US
- 19 HAVING TO GO OUT AND BORROW MONEY, FOR US PAYING EXORBITANT
- 20 INTEREST RATES IN THIS ECONOMY, IF WE CAN SELL ANY BONDS, TO
- 21 CREATE A CASH FLOW THAT COVERS FOR THE STATE'S DEFERRAL OF
- 22 THEIR OBLIGATION? THAT'S JUST RIDICULOUS. WE ARE NOT BEING
- 23 COMPENSATED FOR THAT. IT IS GOING TO COST US MONEY TO GET THE
- 24 STATE'S MONEY WHICH THEY ARE GOING TO GIVE US WITHOUT
- 25 INTEREST, CORRECT, MR. FUJIOKA?



1

2 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WASN'T THAT THE PROPOSAL? NO INTEREST.
- 5 THAT'S ANOTHER THRILL. SO THIS HAS TO BE DEAD ON ARRIVAL.
- 6 POLITICALLY IT'S GOT TO BE DEAD ON ARRIVAL. LAST THING I WILL
- 7 SAY ON THIS IS, IF ANYBODY BELIEVES THAT WHEN THE STATE OF
- 8 CALIFORNIA TODAY SAYS WE HAVE TO DEFER FOR SEVEN MONTHS THE
- 9 PAYMENT, BELIEVES THAT IN SEVEN MONTHS YOU'RE GOING TO GET THE
- 10 MONEY, I'VE GOT SOME BEACHFRONT PROPERTY IN IOWA I WANT TO
- 11 SELL YOU. THEY WILL FIND ANOTHER EXCUSE AND THEY PROBABLY WILL
- 12 HAVE A BETTER EXCUSE IN AUGUST OR SEPTEMBER, WHEN UNEMPLOYMENT
- 13 HAS GONE WELL TO DOUBLE-DIGITS, WHEN REVENUES ARE WELL INTO
- 14 THE TANK, TO SAY, "WE CAN'T DO THAT." SO THE STATE, BEFORE
- 15 PROP. 1-A PASSED A FEW YEARS AGO, THE STATE USED TO RIP OFF
- 16 OUR PROPERTY TAX DOLLARS TO BALANCE THEIR BUDGET. THEY CAN'T
- 17 DO THAT ANY MORE BECAUSE OF PROP 1-A. SO NOW, INSTEAD OF
- 18 GETTING US ON THE REVENUE SIDE, THEY ARE GETTING US ON -- ON
- 19 OUR REVENUE SIDE. THEY'RE GETTING US ON THEIR REVENUE SIDE.
- 20 THEY ARE WITHHOLDING THEIR REVENUES, AND TRYING TO GET TO THE
- 21 SAME PLACE. BALANCE THEIR BUDGET ON OUR BACKS, MORE
- 22 IMPORTANTLY BACKS OF PEOPLE WHO FIND THEMSELVES ON THE MARGINS
- OF OUR ECONOMY AND MARGINS OF OUR SOCIETY, THE SICK, THE POOR,
- 24 HOMELESS, THE FOLKS WHO HAVE NO HEALTH INSURANCE WHO RELY ON
- 25 THE PUBLIC SECTOR, AND THE SAFETY NET TO JUST BARELY MAKE IT.



- 1 THAT IS WHO THE BUDGET IS GOING TO BE BALANCED ON. IT'S NOT
- 2 GOING TO BE BALANCED ON THE BILLIONAIRES OR MILLIONAIRES OR
- 3 THE YACHT OWNERS OR LEAR JET OWNERS. IT'S GOING TO BE BALANCED
- 4 ON THE PEOPLE WHO WE SERVE. THEY CAN'T OUITE BRING THEMSELVES
- 5 TO PLUG THAT LOOPHOLE FOR THE YACHTS AND THE PRIVATE JETS THAT
- 6 GOT THESE TAX BREAKS IN THE LAST BUDGET , BY OUR WONDERFUL
- 7 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BUT TO STICK IT TO EVERY DISADVANTAGED
- 8 PERSON THAT WE REPRESENT, THAT WE CAN STOMACH BECAUSE THEY
- 9 CAN'T GET TO LEGISLATURE, OR THE GOVERNOR OR TO US OR
- 10 WHOMEVER. ON THIS I DRAW A LINE IN THE SAND. I JUST CAN'T SEE
- 11 HOW WE EVEN HOLD OUT ANY HOPE THAT WE WOULD DIP INTO RESERVES.
- 12 WE'RE GOING TO NEED THOSE, MR. FUJIOKA, TO KEEP OUR SHERIFFS
- 13 ON THE STREET. WE'RE GOING TO NEED THOSE RESERVES TO KEEP THE
- 14 FIRE DEPARTMENT ON THE STREET. WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DIP
- 15 INTO THE RESERVES TO PAVE THE STREETS AND TO REPAIR THE
- 16 LANDSLIDES IN THE OUTLYING PARTS OF COUNTY AND DEAL WITH FIRES
- 17 AND FLOODS THAT WE HAVE EVERY YEAR. THAT'S WHERE OUR RESERVES
- 18 ARE USED FOR, IS FOR OUR LOCAL-- NOT FOR FEDERAL, STATE
- 19 PROGRAMS, BUT FOR OUR LOCAL PROGRAMS WHICH ARE SEVERAL, MANY,
- 20 MANY BILLIONS IN VALUE. SO I JUST HOPE WE DON'T GO DOWN THAT
- 21 ROAD. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MIKE.

24



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BILL, TO FOLLOW UP ON THOSE REMARKS, IS IT
- 2 FISCALLY PRUDENT FOR US TO DO BAIL-OUTS, WITH NO GUARANTEE
- 3 THAT WE WILL BE REIMBURSED, JEOPARDIZING OUR ABILITY TO
- 4 PROVIDE THOSE PUBLIC SAFETY, GENERAL FUND MANDATES THAT WE'RE
- 5 REQUIRED TO? C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ABSOLUTELY NOT. AND WHAT
- 6 COMPOUNDS THIS ISSUE, WHAT MAKES IT EXTREMELY FRUSTRATING IS
- 7 THE FACT THAT I THINK THE STATE PRESUMES THE PROBLEMS ARE
- 8 ISOLATED AT THE STATE LEVEL, THE PROGRAMS THEY FUND. IT
- 9 DOESN'T RECOGNIZE THAT AT THE LOCAL LEVEL-- AND LATER ON
- 10 TODAY, WE WILL BE SPEAKING TO SOME OF OUR REVENUES, AND HOW
- 11 THEY'RE COMING IN SIGNIFICANTLY SHORT OF WHAT WE BUDGETED, AND
- 12 THE IMPACT WHAT WE ARE SEEING LOCALLY, WITH OUR LOCAL
- 13 REVENUES, AND AS A CONSEQUENCE, HOW WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH
- 14 DEFICITS NOT ONLY THIS YEAR BUT ALSO NEXT YEAR.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DOES THE STATE AGAIN HAVE THAT LEGAL
- 17 AUTHORITY TO MANDATE A PROGRAM WITHOUT REIMBURSING FOR THAT
- 18 PROGRAM AND INDICATING THEY MAY REIMBURSE FOR IT AT A LATER
- 19 DATE?

- 21 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE ARE WORKING WITH COUNTY COUNSEL ON THAT. TO
- 22 DETERMINE WHAT PROGRAMS WE HAVE TO CONTINUE. THERE ARE SOME,
- 23 SUCH AS HEALTHCARE, WE WILL HAVE NO CHOICE. IF A PERSON
- 24 PRESENTS HIMSELF AT ONE OF OUR EMERGENCY ROOMS, BY LAW, WE
- 25 HAVE TO PROVIDE TREATMENT FOR THAT INDIVIDUAL. THEN THERE IS



- 1 ALSO REGULATIONS THAT REQUIRE OUR MANDATE TO FOLLOW-UP CARE.
- 2 AND THAT IS SOMETHING, EVEN TODAY THAT CONSTITUTES OR
- 3 REPRESENTS SOME OF PAYMENT DEFERRALS WE ARE EXPERIENCING
- 4 ANYWAY. SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE 1.4, THAT REPRESENTS
- 5 ADDITIONAL DEFERRALS. IT DOESN'T INCLUDE DEFERRALS WE DEAL
- 6 WITH ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT WE ALSO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL SERVICES THAT
- 9 ARE NOT MANDATED THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAS OUTLINED PREVIOUSLY.

10

11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YOU'RE RIGHT.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE CONTINUE TO PROVIDE NON-MANDATED SERVICES,
- 14 THEREBY JEOPARDIZING THE FISCAL STABILITY. YOU ARE GOING TO
- 15 HAVE TO REVIEW THOSE AS WELL IF YOU ARE GOING TO TAKE THAT
- 16 APPROACH.

17

- 18 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I TOTALLY AGREE. SUPERVISOR KNABE, OUR CHAIR
- 19 HEAD, AS PART OF TODAY'S ACTION, IS ASKING THAT WE COME BACK
- 20 ON A MONTHLY BASIS TO SPEAK TO THIS. IF THIS SHOULD GO
- 21 FORWARD, I WOULD-- WE'LL HAVE A NUMBER OF POLICY DECISIONS
- 22 THAT WILL HAVE TO BE MADE, TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THESE
- 23 POSITIONS, THOSE THAT ARE NOT LEGALLY MANDATED MUST CONTINUE.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WILL THAT BE INCLUDED IN THAT REPORT?



1 2

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ABSOLUTELY.

3

SUP. ANTONOVICH: IS 30 DAYS TOO LONG FOR THAT INFORMATION? 4

5

- C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AT ONE POINT IT MAY BE-- I'M SORRY, I TAKE 6
- THAT BACK. IT'S A WEEKLY UPDATE. IF THIS GAINS STEAM, AND I'M 7
- 8 HOPE DOESN'T, WE WILL NEED TO CARVE OUT A SECTION OF EACH
- BOARD MEETING TO DISCUSS THIS. THAT'S IS THE INTENT OF THE 9
- MOTION. THERE WILL BE SOME DECISIONS THAT WILL HAVE TO BE 10
- MADE. AND FOR US TO SHUT DOWN PROGRAMS, WE ALTHOUGH HOW 11
- DIFFICULT THAT IS WITH THAT, THE STAFF, THE PROGRAMS, THE 12
- IMPACT TO THE COMMUNITY, EVERYTHING. IT IS SOMETHING THAT WILL 13
- TAKE TIME, BUT UNFORTUNATELY GIVEN THIS PROPOSAL, IN THE WAY 14
- IT'S BEEN STRUCTURED, IT DOESN'T GIVE US THAT TIME. 15

16

- SUP. ANTONOVICH: DOES THE STATE HAVE THE LEGAL REQUIREMENT 17
- 18 THAT THEY COULD REPAY THESE LOANS LET'S SAY OVER A PERIOD OF
- 19 TIME OR REQUIRED THEY HAVE TO BE PAID WITHIN THE YEAR?

20

- C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I THINK THEY CAN-- THE REPAYMENT SCHEDULE IS 21
- 22 IN QUESTION. THERE IS A PROMISE RIGHT NOW, AS SUPERVISOR
- 23 YAROSLAVSKY MENTIONED, BUT THAT PAYMENT SCHEDULE IS ABSOLUTELY
- 24 IN QUESTION.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THEY MAY BE REQUIRED TO HAVE TO PAY IT
- 2 WITHIN THE YEAR, THEY CAN'T DEFER IT?

3

4 SPEAKER: SOME MAY AND SOME MAY NOT, DEPENDING ON [INAUDIBLE].

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND SINCE YOU ARE USING CASH FLOW SURPLUS TO
- 7 COVER STATE'S FEBRUARY DEFERRAL, IF THE STATE DOESN'T REPAY
- 8 THE FEBRUARY DEFERRAL, HOW DOES THAT IMPACT THE COUNTY'S
- 9 BUDGET AT THE END OF THE FISCAL YEAR?

10

- 11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT WILL HAVE A HUGE IMPACT, ABSOLUTELY HUGE
- 12 IMPACT. IT WILL COMPOUND A PROBLEM THAT ALREADY EXISTS. I
- 13 MENTION THAT BECAUSE OF OUR REDUCTION IN OUR LOCAL REVENUES.
- 14 WE ARE PROJECTING, AT MINIMUM, ABOUT \$173 MILLION DEFICIT ON A
- 15 GO-FORWARD BASIS. THAT HAS EVEN CHANGED. THAT WILL PROBABLY
- 16 INCREASE, GIVEN WHAT WE ARE SEEING IN SALES TAX NOW.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IS THE STATE AT THE BRINK, WHERE THEY WOULD
- 19 HAVE TO DECLARE BANKRUPTCY IN ORDER TO READJUST THEIR
- 20 FINANCIAL STABILITIES?

- 22 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I CAN'T SPEAK TO THAT. BUT I KNOW THAT IN MY
- 23 MANY, MANY YEARS DOING THIS, AT THIS LEVEL, WHETHER IT'S HERE
- 24 OR DOWN THE STREET, I HAVE NEVER SEEN IT THIS BAD. I DON'T
- 25 THINK THE STATE HAS FULLY GOTTEN THEIR ARMS AROUND THIS AND



- 1 TRULY KNOW THE DEPTH OF THE PROBLEM. THAT IS WHAT IS THE
- 2 SCARIEST.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I MEAN, THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT REDUCTIONS
- 5 THAT IS GOING TO END UP IN ELIMINATIONS OF VARIOUS PROGRAMS.

6

- 7 SPEAKER: ABSOLUTELY. WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT THE REDUCTIONS, IT'S
- 8 SOMEWHAT OF A MISNOMER. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT SHUTTING DOWN
- 9 MAJOR PROGRAMS IF THIS CONTINUES.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: EVEN THIS BUDGET THAT THE STATE IS WORKING ON
- 12 HAS AN INCREASE OVER THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S BUDGET.

13

- 14 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: TRUE. THERE ARE SOME -- THERE ARE SOME EXPENSES
- 15 THAT, JUST FOR A HOST OF REASONS, WILL INCREASE. WHETHER IT IS
- 16 IN HEALTHCARE AREA, COULD BE IN PENSIONS, COULD BE IN JUST
- 17 STANDARD COST OF LIVING, TO PURCHASE GOODS OR TO PAY SALARIES.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT.

20

- 21 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE WORK FORCE AND TYPE OF NUMBER PROGRAMS
- 22 COULD REMAIN THE SAME, BUT THE COST ASSOCIATED WITH THOSE
- 23 PROGRAMS WOULD GO UP THROUGH A MULTITUDE OF FACTORS.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: PERHAPS IT IS AT THE LEVEL WHERE THEY HAVE TO
- 2 RENEGOTIATE ALL EXISTING CONTRACTS. AND I DON'T KNOW HOW THEY
- 3 CAN RENEGOTIATE IN SUCH A SHORT TIMEFRAME BECAUSE OF THE
- 4 DISASTER THAT'S FACING EVERY CITY, COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
- 5 IN THE STATE.

6

- 7 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT'S ONE OPTION BUT THE STATE BUDGET IS SO
- 8 OUT OF BALANCE AS RELATES TO EXPENDITURES AND REVENUE THAT
- 9 THEY COULDN'T MERELY NEGOTIATE CONTRACTS TO GET OUT OF THIS
- 10 PROBLEM. THEY ARE-- THIS IS A CLASSIC EXAMPLE-- PEOPLE TALK
- 11 ABOUT AN ENTITY BEING UPSIDE DOWN WHEN EXPENDITURES FAR
- 12 EXCEEDS REVENUE THAT COMES IN. THIS IS THE EPITOME OF AN
- 13 ENTITY UPSIDE DOWN.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEY ARE NOT DOING SEVEN DAYS A WEEK, 14-HOUR
- 16 DAYS TO RESOLVE THIS. IT'S JUST RHETORIC.

17

18 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I AGREE.

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU.

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I WILL MOVE THE ITEM, SECONDED BY
- 23 SUPERVISOR MOLINA, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. WAIT. EXCUSE
- 24 ME, I'M SORRY. LET ME MAKE A MOTION TO RECONSIDER. WE HAVE A
- 25 NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT-- I'M SORRY. OKAY. [INAUDIBLE.]



1

2 SPEAKER: I'LL WAIT UNTIL PUBLIC COMMENT.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ASK GRACE WEHMAN, CRISTINA ALVARADO,
- 5 MARTIN CASTRO, HOLLY MITCHELL, MICHAEL OLENICK, IF YOU WOULD
- 6 LIMIT YOUR COMMENTS TO A MINUTE, PLEASE. PRETTY SURE YOU'D BE
- 7 IN AGREEMENT WITH MOST COMMENTS THAT WERE MADE UP HERE.

- 9 SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 10 I REPRESENT THE CHILD CARE ALLIANCE OF LOS ANGELES. I
- 11 REPRESENT AGENCIES THAT ADMINISTER THE CAL-WORKS STAGE ONE
- 12 CHILD CARE PROGRAM. WE FIRST WANT TO SAY, WE THANK YOU FOR
- 13 YOUR LEADERSHIP IN TAKING UP THIS ISSUE, WE APPRECIATE YOUR
- 14 UNDERSTANDING ON HOW CRITICAL THESE ISSUES ARE. I'M GOING TO
- 15 SPEAK AROUND THE IMPACT OF CHILD-- IN CHILD CARE IN TERMS OF
- 16 STAGE ONE. IF WE DON'T RECEIVE PAYMENTS FROM THE COUNTY, AND
- 17 THE STATE CONTINUES WITH DEFERRAL PROGRAM, WE ARE TALKING
- 18 ABOUT 11 MILLION A MONTH MANY PROVIDER PAYMENTS THAT GO OUT TO
- 19 CHILD CARE PROVIDERS THAT SERVE ABOUT 20,000 CHILDREN
- 20 THROUGHOUT THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY REGION. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
- 21 PROVIDERS WHO ARE NOT ONLY FAMILY CHILD CARE PROVIDERS, THEY
- 22 OWN THEIR OWN BUSINESSES. MANY OF THE PROVIDERS WE WORK WITH
- 23 ARE SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND THEY'RE FEELING THE PINCH. AND THE
- 24 CHILDREN THAT WE SERVE IN STAGE ONE ACTUALLY ARE ON CASH AID
- 25 SO THEY ARE GETTING DOUBLE HIT WITH THESE CUTS, AND WE'RE VERY



- 1 CONCERNED. WE KNOW THAT YOU RECOGNIZE IT, WE KNOW IT IS A
- 2 STATE PROBLEM, BUT WE JUST WANTED TO COMMUNICATE THE
- 3 SERIOUSNESSNESS OF THIS ISSUE.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. WE APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT
- 6 COMING DOWN HERE. WE KNOW IT'S A LITTLE OUT OF THE ORDINARY,
- 7 SO WE APPRECIATE YOU TAKING THE TIME TO BE HERE. YES.

- 9 HOLLY MITCHELL: GOOD AFTERNOON. HOLLY MITCHELL, REPRESENTING
- 10 CRYSTAL STAIRS. WE COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND THE SITUATION THE
- 11 COUNTY AND STATE IS CURRENTLY FACING WITH THESE UNPRECEDENTED
- 12 BUDGET CHALLENGES BUT I WANT TO PUT A FACE TO THE SITUATION WE
- 13 ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE. CRYSTAL STAIRS HAS 19 PERCENT OF THE
- 14 MARKET SHARE OF L.A. COUNTY FAMILIES ELIGIBLE FOR STAGE ONE.
- 15 IN THE MONTH OF DECEMBER ALONE WE SERVED 4,300 CHILDREN. A
- 16 DELAY IN PAYMENT TO THESE FAMILIES WOULD HAVE A DANGEROUS
- 17 RIPPLE EFFECT IN OUR COMMUNITY. CRYSTAL STAIRS ALONE IN THE
- 18 MONTH OF DECEMBER PAID OUT OVER \$2 MILLION TO CHILD CARE
- 19 PROVIDERS WHO PROVIDED CARE FOR THESE FAMILIES. THAT WOULD END
- 20 IN THE CURRENT SITUATION. I AGREE WITH YOU, SUPERVISOR, THIS
- 21 IS DOOMSDAY. WE AS NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS HAVE, INDEED, TAKEN
- 22 OUT LOANS AGAINST OUR OWN RESERVE ACCOUNTS IN PAST YEARS WHEN
- 23 THE STATE BUDGET HAS BEEN DELAYED AND HAD TO PAY THAT INTEREST
- 24 BACK IN ORDER TO CONTINUE TO PROVIDE CHILDCARE SERVICES AND
- 25 PAYMENTS TO PROVIDERS DURING THAT LAG, THAT 85-DAY DELAY THIS



- 1 YEAR. WE AS NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS CANNOT CONTINUE TO BE
- 2 EXPECTED TO FLOAT GOVERNMENT-SPONSORED SAFETY NET SERVICES. AS
- 3 NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS WE ARE NOT IN THE POSITION.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

6

- 7 MICHAEL OLENICK: GOOD MORNING, MIKE OLENICK. I'M THE C.E.O.
- 8 WITH CHILD CARE RESOURCE CENTER IN THE SAN FERNANDO AND
- 9 ANTELOPE VALLEYS. WE PROVIDE STAGE ONE CHILD CARE NORTH OF
- 10 MULHOLLAND DRIVE. WE MAKE ABOUT \$2.5 MILLION WORTH OF PROVIDER
- 11 PAYMENTS IN OUR STAGE ONE PROGRAM. THE STATE CONTROLLER'S
- 12 DEFERRAL OF FUNDING FOR CAL-WORKS STAGE ONE CREATES A CASH
- 13 FLOW ISSUE FOR US, WHERE WE WILL BE UNABLE TO SERVE ABOUT
- 14 5,300 CHILDREN, 3,000 FAMILIES, AND ABOUT 2,000 PROVIDERS. THE
- 15 BANKS ARE UNWILLING TO LOAN US FUNDS BECAUSE THEY DON'T
- 16 BELIEVE THE STATE IS A GOOD RISK. STARTING MARCH 10TH WE WILL
- 17 BE UNABLE TO MAKE PAYMENTS TO PROVIDERS, AND WE REALLY NEED TO
- 18 LET THEM KNOW AS SOON AS POSSIBLE THIS IS THE SITUATION WE ARE
- 19 FACING. WE APPRECIATE THE EFFORTS YOU HAVE MADE AND THAT YOU
- 20 WILL CONTINUE TO MAKE TO PRESSURE THE STATE LEGISLATURE,
- 21 CONTROLLER AND GOVERNOR TO ARRIVE AT A RESOLUTION TO THIS
- 22 FINANCIAL CRISIS.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, I WOULD JUST ADD, YOU CAN BE
- 2 ASSURED WE HAVE BEEN DOING THAT AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO DO IT.
- 3 ALSO WORKING IN CONCERT WITH C.S.A.C. AS WE SPEAK.

4

- 5 MARTIN CASTRO: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN. MY NAME IS MARTIN
- 6 CASTRO. I'M THE C.E.O. OF MEXICAN-AMERICAN OPPORTUNITY
- 7 FOUNDATION, HEADOUARTERED IN EAST LOS ANGELES, CITY OF MONTE
- 8 BELLA, TO BE EXACT. WITH OUR SERVICE AREA IN EAST LOS ANGELES
- 9 WE ARE TALKING ABOUT 1,000 PROVIDERS WHO WILL RECEIVE NOTICES,
- 10 IF THIS AGENDA ITEM IS NOT PASSED IN THE NEXT WEEK OR SO, THAT
- 11 THEIR PAYMENTS WILL BE DELAYED. MY CONCERN IS THEY WILL FLOOD
- 12 COUNTY OFFICES WITH CALLS. I BELIEVE THAT THE PASSAGE OF THIS
- 13 ITEM WILL BUY US SOME TIME, AT LEAST ONE MONTH, SO WE CAN PUT
- 14 PRESSURE ON OUR LEGISLATORS, MORE SO THAN WE HAVE DONE BECAUSE
- 15 WE ARE SO CLOSE TO DOOMSDAY. SO LET ME SAY I APPRECIATE THE
- 16 SITUATION THE COUNTY IS IN BUT I BELIEVE THESE 30 DAYS OF
- 17 BRIDGE FUNDING WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN OUR LEGISLATURE AND
- 18 GOVERNOR REACHING SOME SORT OF ACCORD. THESE PROVIDERS WORK
- 19 DAY IN AND DAY OUT TO TAKE CARE OF THESE CHILDREN AND THEY ARE
- 20 THE INNOCENT VICTIMS OF THIS WHOLE FIASCO, THANK YOU, MR.
- 21 CHAIRMAN.

- 23 CRISTINA ALVARADO: HI, I'M CRISTINA ALVARADO EXECUTIVE
- 24 DIRECTOR OF CHILD CARE INFORMATION SERVICE IN PASADENA. WE
- 25 SERVE ABOUT 440 CHILDREN. AND I DO APPRECIATE THE POSITION



- 1 THAT THE COUNTY IS IN AND THE POSITION THE STATE HAS PUT YOU
- 2 IN. AS SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES HAVE SPOKEN TO, WE CANNOT BORROW
- 3 THIS MONEY FROM THE BANKS BECAUSE THEY WON'T HONOR THE I.O.U.S
- 4 FROM THE STATE SO IT MAKES IT VERY DIFFICULT FOR US. AND IT IS
- 5 CRITICAL THAT WE CONTINUE TO PROVIDE SERVICES TO THESE
- 6 FAMILIES. THESE ARE FAMILIES THAT ARE GETTING THEIR LIVES
- 7 TOGETHER, THAT ARE WORKING. THE PROVIDERS HAVE THEIR OWN
- 8 BUSINESSES. IF THEY DON'T RECEIVE THE FUNDING, THEY WON'T BE
- 9 ABLE TO PAY FOR CHILD CARE AND THE BUSINESSES WILL GO OUT OF
- 10 BUSINESS. THAT WILL AFFECT THE UNEMPLOYMENT RATE, THAT WILL
- 11 AFFECT THE NUMBER OF FAMILIES THAT WILL GO BACK ON WELFARE, SO
- 12 I URGE YOU TO PROVIDE THIS BRIDGE FUNDING AND CONTINUE TO PUT
- 13 PRESSURE ON THE STATE SO THAT THEY RESOLVE THIS ISSUE, THANK
- 14 YOU.

15

16 **SUP. MOLINA:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YES.

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: CAN I SUGGEST TO SOME OF YOU THAT THE REALITY IS,
- 21 IT IS A LEGISLATIVE PROBLEM, IT'S A LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION.
- 22 AND WHILE WE CAN BRIDGE THE GAP, WE CERTAINLY CAN'T RESOLVE
- 23 THIS ISSUE. AND WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT US PUTTING PRESSURE, THERE
- 24 IS PRESSURE WE CAN AND WE WILL UTILIZE. BUT I REALLY DO THINK
- 25 THIS REALLY NEEDS A CONCENTRATED STRATEGY FROM MANY OF THE



- 1 PROVIDERS. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I HAD TO DO WHEN I WAS IN
- 2 THE LEGISLATURE, IN ORDER TO GET MY COLLEAGUES TO BELIEVE THE
- 3 HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUT RATE AFFECTED EVERY SINGLE ONE OF US, IS
- 4 TO GET DROPOUT RATES IN MANY OF THEIR OWN DISTRICTS NUMBERS.
- 5 IT WAS INTERESTING HOW MANY WELL-TO-DO COMMUNITIES AND
- 6 NEIGHBORHOODS OF LEGISLATORS WHO FELT THIS WAS NOT AN ISSUE
- 7 FOR THEM, THAT IT WAS AN INNER CITY PROBLEM, IT MIGHT BE WORTH
- 8 YOUR WHILE TO START ASKING SOME OF THE PEOPLE, AND YOU KNOW
- 9 WHO ARE SOME OF THE FOLKS THAT ARE JUST CUT, CUT, CUT. AND WE
- 10 KNOW THAT THE SOLUTION HERE IS A COMBINATION. IT'S GOING TO
- 11 HAVE TO BE A RAISE OF VARIOUS, QUOTE, TAXES, FEES, ALL KINDS
- 12 OF COMBINATION OF SOLUTIONS, INCLUDING CUTS. BUT THE POINT IS,
- 13 IS THAT I THINK SOME IN THE LEGISLATURE NEED TO RECOGNIZE THE
- 14 IMPACTS SPECIFICALLY TO THEIR DISTRICT. OTHERWISE IT IS JUST A
- 15 LARGE NUMBER THAT IS INCONSEQUENTIAL TO THEM. I DON'T KNOW TO
- 16 WHAT EXTENT, I KNOW WE ARE ALL GOING TO DO OUR PART, BUT IT
- 17 MIGHT BE WORTHWHILE TO LET THEM KNOW IT IS 35 FAMILIES, 350
- 18 FAMILIES, 3,500 FAMILIES THAT ARE GOING TO BE AFFECTED IN
- 19 THEIR DISTRICT AS FAR AS WHAT THE ISSUES ARE. I DON'T THINK
- 20 PEOPLE ARE GETTING IT UNTIL THEY REALLY HAVE A DIRECT
- 21 IMPLICATION AND MAYBE HAVING SOME OF THE FAMILIES START
- 22 PUSHING. I WISH-- I READ THE PAPER EVERY DAY, LISTEN TO THE
- 23 NEWS AND I JUST DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT IS GOING ON IN
- 24 SACRAMENTO AND I DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW THE CONTROLLER MAKES ONE
- 25 SET OF DECISIONS, THE GOVERNOR ANOTHER AND THE LEGISLATURE



- 1 SITS THERE AND WATCHES THEM BOTH. SO YOU NEED TO ASSIST US IN
- 2 THAT FASHION. I DO KNOW YOU ARE AN ACTIVE GROUP OF FOLKS BUT I
- 3 WOULD REALLY RECOMMEND SOME VERY ORCHESTRATED, STRATEGIC PLAN.
- 4 IT REALLY HAS TO GO DIRECTLY TO OUR LEGISLATORS AND THERE ARE
- 5 MANY PEOPLE WHO ARE TRYING TO PREVENT THESE CUTS AND WE KNOW
- 6 WHO THEY ARE, AS WELL. BUT AT THE SAME TIME, IT IS GOING TO
- 7 REQUIRE A COMBINATION OF ALL THOSE ISSUES AND I JUST HAVEN'T
- 8 SEEN THAT KIND OF ACTIVITY GOING ON. IN OUR CONTACT WITH SOME
- 9 OF THE LEGISLATORS, IT'S-- THEY DON'T WANT TO TAKE OWNERSHIP
- 10 OF IT. IT IS ALWAYS BLAME ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE AISLE AND
- 11 THINGS OF THAT SORT. SO I'M JUST ASKING YOU IF THAT WOULD BE A
- 12 WORTHWHILE STRATEGY ON YOUR PART.

13

15

17

19

14 CRISTINA ALVARADO: WE WILL DEFINITELY.

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

18 SUP. MOLINA: GO AHEAD. SORRY.

- 20 HOLLY MITCHELL: I WANT TO SAY IN RESPONSE TO THAT, THAT WE ARE
- 21 CLEAR ABOUT THE SOURCE OF THE PROBLEM. THERE IS A PARENT GROUP
- 22 IN L.A. COUNTY, COMMUNITY VOICES, WHERE IMPACTED PARENTS HAVE
- 23 BEEN TRAINED ON ADVOCACY EFFORTS, WE HAVE PROVIDED
- 24 TRANSPORTATION TO GET THEM TO SACRAMENTO. WE ARE DOING THAT
- 25 AND WE ARE ALSO CLEAR THAT TO CLOSE A \$40 BILLION HOLE, IT



- 1 CAN'T BE SIMPLY DONE WITH CUTS AND THAT SOME KIND OF NEW
- 2 REVENUE GENERATION SYSTEM HAS GOT TO BE PUT INTO PLACE. AND
- 3 PARENTS, AS WELL AS PROVIDERS, AS WELL AS THE AGENCIES ARE
- 4 REALLY ORGANIZING TO COMMUNICATE THAT MESSAGE ACROSS THE
- 5 STATE. IT IS HAPPENING. WE MAY NOT GET THE PRESS BUT, TRUST
- 6 ME, SUPERVISOR, IT IS HAPPENING.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, THE ITEM IS BEFORE
- 9 US, I WILL MOVE, SECOND BY SUPERVISOR-- SORRY, MARK.

- 11 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: QUITE ALL RIGHT. MR. CHAIR, THIS IS A
- 12 MATTER WITH WHICH I HAVE MORE EXPERIENCE THAN I IN SOME WAYS
- 13 WISH TO RECALL. RECENTLY LEAVING THE LEGISLATURE WASN'T SIMPLY
- 14 A MATTER OF THE PAST FEW MONTHS THAT WE WERE GRAPPLING WITH
- 15 THIS ISSUE BOTH IN THE SENATE AND THE ASSEMBLY. I WANT TO
- 16 BRIEFLY MAKE TWO OBSERVATIONS, FIRST OF WHICH I ASSOCIATE MY
- 17 COMMENTS RATHER SHARPLY WITH THOSE OF SUPERVISOR MOLINA IN
- 18 TERMS OF WHAT IS REQUIRED. A GROUNDSWELL OF ORGANIZING, WHICH
- 19 SOME OF THOSE WHO ARE HERE INTIMATELY FAMILIAR WITH IN TERM OF
- 20 WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE TO DRAMATIZE THE GRAVITY OF THE MOMENT.
- 21 IT IS BEING DONE, BUT MORE NEEDS TO BE DONE, AND WE WILL HAVE
- 22 TO JOIN FORCES WITH THOSE ADVOCATES FOR OUR VERY, NOT ONLY
- 23 PUBLIC SAFETY, BUT INDEED THE SALVATION OF WHAT WE DO AS
- 24 COUNTY GOVERNMENT. THAT IS TO UNDERGIRD THE SAFETY NET AND
- 25 PROTECT THOSE WHO ARE PROPERLY DEFINED AS THE MOST VULNERABLE



- 1 AMONG US. THERE IS WISDOM IN PRESIDENT OBAMA'S PUSH FOR
- 2 BIPARTISANSHIP, WITH RESPECT TO THE CRISIS THAT HAS ENVELOPED
- 3 THE NATION, FROM AN ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVE. IT SEEMS TO ME THE
- 4 SAME SPIRIT NEEDS TO VISIT THIS STATE'S CAPITAL. IT IS
- 5 CONSPICUOUSLY ABSENT. IF SUCH WERE THE CASE, I THINK A
- 6 SOLUTION COULD BE REACHED. I'M JUST HOPEFUL THAT WE CAN BE
- 7 PART OF PUSHING SUCH A PERSPECTIVE BECAUSE THEREIN LIES A
- 8 REASONABLE SOLUTION. FINALLY, ON THE POINT OF THE MATTER OF
- 9 GETTING STAKEHOLDERS BROADLY DEFINED, INVOLVED, AGAIN, THIS
- 10 ANNUAL SUMMIT, BUDGET SUMMIT ON THIS COMING SATURDAY IS
- 11 PRECISELY FOR THAT PURPOSE. THERE WILL BE LEGISLATIVE
- 12 REPRESENTATION, THE SPEAKER OF ASSEMBLY WILL BE THERE WITH
- 13 WHOM I SPOKE JUST RECENTLY. AND I SHOULD SAY FOR THE RECORD
- 14 ,THIS IS NOT A LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL. I THINK THE C.E.O. HAS
- 15 MADE THAT CLEAR. ANYTHING THE GOVERNOR WOULD DO WOULD REQUIRE
- 16 ACTION OF THE LEGISLATURE IN THIS CONNECTION AND SHE, FOR ONE,
- 17 HAS MADE IT ABUNDANTLY CLEAR THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL UNREADINESS
- 18 ABOUT THIS SPECIFIC SCENARIO IN TERMS OF DEFERRALS AND THE
- 19 LIKE BUT WE WILL HAVE A STRAIGHTFORWARD, HARD HITTING
- 20 DISCUSSION ON THIS COMING SATURDAY ABOUT THIS MATTER, IN THE
- 21 FULL LIGHT OF DAY. AND THOSE WHO WANT TO JOIN FORCES TO BEGIN
- 22 THIS PUSH-BACK OF SOME CONSEQUENCE, YOU ARE WELCOME TO JOIN US
- 23 AT THAT TIME. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. AGAIN, I APOLOGIZE, MR.
- 2 RIDLEY-THOMAS. CHAIR MOVE IT, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA.
- 3 WITHOUT OBJECTION THE ITEM IS MOVED.

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: BEFORE I DO CALL UP AN ADDITIONAL ITEM,
- 6 I WILL DO MY ADJOURNMENTS. FIRST OF ALL ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 7 GOOD FRIEND JOHN ADAMS. HE WAS THE EDITOR OF THE "DOWNEY
- 8 EAGLE" NEWSPAPER, AND FOUGHT AND PUBLISHED THE "DOWNEY
- 9 PATRIOT" UNTIL HE RETIRED AND SOLD THE PAPER. HE WAS KNOWN BY
- 10 MANY IN DOWNEY. HE LOVED DOWNEY AND ITS LOCAL PAPERS. HE WAS
- 11 IN THE COMMUNITY FOR MANY, MANY YEARS, LEAVES A STRONG LEGACY
- 12 OF LOCAL REPORTING AND JOURNALISM. HE TRULY WAS AN ASSET TO
- 13 THE COMMUNITY AND WILL BE MISSED BY ALL. ALSO WE ADJOURN IN
- 14 MEMORY OF DONALD BRANN, NATIVE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, AND HE
- 15 WAS VERY ACTIVE IN HIS COMMUNITY. HE IS SURVIVED BY WIFE OF 60
- 16 YEARS, KATHY, SON DON, WHO IS A COUNCILMEMBER IN THE CITY OF
- 17 EL SEGUNDO, DAUGHTER SHELLEY, 16 GRANDCHILDREN AND FIVE GREAT
- 18 GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF JEAN DAVIS,
- 19 JEAN CAREY DAVIS, SISTER-IN-LAW OF GARY TOWNSEND, OUR CHIEF
- 20 DEPUTY IN THE ASSESSOR'S OFFICE, SISTER OF HIS WIFE CATHY, WHO
- 21 PASSED AWAY PEACEFULLY AFTER A BATTLE WITH CANCER. SHE WAS A
- 22 GRADUATE OF BOSTON COLLEGE LAW SCHOOL. SHE WAS AN ASSISTANT
- 23 PROFESSOR AT MERRIMACK COLLEGE, AND ABOVE ALL, A DEDICATED
- 24 WIFE AND MOTHER WHO WILL BE DEEPLY MISSED BY ALL. SHE IS
- 25 SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND, DR. DAVID DAVIS, TWO SONS, KENNETH



- 1 AND IAN, AND TWO SISTERS, NANCY AND CATHY, AND ALL MEMBERS.
- 2 ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF STEVE GARCIA OF PARAMOUNT.
- 3 HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 42 YEARS, EVA, CHILDREN STEVEN
- 4 AND GINA. ALSO, I'M NOT SURE WHETHER SUPERVISOR MOLINA WILL
- 5 BRING THIS IN, BUT GARTH GARDNER. ADJOURN IN HIS MEMORY, PICO
- 6 RIVERA MAYOR EMERITUS, PASSED AWAY ON JANUARY 13TH AFTER
- 7 SUFFERING A HEART ATTACK. HE HONORABLY SERVED IN THE AIR
- 8 FORCE, WAS INVOLVED IN PICO RIVERA'S INCORPORATION, PLANNING
- 9 COMMISSION. WAS ELECTED TO CITY COUNCIL IN 1972, WENT ON TO
- 10 SERVE EIGHT CONSECUTIVE TERMS, EIGHT TIMES AS MAYOR, BEFORE
- 11 RETIRING IN 2001. HE AND HIS WIFE, MARY, CONTINUED TO BE
- 12 ACTIVE IN COMMUNITY AFFAIRS, AND WAS IN 2002 RECOGNIZED FOR 42
- 13 YEARS OF OUTSTANDING COMMUNITY SERVICE. HE WAS HONORED AT THAT
- 14 TIME WITH THE TITLE OF MAYOR EMERITUS. LATER THAT YEAR, THE
- 15 ROADWAY ADJACENT TO THE VETERANS AND THE LADIES AUXILIARY PARK
- 16 WAS NAMED "GARTH GARNER LANE." SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, MARY,
- 17 THEIR THREE SONS, GREG, JOHN, AND JEFFREY, TWO GRANDCHILDREN,
- 18 ONE GREAT GRANDCHILD, TWO BROTHERS AND SISTER.

20 SUP. MOLINA: I'D LIKE TO JOIN IN THAT ONE.

19

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALSO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF BARBARA
- 23 GORDON, 48-YEAR RESIDENT OF BELLFLOWER. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER
- 24 FOUR CHILDREN, TERRY, ROBERT, CAROL AND CARLA, THREE
- 25 GRANDCHILDREN AND EIGHT GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO WE ADJOURN



- 1 IN MEMORY WENDY MADAK-GOYDOS, WHO PASSED AWAY IN LONG BEACH.
- 2 SHE TAUGHT AT SAINT ANTHONY'S, SHE LOVED TO TRAVEL. SHE WILL
- 3 BE MISSED BY FAMILY AND FRIENDS. SHE IS SURVIVED BY THREE
- 4 DAUGHTERS, CHELSEA, COURTNEY AND KYLIE, HER MOTHER MARY, HER
- 5 FATHER GEORGE AND STEPMOTHER JERI, SISTER AND BROTHER-IN-LAW,
- 6 AMY AND JAY, THEIR CHILDREN AND MANY OTHER EXTENDED FAMILY.
- 7 ALSO WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF DR. ROBERT GUMBINER, FOUNDER OF
- 8 MUSEUM OF LATIN-AMERICAN ART IN LONG BEACH AND A
- 9 PHILANTHROPIST, WHO PASSED AWAY ON JANUARY 20TH. HE OPENED A
- 10 PRACTICE IN LAKEWOOD, STARTED THE FAMILY HEALTH PROGRAM,
- 11 PROBABLY ONE OF THE FIRST NONPROFIT H.M.O.S. HE IS SURVIVED BY
- 12 HIS FOUR CHILDREN, BURKE, LEE, JAY AND ALIS, FIVE
- 13 GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF . MICHAEL HAMMER,
- 14 WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE YOUNG AGE OF 48. HE WAS A GRADUATE OF
- 15 MAYFAIR HIGH SCHOOL. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS MOTHER SHARRON,
- 16 THREE SIBLINGS, MARK, MICHELLE AND RICHARD, MANY, MANY AUNTS,
- 17 UNCLES, NEPHEWS, AND COUSINS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY
- 18 OF BART MCDOWELL, AN AWARD-WINNING WRITER, INSPIRED SENIOR
- 19 EDITOR OF "NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC" MAGAZINE FOR 32 YEARS. HE IS
- 20 SURVIVED BY HIS TWO SONS, KELLY, WHO IS THE MAYOR OF EL
- 21 SEGUNDO AND SON JOSEPH, DAUGHTER TINA AND FOUR GRANDCHILDREN.
- 22 HE WILL BE DEEPLY MISSED BY ALL. HE WORKED ON SIX CONTINENTS
- 23 AND 67 COUNTRIES. HE TRAVELED THE WORLD WITH CHARLES
- 24 LINDBERGH, AND LAURENCE AND MARY ROCKEFELLER. HE SPENT SIX
- 25 MONTHS TRAVELING FROM LONDON TO NEW DELHI, SEEKING THE SOURCE



- 1 OF GYPSY CULTURE. HE HAS FIVE GEOGRAPHIC ARTICLES ABOUT
- 2 MEXICO, INCLUDING AN EPIC 1980 PIECE ON THE AZTECS. LAST
- 3 GEOGRAPHIC BOOK, "INSIDE THE VATICAN" CAME OUT IN 1991. HE'S
- 4 BEEN PUBLISHED IN MANY LANGUAGES, AND THAT PARTICULAR ONE
- 5 BECAME THE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC'S ALL TIME BEST SELLER. ALSO WE
- 6 ADJOURN IN MEMORY BETTY JO SHACKELFORD. SHE WAS A
- 7 COSMETOLOGIST AT KAY'S BEAUTY SHOP IN BELLFLOWER, A VERY
- 8 ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE GOOD SHEPHERD CHURCH IN DOWNEY. SHE IS
- 9 SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND OF 52 YEARS, CHARLES, THREE CHILDREN,
- 10 FIVE GRANDCHILDREN, THREE GREAT GRANDCHILDREN, A SISTER AND A
- 11 BROTHER. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF DICK STRUIKSMA. HE
- 12 WILL BE MISSED BY HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. HE IS SURVIVED BY
- 13 HIS WIFE KATHRYNE, FOUR SONS AND THEIR SPOUSES, 11
- 14 GRANDCHILDREN, FIVE GREAT GRANDCHILDREN AND HOST OF RELATIVES
- 15 AND FRIENDS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF BETTY JEAN
- 16 TIERNEY, WHO WAS BORN IN KANSAS AND LIVED IN KANSAS, GRADUATED
- 17 AT COMPTON JUNIOR COLLEGE. SHE MET HER HUSBAND, CLIFFORD,
- 18 WHILE WORKING AT THE OLD LONG BEACH PIKE, AND THEY WERE
- 19 MARRIED AND MADE THEIR HOME IN TORRENCE. HER HUSBAND WAS AN
- 20 ORDAINED MINISTER AND BECAME THE FIRST MINISTER OF SOUTH BAY
- 21 CHURCH OF GOD IN TORRENCE. THEY CONTINUED TO MINISTER OVER 60
- 22 YEARS, AND SHE WAS ALWAYS VERY ACTIVE. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER
- 23 HUSBAND CLIFF, SON TERRY, DAUGHTERS CAROL AND MARY ANN, NINE
- 24 GRANDCHILDREN AND SIX GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. SHE IS ALSO THE
- 25 MOTHER-IN-LAW OF MY SENIOR DEPUTY, GAIL TIERNEY. ALSO WE



- 1 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF PATRICIA ANN WOLFE, ONE OF THE ORIGINAL
- 2 TEACHERS AT LAKEWOOD HIGH SCHOOL. VERY ACTIVE WITH THE
- 3 SYMPHONY, LONG BEACH CITY COLLEGE SYMPHONY. SHE IS SURVIVED BY
- 4 HUSBAND OF 50 YEARS, BILL, DAUGHTERS CAROL AND JENNY, THREE
- 5 GRANDSONS AND TWO GREAT GRANDSONS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 6 MEMORY OF BARRY WULWICK, A RETIRED SERGEANT FROM LOS ANGELES
- 7 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, WHO PASSED SUDDENLY AT THE AGE OF 59. HE
- 8 WORKED FOR OUR DEPARTMENT FOR 32 YEARS. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS
- 9 SON SEAN, A SENIOR AT LOS ALAMITOS HIGH SCHOOL, AND HIS
- 10 BROTHER AND SISTER IN LAW, JEFF AND VICKY. ALSO THAT WE
- 11 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF BEATRICE FARMER, A LONG-TIME U.S.C.
- 12 PROFESSOR -- WIFE OF LONGTIME U.S.C. PROFESSOR EMERITUS, HERB
- 13 FARMER, WHO PASSED AWAY RECENTLY AFTER COMPLICATIONS FROM A
- 14 STROKE. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HUSBAND HERB, SON JIM,
- 15 GRANDDAUGHTERS CASEY AND LINDSEY, AND DAUGHTER-IN-LAW MICHELE.
- 16 ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF SALVATORE BURRESCIA, A LONG
- 17 BEACH RESIDENT, AND HE WILL BE SORELY MISSED BY FAMILY AND
- 18 FRIENDS. HE IS SURVIVED BY WIFE CAROLYN, SON AND BRIAN. THOSE
- 19 ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS, SO ORDERED. I WOULD LIKE TO CALL UP ITEM
- 20 NUMBER 15, PLEASE. ITEM 15, IT WAS HELD FOR SUPERVISOR
- 21 YAROSLAVSKY AND ANTONOVICH, 15. OKAY. ALL RIGHT, ITEM 15 IS
- 22 BEFORE US. YOU-- THOSE THAT HELD THE ITEM, DO YOU WANT TO
- 23 BEGIN WITH THE C.E.O. REPORT?

24

25 **SPEAKER:** [INAUDIBLE.]



1

2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. BILL?

3

- 4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: -- CORNER. I WAS GETTING MY INFORMATION
- 5 TOGETHER. WHAT WE HAVE TODAY IS OUR MIDYEAR ADJUSTMENT REPORT
- 6 THAT WE WERE ASKING THE AUTHORITY TO MOVE. I NEED TO ADJUST
- 7 THE AMOUNT. REPORT STATES \$34,127,000, WHEN IN FACT, WE NEED
- 8 TO MAKE A MINOR ADJUSTMENT TODAY. I NEED TO READ INTO THE
- 9 RECORD, IT WILL BE \$34,027,000. IT'S \$100,000 IDENTIFIED FOR
- 10 THE BOARD, WHICH IS TIED TO OUR JUNIOR GOLF PROGRAM. THAT IS
- 11 BEING REMOVED AND IS NOT BEING SENT FORWARD FOR APPROVAL
- 12 TODAY. SO THE REVISED FIGURE IS \$34,027,000.

13

- 14 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** CAN I CORRECT IT? ACTUALLY, LET ME GIVE YOU
- 15 THE FIGURE, \$34,017,000. THE PROGRAM WAS \$110,000, SO THAT IS
- 16 WHAT WE ARE ADJUSTING.

17

18 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: OOPS, MISSED THAT 10,000.

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: COULD YOU VERY CLEARLY AND SUCCINCTLY
- 21 CLARIFY THE DIFFERENCE, BASED ON PRESS REPORTS, RADIO REPORTS
- 22 THAT , WE HEARD, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THIS MIDYEAR BUDGET
- 23 ADJUSTMENT THAT WE NORMALLY DO, AND WE HAVE DONE IN PAST
- 24 YEARS, AND THE FACT THAT IT'S NOT TIED TO OUR PREVIOUS
- 25 DISCUSSION OF THE BUDGET ISSUES? I MEAN IT IS TIED TOGETHER,



- 1 BUT TWO DISTINCT ACTIONS. C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT IS WHAT I
- 2 WANTED TO DO FIRST, BUT FIRST I WANT TO CLARIFY THE TRANSFER
- 3 AMOUNT. WHAT NEEDS CLARIFICATION IS THAT THIS IS OUR MIDYEAR
- 4 ADJUSTMENT REPORT. THIS IS THE REPORT WHERE WE ARE ASKING
- 5 BOARD TO TAKE VARIOUS ACTIONS RELATED TO THIS YEAR'S BUDGET.
- 6 IT IS NOT NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET. IT DOESN'T SPEAK TO ALL THE
- 7 ACTIONS WE ARE TAKING RIGHT NOW TO DEAL WITH NEXT YEAR'S
- 8 BUDGET IN A PROJECTED DEFICIT. WHAT IT DOES IS THAT WE ARE
- 9 ASKING AUTHORIZATION TO MOVE MONEY FROM PRINCIPALLY OUR
- 10 PROVISIONAL FUNDING USES ACCOUNT, AND WITH THAT ECONOMIC
- 11 RESERVE, INTO AN APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT TO ALLOW THE
- 12 DEPARTMENTS TO USE THAT MONEY FOR WHAT WE FEEL LIKE ARE
- 13 CRITICAL PROGRAMS. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF OTHER ADJUSTMENTS IN
- 14 THIS REPORT -- IT IS QUITE A LONG REPORT SO I DON'T WANT TO GO
- 15 OVER EACH ONE-- BUT THEY'RE NECESSARY FOR US TO CONTINUE WITH
- 16 A NUMBER OF OUR IMPORTANT PROGRAMS TODAY. THIS IS ALSO
- 17 SEPARATE AND APART FROM WHAT WE DISCUSSED REGARDING THE IMPACT
- 18 FOR THE-- WHAT IS HAPPENING AT THE STATE LEVEL. WE'VE HAD
- 19 SEPARATE REPORTS THAT SPEAK TO THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSED BUDGET.
- 20 VERY BRIEFLY WE STATED THAT WE'VE ALREADY HAD A CUT OF \$128
- 21 MILLION, WHICH COULD BE INCREASED BY ANOTHER \$50 MILLION IF
- 22 THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET GOES FORWARD. THEN NEXT YEAR, IMPACT
- 23 COULD BE AS HIGH AS \$319 MILLION. BUT FOR WHATEVER REASON, AND
- 24 I KNOW THERE'S REPORTS AND TIMING OF THESE REPORTS HAVE MADE
- 25 IT CONFUSING, BUT WHATEVER REASON, THE STATE BUDGET, THE



- 1 DEFERRAL PROPOSAL, OUR MID-YEAR REPORT AND THE EFFORTS WE'RE
- 2 TAKING NOW TO PREPARE FOR NEXT YEAR, HAVE BEEN LUMPED
- 3 TOGETHER. IT'S BEEN VERY, VERY CONFUSING. THIS IS A STANDARD
- 4 ACTION THAT WE TAKE EVERY YEAR ABOUT THIS TIME TO MAKE
- 5 ADJUSTMENTS FOR VARIOUS BUDGET UNITS. NOW AS WE MOVE FORWARD I
- 6 HAD MENTIONED THE \$34 MILLION, BUT I NEED TO HIGHLIGHT A
- 7 COUPLE THINGS BEFORE WE GET INTO THAT. WHAT WE ARE SEEING
- 8 RIGHT NOW IS WE ARE SEEING A SHORTFALL BASED ON OUR GENERAL
- 9 FUNDS BALANCE. THAT SHORTFALL IS APPROXIMATELY \$35.-- I GUESS
- 10 IT'S \$35.7 MILLION, AT THIS POINT IN TIME. WE'RE ALSO SEEING A
- 11 SHORTFALL IN SOME CRITICAL REVENUE CATEGORIES, SUCH AS DEED
- 12 TRANSFER TAX AND THAT'S 14.5 MILLION, PROP. 172 SALES TAX,
- 13 WHICH IS 29.8 MILLION, OUR VEHICLE LICENSE FEES REALIGNMENT,
- 14 WHICH IS \$38 MILLION AND OUR SALES TAX REALIGNMENT. I DO WANT
- 15 TO HIGHLIGHT THE REALIGNMENT REVENUE AS IT DEALS WITH SALES
- 16 TAX. WE WERE-- THE INFORMATION IN OUR REPORT DID NOT INCLUDE
- 17 THE INFORMATION WE RECEIVED AS A CONSEQUENCE OF DECEMBER
- 18 SALES. WHAT HAS HAPPENED OVER THE LAST FEW MONTHS IS THAT
- 19 SALES TAX REVENUE HAS GONE DOWN FROM ABOUT \$72 MILLION A MONTH
- 20 TO \$55 MILLION A MONTH THIS PAST JANUARY. THE DECEMBER SALES,
- 21 IT WAS SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER THAN WHAT ANYONE PROJECTED,
- 22 INCLUDING OURSELVES. IF THE CURRENT TREND CONTINUES AND WE
- 23 HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE IT WON'T BE AS LOW AS 55, BUT IT IS NOT
- 24 GOING TO REACH AVERAGE NEEDED TO HIT BUDGET TARGET, IF IT
- 25 SHOULD CONTINUE WE COULD FACE A SHORTFALL IN SALES TAX ALONE,



- 1 THE REALIGNMENT DOLLARS OF ABOUT \$70 MILLION AND WHAT WE HAVE
- 2 IN THE BUDGET FOR THIS YEAR. THAT WILL HAVE AN IMPACT UPON A
- 3 NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND, MOST IMPORTANTLY, PROGRAMS THAT ARE
- 4 CURRENTLY FUNDED IN OUR DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND ALSO
- 5 THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. WITH RESPECT TO THE \$34 MILLION, WE
- 6 WERE ASKING YOUR APPROVAL TODAY TO MOVE FROM OUR P.F.U. TO AN
- 7 APPROPRIATE -- TO ALLOW THE DEPARTMENTS TO ACCESS THAT MONEY,
- 8 IT INCLUDES FUNDS FOR ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL, CAPITAL
- 9 PROJECTS THAT ARE LISTED IN THE REPORT, INCLUDING A NUMBER OF
- 10 ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS. WE HAVE 88 COMPLIANCE PROJECTS.
- 11 THE ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS I THINK ARE ESPECIALLY
- 12 IMPORTANT BECAUSE ALTHOUGH WE LOOKED AT OUR CAPITAL PROJECTS
- 13 AND DECIDED TO DEFER A NUMBER OF THEM, WE DECIDED TO GO
- 14 FORWARD WITH FEES BECAUSE IT REPRESENTS A ONE-TIME INVESTMENT
- 15 THAT WOULD GENERATE ONGOING SAVINGS. THAT WOULD BE A THEME
- 16 WE'RE GOING TO CARRY OVER INTO NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET. WE HAVE
- 17 MONEY FOR THE INDIGENT CRIMINAL DEFENSE FUND OF 2.3 MILLION.
- 18 THERE'S 1.2 MILLION FOR MUSIC CENTER AND IT REPRESENTS SOME
- 19 COST OVERRUNS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RENOVATION PROJECT FOR THE
- 20 MARK TAPER [PH?] REFORM. I WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT THAT BECAUSE
- 21 WHAT WAS DONE INCLUDED WORK ON THE PLAZA AND ALSO, I THINK
- 22 SOME OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE ELEMENTS OF THE MARK TAPER REFORM.
- 23 THE TAPER, LIKE ANY OTHER ENTERTAINMENT VENUE, IS FACING SOME
- 24 SERIOUS CHALLENGES RIGHT NOW, AND THEIR ABILITY TO DEAL WITH
- 25 THIS COST OVERRUN HAS BEEN SEVERELY IMPACTED BY THE CURRENT



- 1 ECONOMY. THERE'S MONEY FOR PARKS AND REC, PUBLIC LIBRARY,
- 2 INCLUDING SOME LIBRARY IMPROVEMENTS IN AT LEAST TWO SITES.
- 3 THERE'S A A \$10 MILLION INCREASE IN NET COUNTY COSTS FOR THE
- 4 GENERAL RELIEF AND CAL-WORKS PROGRAMS. THOSE PROGRAMS ARE
- 5 ABSOLUTELY THE DIRECT RESULT OF WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THE
- 6 ECONOMY. WE HAVE A TRANSFER OF \$6.4 MILLION IN NET COUNTY
- 7 COSTS TO THE REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK DEPARTMENT
- 8 BECAUSE THEY'RE PROJECTING A SIGNIFICANT DEFICIT DUE TO LOWER
- 9 THAN ANTICIPATED RECORDER FEES. THAT IS DIRECTLY TIED TO WHAT
- 10 IS HAPPENING IN THE REAL ESTATE MARKET NOW. THEN THERE ARE
- 11 SOME OTHER ACTIONS RELATED TO THE TRANSFERS THAT I'M NOT GOING
- 12 TO GO OVER, UNLESS YOU HAVE OUESTIONS. I DO WANT TO HIGHLIGHT
- 13 A RESPONSE TO A COUPLE OF MOTIONS. ONE MOTION WAS FROM
- 14 SUPERVISORS YAROSLAVSKY AND KNABE THAT SPEAK TO THE CREATION
- 15 OF A RAINY DAY FUND. WE ALSO HAVE SOME RECOMMENDATIONS TO OUR
- 16 BUDGET POLICIES AS A CONSEQUENCE OF A MOTION FROM SUPERVISOR
- 17 MOLINA, AND I BELIEVE IT WAS ANTONOVICH. BOTH RECOMMENDATIONS,
- 18 OUR FINANCIAL POLICIES AND RAINY DAY FUND ARE CLEARLY SPELLED
- 19 OUT IN THIS MEMO. WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO IS CREATE A RAINY
- 20 DAY FUND, WHICH WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY 3 PERCENT OF OUR TOTAL
- 21 ANNUAL FUND BALANCE. WE HAVE A PROPOSAL HERE THAT WOULD
- 22 REPRESENT THE STARTING POINT FOR ACHIEVING THAT RAINY DAY
- 23 FUND. I'M NOT SURE IF THERE IS ANYTHING ELSE. MR. CHAIRMAN.



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, THEN SUPERVISOR

2 YAROSLAVSKY.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT IS KIND OF LIKE WHAT WE WERE JUST TALKING
- 5 ABOUT, WITH THE STATE PROBLEM, WITH THE STATE'S \$41.6 BILLION
- 6 DEFICIT, AND AS OF FEBRUARY, THE STATE CONTROLLER WITHHOLDING
- 7 PAYMENTS TO THE COUNTY OF \$105 BILLION FOR THE MONTH. NOW THE
- 8 C.E.O. IS RECOMMENDING THIS \$34.1 MILLION IN NEW SPENDING.
- 9 SALES TAX REVENUES AS STATED SO FAR IS BELOW PROJECTIONS AND
- 10 IF COLLECTIONS CONTINUE AT THE CURRENT LEVEL, WE COULD FACE
- 11 NEARLY A \$36 MILLION SHORTFALL BUDGET PROJECTION FOR BEGINNING
- 12 OF THIS NEW FISCAL YEAR. SO THE QUESTION, MR. FUJIOKA, WHAT
- 13 WAS THE TOTAL COST OF THE MARK TAPER RENOVATION PROJECT? OR
- 14 DEBBIE?

- 16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I'D HAVE TO GET THAT FOR YOU. I DON'T HAVE THE
- 17 TOTAL COST WITH ME. I KNOW WHAT THIS COST OVERRUN REPRESENTS,
- 18 AND THE TOTAL OVERRUN WAS \$1.8 MILLION. WE ARE NOT
- 19 RECOMMENDING THE ENTIRE \$8.1 MILLION. INSTEAD, WE'RE COMING
- 20 FORWARD WITH AN AMOUNT THAT WE FEEL IS REASONABLE AND
- 21 APPROPRIATE. THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AT THE MUSIC CENTER
- 22 HAS TAKEN A NUMBER OF, I THINK, VERY SIGNIFICANT ACTIONS TO
- 23 ADDRESS SOME OF THEIR BUDGETARY PROBLEMS. FOR EXAMPLE HE IS
- 24 ALREADY EFFECTED 10 PERCENT ACROSS BOARD CUT IN THEIR
- 25 EXPENDITURES AND THEY'VE ALREADY GONE THROUGH LAYOFFS, I'VE



- 1 HEARD AS MANY AS 20 INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE BEEN LAID OFF. AND SO
- 2 WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO IS PUSH THE MUSIC CENTER, AND THEY
- 3 HAVE BEEN EXTREMELY COOPERATIVE, INTO ADDRESSING SOME OF THEIR
- 4 PROBLEMS THEMSELVES. THAT IS WHY WE ARE NOT GOING TO GO WITH
- 5 THE FULL 1.8, WE ARE ONLY RECOMMENDING THE \$1.2 AT THIS POINT
- 6 IN TIME.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT DATE DID THE BOARD APPROVE THE
- 9 RENOVATION PROJECT FOR THE MUSIC CENTER?

10

11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE BOARD DID NOT APPROVE.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE NEVER APPROVED THE PROJECT TO BEGIN WITH?

14

- 15 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NO. THIS PROJECT FELL UNDER THE PURVIEW OF THE
- 16 MUSIC CENTER.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHEN WERE WE MADE AWARE OF THE NEARLY \$2
- 19 MILLION OVERRUN?

20

- 21 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AS PART OF THIS REPORT, WHEN THE MUSIC CENTER
- 22 FIRST APPROACHED MY OFFICE AND IDENTIFIED THE COST OVERRUN AND
- 23 AS WE STARTED TO PREPARE THIS REPORT, WE STARTED SHARING THAT
- 24 INFORMATION.

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHEN WAS THAT? NOVEMBER, DECEMBER? 2 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IT WAS NOVEMBER I THINK. 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOVEMBER? 6 7 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I THINK ABOUT THAT TIME. 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THE BOARD BECAME AWARE OF IT IN NOVEMBER? 9 10 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ABOUT THAT TIME. 11 12 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ABOUT THAT TIME. AND WHAT PERCENTAGE HAS THE COUNTY PAID ON THE PROJECT SO FAR? 14 15 16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE COUNTY OVER TIME, LIKE WITH SOME OF OUR OTHER CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS, SUCH AS THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL 17 18 HISTORY, PROVIDES A SET DOLLAR AMOUNT TO THE MUSIC CENTER FOR 19 NOT ONLY ITS OPERATING COSTS BUT CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS. I COULDN'T TELL YOU THE EXACT TIME. YOU HAVE ANY IDEA? 20 21 22 DEBBIE LIZZARI: HIS QUESTION WAS WHAT? 23

SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW MUCH MONEY HAS THE COUNTY CONTRIBUTED TO-

- PAID ON THIS PROJECT SO FAR?



1

- 2 DEBBIE LIZZARI: THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT? WE HAVEN'T PAID
- 3 ANYTHING SPECIFICALLY TO DATE.

4

- 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: MONEY IS GIVEN-- THERE'S AN ALLOCATION GIVEN
- 6 TO THE MUSIC CENTER, AGAIN FOR OPERATING COSTS AND SOME OF
- 7 THEIR IMPROVEMENTS. TO A LARGE EXTENT THIS PROJECT WAS FUNDED
- 8 THROUGH PRIVATE DONATIONS, VERY SUBSTANTIAL PRIVATE DONATIONS.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HAS THE MUSIC CENTER FOUNDATION THEN
- 11 CONTRIBUTED

12

- 13 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ABSOLUTELY. ABSOLUTELY. THAT IS WHERE THE
- 14 MAJORITY OF THE MONEY CAME FROM, WAS FROM THE FOUNDATION. WHAT
- 15 I'VE SEEN, BECAUSE I'VE WORKED DIRECTLY WITH STEVEN ROWNTREE,
- 16 WHAT I'VE SEEN IS HOW HE HAS STEPPED UP AND HAS MADE SOME I
- 17 WOULD CHARACTERIZE AS SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN THE MANAGEMENT OF
- 18 A NUMBER OF PROGRAMS THAT THE MUSIC CENTER. BUT PARTICULARLY,
- 19 WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT 10 PERCENT CUT, ACROSS THE BOARD CUT IN
- 20 OPERATING EXPENSES AND WE ALSO TALK ABOUT LAYING OFF 20
- 21 INDIVIDUALS, THAT IN ITSELF IS SIGNIFICANT. THEY ARE BEING
- 22 RESPONSIBLE.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT ARE COUNTY'S CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS
- 25 UNDER OUR OPERATING AGREEMENT WITH THE MUSIC CENTER?



1

- 2 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WELL, OUR CONTRACTUAL RESPONSIBILITIES INCLUDE
- 3 DEALING WITH SOME OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE ISSUES. THIS IS
- 4 SOMETHING WHERE, TO BE VERY BLUNT, IF THIS MONEY DOESN'T GO
- 5 FORWARD, IT'S GOING TO PUT THE MUSIC CENTER IN A VERY SERIOUS
- 6 FINANCIAL SITUATION. SO AT THIS TIME, GIVEN THE VALUE IT
- 7 PROVIDES TO THE COUNTY-- THIS IS A COUNTY RESOURCE-- IT IS
- 8 SOMETHING THAT I'M RECOMMENDING.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW DO YOU HAVE THE BOARD BE LIABLE FOR
- 11 CAPITAL PROJECTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD, YET
- 12 WE WILL BE LIABLE FOR THEM AT THE MUSIC CENTER AND OTHER MUSIC
- 13 VENUES WE HAVE? WHERE IS THE CHECK AND THE BALANCE? IT SEEMS
- 14 IT IS ALL GOING TO BE A CHECK?

- 16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I UNDERSTAND. THE MONEY WE'RE REFERRING TO
- 17 ADDRESSED THE REFINISHING, WATERPROOFING AND THE RESTORATION
- 18 OF THE PLAZA AND ALSO SOME OF THE EXTERIOR LIGHTING. OUR
- 19 OPERATING AGREEMENT OBLIGATES US TO PAY COSTS TO OPERATE AND
- 20 MAINTAIN THE MUSIC CENTER. ALSO WE ARE OBLIGATED TO KEEP THE
- 21 MUSIC CENTER IN GOOD REPAIR AND WORKING ORDER. SO THIS MONEY
- 22 IS TIED TO, I THINK, IN MY OPINION, SOME OF THOSE OBLIGATIONS,
- 23 BECAUSE IT'S THE EXTERIOR OF THE FACILITY THAT WAS PART OF THE
- 24 ENTIRE RENOVATION PROJECT. SO THE RENOVATION PROJECT, IT
- 25 WASN'T RESTRICTED TO WITHIN THE INTERIOR OF THE FACILITY. IT



- 1 ALSO INCLUDED SOME ITEMS THAT WERE AROUND THE EXTERIOR, THE
- 2 LIGHTING, THE REFINISHING, THE WATERPROOFING AND RESTORATION
- 3 OF THE PLAZA IMMEDIATELY SURROUNDING THE BUILDING.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT. BUT FOR EVERY ONE OF THOSE TYPES OF
- 6 PROJECTS FOR OUR VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS, AND WHEN THEY HAVE TO DO
- 7 THOSE TYPE OF CAPITAL EXPENDITURES THEY COME BEFORE THE BOARD-
- 8 -

9

10 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YOU'RE RIGHT.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THERE IS AN APPROVAL PROCESS. BUT THAT
- 13 DIDN'T OCCUR IN THIS CASE. WHILE YOU ARE COMING DOWN FROM 1.8
- 14 MILLION TO 1.2 MILLION, IT IS STILL \$1.2 MILLION WHICH WE HAVE
- 15 BEEN LEFT IN THE DARK AND ASKED TO MAKE A CHECK PAYABLE TO
- 16 THEM. HOW DO WE PRECLUDE THAT FROM HAPPENING IN THE FUTURE OR
- 17 ANY OTHER FACILITY DOING THAT OTHER PROCEDURE, EXPECTING THE
- 18 COUNTY TO BAIL THEM OUT?

- 20 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ON A GO-FORWARD BASIS, I THINK IT WOULD BE-- I
- 21 HEAR WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. I THINK IT WOULD BE EXTREMELY
- 22 REASONABLE TO ADDRESS THAT AND HOW WE CAN REQUIRE OR ASK THE
- 23 CENTER OR ANY OTHER VENUE TO DISCUSS SOME OF THOSE CHANGES
- 24 WITH US. BUT WHAT'S HAPPENING TODAY, IF YOU LOOK AT THE TYPE
- 25 OF CHANGES OR IF YOU LOOK AT WHAT WAS ACTUALLY DONE AT THE



- 1 FACILITY IT IS EXTREMELY CONSISTENT WITH OUR EXISTING
- 2 OPERATING--

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT MAY HAVE BEEN CONSISTENT, HOWEVER WE WOULD
- 5 HAVE BEEN INVOLVED AND THERE COULD HAVE BEEN ADDITIONAL
- 6 REDUCTIONS IN EXPENDITURES HAD WE BEEN INVOLVED, SO THAT COST
- 7 WOULD NOT BE WHAT WE ARE TALKING TODAY, OVER A MILLION
- 8 DOLLARS, THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING.

9

- 10 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I UNDERSTAND. I CAN'T ARGUE WITH THAT. I
- 11 AGREE.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TOTAL COST, I LOOKED IT UP, WAS OVER \$30
- 14 MILLION.

15

- 16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE TOTAL COST WAS \$30 MILLION FOR THIS
- 17 PROJECT. OTHER QUESTIONS?

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU HAVE A QUESTION, ZEV?

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES, I JUST WANTED TO COMMENT ON THE RAINY
- 22 DAY FUND, AND REALLY COMMEND C.E.O. AND HIS STAFF FOR TAKING
- 23 THE MOTION THAT I AND YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, MADE IN THAT REGARD.
- 24 AND I THINK THE-- JUST TO BE A LITTLE MORE SPECIFIC ABOUT IT,
- 25 THIS RAINY DAY FUND, AS I UNDERSTAND THE WAY YOU STRUCTURED



- 1 THIS, WOULD REQUIRE TRANSFERS INTO THE FUND IN GOOD YEARS, 3
- 2 PERCENT OF THE LOCALLY GENERATED FUNDS AND THAT COUNTY COST
- 3 FUNDS, WHICH IS COMPRISED OF PROPERTY TAXES, SALES TABS, STATE
- 4 REALIGNMENT AND OTHER SIMILAR REVENUES, CORRECT?

5

6 **SPEAKER:** CORRECT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THREE PERCENT, WHICH IS ABOUT 142, CLOSE TO
- 9 \$142 MILLION A YEAR.

10

11 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** CORRECT

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT WOULD GO INTO THE FUND IN GOOD YEARS.
- 14 OBVIOUSLY IT WOULDN'T BE DONE IN BAD YEARS, IT WOULD BE
- 15 TRANSFERRING OUT OF THE RAINY DAY FUND. INCREASES IN THE
- 16 CURRENT COUNTY RESERVE CAP WHICH NOW IS 5 PERCENT, YOU'RE
- 17 RAISING TO 10 PERCENT AS PART OF THIS ACTION, WHICH I THINK IS
- 18 AMBITIOUS AND GOOD, WHICH EQUATES TO \$472 MILLION OF THE TOTAL
- 19 \$4.7 BILLION NET COUNTY COST BUDGET FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR. AND
- 20 YOU BELIEVE THIS IS ACHIEVABLE; IS THAT CORRECT?

21

- 22 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** YES, I DO, OVER TIME. WE WON'T GET THERE
- 23 OBVIOUSLY IN A SINGLE YEAR BUT OVER TIME.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CERTAINLY NOT IN THESE SINGLE YEARS. SO WHAT
- 2 THIS BOARD AND THEN THE CONCEPT OF THE FUND, THERE'S MEAT AND
- 3 BONES OF THIS FUND, WHICH I ALSO BELIEVE IT IS ACHIEVABLE AND
- 4 IF WE STICK TO IT, ONCE WE GET OUT OF THIS MESS THAT WE'RE IN,
- 5 IF WE STICK IT TO, AS WE HAVE LARGELY TRIED TO STICK TO IT, IN
- 6 A RUBE GOLDBERG WAY, I THINK WHAT NEEDS TO BE SAID ABOUT THIS
- 7 WHOLE BUDGET SITUATION IS THAT THIS BOARD, AS LONG AS I HAVE
- 8 BEEN HERE, AND AS LONG AS MOST OF US HAVE BEEN HERE, MOSTLY
- 9 THE SAME AMOUNT OF TIME, HAS ACTED VERY PRUDENTLY OVER THE
- 10 YEARS. WE HAVE NOT SPENT BEYOND OUR MEANS. WE HAVE ONLY
- 11 INVESTED IN FINANCIALLY SUSTAINABLE PROGRAMS. WHERE WE
- 12 COULDN'T IDENTIFY THE SUSTAINABILITY, WE DIDN'T UNDERTAKE
- 13 THOSE ONGOING EXPENDITURES. SO AS A PRACTICE THAT IS THE WAY
- 14 WE HAVE BEHAVED. WE HAVE NOT SET ASIDE THE FUNDS IN A SEPARATE
- 15 ACCOUNT, THE WAY IT'S BEING PROPOSED HERE. I THINK THIS WILL
- 16 BE GOOD FOR US. IT'S A GOOD DISCIPLINE AND EVERYONE WILL KNOW,
- 17 SUCH IS THE INSURANCE POLICY TO HELP US RIDE OUT THE BUMPS IN
- 18 THE ROAD, OR CREVICES AS IT MAY BE THIS TIME AROUND, IN THE
- 19 ROAD DURING THESE FINANCIAL BUDGET DELIBERATIONS. I WANT TO
- 20 THANK YOU FOR TAKING THIS TO HEART, BRINGING BACK A REALLY
- 21 SOUND, AND AGGRESSIVE, AND AMBITIOUS RAINY DAY FUND PROPOSAL.

23 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE MENTIONED OVER THE COURSE OF LAST YEAR,

- 24 DURING THIS REAL DIFFICULT TIME, IS THAT THE COUNTY, BECAUSE
- 25 OF ITS FINANCIAL POLICIES, IS IN A STRONG POSITION. NO ONE CAN



- 1 DEAL WITH WHAT THE STATE'S PROPOSING RIGHT NOW. BUT ABSENT
- 2 THAT, WE ARE IN A POSITION THAT'S BETTER THAN OTHER
- 3 MUNICIPALITIES BECAUSE OF THE STRONG FINANCIAL POLICIES. THE
- 4 FINAL CAP ON WHAT WE'RE REFERRING TO OR RECOMMENDING WITH
- 5 RESPECT TO THE RAINY DAY FUND IS IT WILL TAKE A 4-1 VOTE TO
- 6 MOVE ANY MONEY OUT OF THAT FUND SO IT WILL SOMETHING WHERE A
- 7 VAST MAJORITY OF THE BOARD MUST APPROVE BEFORE WE CAN ACCESS
- 8 THAT MONEY. THAT WOULD PROBABLY OCCUR ONLY IN TIMES OF SEVERE
- 9 ECONOMIC EMERGENCIES. THAT IN ITSELF WILL STRENGTHEN THE CORE
- 10 OF OUR FINANCIAL PROGRAM.

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND THIS MID-YEAR BUDGET ADJUSTMENT
- 13 LETTER IS STANDARD ISSUE BUT THIS IS NOT A STANDARD ISSUE
- 14 YEAR. WE JUST HAD A REPORT EARLIER ABOUT WHAT MAY HAPPEN AND
- 15 THE POTENTIAL AND THE DANGER FOR FAMILIES HERE THROUGHOUT L.A.
- 16 COUNTY. WHAT I'M NOT UNDERSTANDING ABOUT THIS-- AND I
- 17 UNDERSTAND A MID-YEAR ADJUSTMENT, WHEN THINGS, IN MANY
- 18 INSTANCES WHEN WE HAVE HAD INCREASES, LIKE WE HAVE HAD
- 19 INCREASES IN GENERAL RELIEF, SO YOU HAVE TO ADJUST ACCORDINGLY
- 20 BECAUSE YOU HAVE TO MAKE THOSE PAYMENTS BY VIRTUE OF JUST THE
- 21 ELIGIBILITY. BUT I'M NOT SURE WHY WE WOULD INTRODUCE NEW
- 22 PROGRAMS INTO A MID-YEAR ADJUSTMENT, AND THAT'S A QUESTION I
- 23 HAVE. I'M NOT UNDERSTANDING WHY THE SEAMLESS CATESTRAL [PH?]
- 24 LAND-BASED PROJECT IS IN HERE. WAS IT FUNDED INITIALLY IN THE
- 25 BUDGET AND YOU DIDN'T PUT THE MONEY IN OR WHAT HAPPENED?



1

- 2 DEBBIE LIZZARI: YEAH, THAT PARTICULAR PROJECT ORIGINALLY CAME
- 3 TO THE BOARD AS A C.E.O. I.T. PROJECT. WE DEFERRED IT, PUT THE
- 4 MONEY INTO P.F.U. AND TOLD THE BOARD WE WOULD COME BACK IN
- 5 JANUARY TO LOOK AT ALL THE ITEMS THAT WE HAD DEFERRED. THAT
- 6 PARTICULAR ONE IS BROUGHT FORWARD BECAUSE IT WILL HAVE SOME
- 7 COST SAVINGS TO IT SO THAT ONE WE FELT WAS IMPORTANT TO MOVE
- 8 FORWARD WITH.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: COST SAVINGS BUT IT WAS PROJECT THAT WE APPROVED?

11

12 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** YES.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: IN OUR BUDGET?

15

- 16 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** IT NEVER ACTUALLY WAS APPROPRIATED. YOU
- 17 APPROVED IT MID-YEAR. WE WERE GOING TO APPROPRIATE IT IN
- 18 OCTOBER, WHEN WE DID OUR FINAL BUDGET ACTION. INSTEAD OF DOING
- 19 THAT, WE SET THE MONEY IN P.F.U. SO THAT WE COULD COME BACK IN
- 20 JANUARY AND TAKE A LOOK AT WHAT ITEMS WE REALLY NEEDED TO
- 21 SHIFT OUT

- 23 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AS STATED IN THE REPORT THIS WILL SAVE THE
- 24 DEPARTMENT APPROXIMATELY 1.4 MILLION OVER THE NEXT THREE
- 25 YEARS. WITHIN TIME THIS PROJECT WILL PAY FOR ITSELF. ONE THEME



- 1 THAT YOU'LL SEE IN THIS REPORT BUT WE HAVE CARRIED IT FROM
- 2 LAST YEAR'S BUDGET AND WE'RE GOING TO ABSOLUTELY CARRY IT TO
- 3 NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET, THERE WILL BE EXAMPLES WHEN WE'RE GOING TO
- 4 RECOMMEND THE USE OF SOME OF OUR ONE-TIME MONEY IF IT
- 5 GENERATES SOME ONGOING SAVINGS. SOME OF THE CAPITAL PROJECTS
- 6 LIKE THE SOLAR ENERGY OR IRRIGATION PROJECTS, THERE'S
- 7 DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE THAT BY SUPPORTING OR FUNDING THESE
- 8 PROGRAMS WITH ONE-TIME MONEY, WE CAN SAVE ON AN ONGOING BASIS
- 9 MONEY THAT IN TIME WILL PAY FOR THESE PROJECTS. THAT, IN MY
- 10 MIND THAT, IS VERY, VERY RESPONSIBLE BECAUSE WHERE WE CAN MAKE
- 11 SOME TIMELY INVESTMENTS THAT WILL RESULT IN ONGOING SAVINGS
- 12 THAT EXCEED ORIGINAL INVESTMENT AMOUNT IS SOMETHING THAT I
- 13 FEEL STRONGLY ABOUT, I THINK WE SHOULD LOOK AT.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: BUT OUR ECONOMIC RESERVES ARE NOT NECESSARILY TO
- 16 FUND ONE-TIME PROJECTS. USUALLY IT IS SAVINGS FROM PROJECTS
- 17 THAT WE ALLOW, THAT WE DO ONE-TIME FUNDING. SO THIS ECONOMIC
- 18 RESERVES IS NOT THE PLACE WHERE WE DO ONE TIME.

- 20 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** THE ECONOMIC RESERVE, THIS PARTICULAR ONE IN
- 21 P.F.U. WAS ESTABLISHED SPECIFICALLY BY DEFERRING SPECIFIC
- 22 PROJECTS. IT WASN'T A GENERAL FUND BALANCE SAVINGS OR ANYTHING
- 23 LIKE THAT. IT WAS SPECIFIC PROJECTS THAT IN OCTOBER WE DECIDED
- 24 TO DEFER AND TAKE ANOTHER LOOK AT AND COME BACK IN JANUARY.
- 25 MANY OF THE ITEMS THAT WERE ACTUALLY MOVING THE BULK OF THE

7

9

12

16

18

21

24



- 1 DOLLARS ARE ASSOCIATED WITH PROBLEMS THAT WE PROJECTED WERE
- 2 GOING TO OCCUR IN DEPARTMENTAL BUDGETS LIKE REGISTRAR RECORDER
- 3 OR TRIAL COURT OR ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL. AND WE DIDN'T FEEL
- 4 AT THE TIME, WE DIDN'T MOVE THE MONEY INTO THEIR BUDGET. WE
- 5 THOUGHT WE WOULD CONTINUE TO SEE HOW THEY PROGRESSED IN TERMS
- 6 OF TRYING TO MITIGATE SOME OF THEIR PROJECTED OVERAGES
- 8 SUP. MOLINA: THAT WOULDN'T BE TRUE FOR SOLAR PANELS.
- 10 DEBBIE LIZZARI: TRUE, TRUE BUT THE BULK OF THE MONEY WAS FOR
- 11 THE GENERAL RELIEF OR, FOR--
- 13 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND. I'M TALKING ABOUT ACTUAL INDIVIDUAL
- 14 NEW PROGRAMS. SOLAR PANELS IS A NEW PROGRAM. THE COUNTY
- 15 CHANNEL, IS THAT A NEW PROGRAM?
- 17 DEBBIE LIZZARI: NO, THOSE WERE ITEMS--
- 19 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: NOT QUITE. IT'S ABOUT FOUR OR FIVE YEARS OLD
- 20 IN THE DEVELOPMENT.
- 22 SUP. MOLINA: AND IT WAS DEFERRED FOR A REASON, SO WHAT IS THE
- 23 ISSUE NOW? EVERYTHING IS ALL SET, READY TO GO?
- 25 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AT THIS POINT IN TIME IT IS.



1
2 SUP. MOLINA: IT WASN'T SIX MONTHS AGO?

3

- 4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT TOOK A WHILE FOR DESIGN, THE ARCHITECTURAL
- 5 DESIGN TO BE COMPLETED. ALSO TOOK TIME TO IDENTIFY THE
- 6 TECHNOLOGY THAT'S NEEDED FOR THAT PARTICULAR EFFORT. IT WAS MY
- 7 UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS WAS AT ONE POINT A BOARD PRIORITY.
- 8 THAT IS WHY I WAS SAYING FORWARD AT THIS POINT.

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: AGAIN, THE ISSUE OF TRYING TO UNDERSTAND HOW WE
- 11 HAVE SET ASIDE, AND I DON'T KNOW WHERE IT'S AT, ONE-TIME
- 12 FUNDING, RIGHT, WHERE WE HAVE MONEY AND IT IS USUALLY DONE--
- 13 WE HAVE A WHOLE CAPITAL PROGRAM THAT'S SET UP FOR ONE-TIME
- 14 FUNDING.

15

16 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** CORRECT

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: DOES THIS COME FROM THAT?

19

20 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** NO.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: THAT IS THE PROBLEM? WHY DIDN'T WE USE THAT?

23

24 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** WHY DIDN'T WE USE THE CAPITAL PROGRAM?



1 SUP. MOLINA: I MEAN, SOLAR PANELS ARE ONE-TIME FUNDING.

2

- 3 DEBBIE LIZZARI: I THINK ONLY, SUPERVISOR, BECAUSE DURING
- 4 OCTOBER WE ORIGINALLY INTENDED TO SPEND THIS MONEY FOR SOLAR
- 5 PANELS AND THE OTHER 88 PROJECTS--

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: NO, I KNOW, BUT LET'S SAY IN FOUR MONTHS I COME
- 8 TO YOU AND SAY "WE HAVE TO FUND CHILDREN'S SERVICES FOR THIS
- 9 MUCH MONEY, " CAN I TAKE IT OUT OF MY CAPITAL PROJECT MONEY?

10

11 SPEAKER: YOU COULD IN THE RESERVE THAT IS AVAILABLE.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: NO, BUT I COULD TAKE IT OUT OF THE MONEY WE ARE
- 14 HAVE SET ASIDE FOR ONE-TIME FUNDING.

15

16 **DEBBIE LIZZARI:** AND THERE'S STILL REMAINING \$181 MILLION.

17

- 18 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE ANSWER IS YES, BUT YOU'D ALSO HAVE TO
- 19 VISIT YOUR FINANCIAL POLICIES BECAUSE THE PROGRAM YOU ARE
- 20 REFERRING TO IS AN ONGOING PROGRAM, AND THE POLICY SPEAKS TO--

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: EXACTLY, THAT IS WHY I'M SAYING WHY ARE YOU NOW
- 23 MIXING IT UP, WHEN WE HAVE SET ASIDE ONE-TIME FUNDING FOR
- 24 CAPITAL PROJECTS, PARTICULARLY. SO I DON'T KNOW THIS WAS



- 1 G.P.S. SYSTEM YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT FOR 2 MILLION BUCKS OR THE
- 2 SOLAR PANELS.

3

4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT'S ONE-TIME--

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: THEY SEEM TO BE ONE-TIME FUNDING, AS TO WHY
- 7 DIDN'T AT THAT--

8

- 9 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: MAYBE I WASN'T CLEAR, AND I APOLOGIZE FOR
- 10 THAT. WE ARE USING ONE-TIME MONEY FOR ONE-TIME EXPENDITURES,
- 11 WHETHER IT'S THE 88 PROGRAMS OR SOLAR PANELS OR THIS SYSTEM,
- 12 THE LAND-BASED SYSTEM FOR THE-- FOR PUBLIC WORKS, THAT IS ONE-
- 13 TIME MONEY. IT IS NOT ONGOING DOLLARS FOR THIS ONE TIME
- 14 EXPENSE.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW IT IS ONE-TIME MONEY BUT THAT IS WHY I'M
- 17 ASKING THE QUESTION AS TO WHERE IT COMES FROM. IT IS MY
- 18 UNDERSTANDING THAT IT'S FROM OUR RAINY DAY ECONOMIC RESERVES
- 19 FUND.

20

- 21 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT IS AN ECONOMIC RESERVE FUND BUT IT IS FROM-
- 22 IT WAS PUT IN A P.F.U. PENDING A FINAL ACTION BY THE BOARD.
- 23 THESE PROGRAMS ARE IN THE BUDGET.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND THAT. I UNDERSTAND THAT. WHY WOULD
- 2 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS EXPENDITURE OF \$2 MILLION,
- 3 INCLUDING THE CAPITAL PROJECTS, THE SOLAR ENERGY PROJECTS, THE
- 4 3 MILLION THAT'S NOW 5 MILLION, WHY WOULD IT NOT COME OUT OF
- 5 SET ASIDE, WHICH I UNDERSTAND IT IS A SEPARATE SET ASIDE FOR
- 6 ONE-TIME CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS?

7

- 8 DEBBIE LIZZARI: I THINK, SUPERVISOR, IF YOU GO BACK TO
- 9 OCTOBER, WHAT WE WOULD HAVE DONE, IF WE HAD NOT IDENTIFIED
- 10 THESE PROJECTS INITIALLY, THE FUND BALANCE THAT WE WERE
- 11 PROJECTING AT THAT TIME WOULD HAVE GONE INTO OUR CAPITAL
- 12 RESERVE. INSTEAD WE IDENTIFIED A CERTAIN NUMBER OF ITEMS THAT
- 13 WE WANTED TO USE THAT FUND BALANCE FOR, THEN WE DECIDED TO
- 14 INSTEAD DEFER AND PUT THOSE DOLLARS INTO P.F.U., WHEN WE
- 15 CALLED IT OUR ECONOMIC RESERVE. SO IN ESSENCE IT IS REALLY
- 16 KIND OF FROM THE SAME SOURCE. IT JUST NEVER MADE IT INTO THE
- 17 CAPITAL PROJECT RESERVE. IT WOULD HAVE GONE THERE IF, IN FACT,
- 18 WE HAD NOT DECIDED INITIALLY TO ALLOCATE THE FUNDS FOR THESE
- 19 PURPOSES.

20

21 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT STILL REPRESENTS THE USE OF ONE-TIME MONEY

22

23 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SORRY?



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT STILL, WHEN THE DAY'S OVER, WE ARE USING
- 2 ONE-TIME MONEY FOR THESE EXPENDITURES.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: I HOPE YOU WILL REMEMBER THAT WHEN I ASK YOU TO
- 5 TAKE CHILDREN'S MONEY OUT OF CAPITAL ONE-TIME MONEYS AND YOU
- 6 WILL ALL LOOK AT ME LIKE, "OH NO, WE DON'T DO THAT."

7

- 8 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: BUT, YOU KNOW, THE CRITICAL FACTOR IS WHETHER
- 9 OR NOT WE ARE USING THE ONE-TIME MONEY FOR ONE-TIME
- 10 EXPENDITURE VERSUS AN ONGOING EXPENDITURE.

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: I'M TRYING TO TELL YOU, THAT IS WHAT I'M TRYING
- 13 TO TELL YOU. WE HAVE ONE-TIME MONEY SET ASIDE IN A FUND. WE
- 14 HAVE RESERVES FOR ONGOING PROJECTS AND I THINK THAT IS THE MIX
- 15 THAT'S GOING ON HERE, SO I'M JUST UNCLEAR. IT JUST SEEMS TO ME
- 16 THAT AT A TIME LIKE THIS, WHEN WE ARE PRESENTED WITH THIS KIND
- 17 OF A BUDGET ADJUSTMENT LETTER IT SHOULD BE FOR CRITICAL ITEMS
- 18 ONLY. WE SHOULD CONTINUE TO HAVE THE ECONOMIC RESERVES FOR
- 19 REAL RAINY DAY SITUATIONS. I DON'T MEAN TO BE DISRESPECTFUL TO
- 20 ECONOMIC SAVINGS THAT WE COULD HAVE ON THIS BUT IT WOULD SEEM
- 21 TO ME IF YOU DEFERRED IT IN OCTOBER, YOU CAN DEFER IT IN THE
- 22 END OF JANUARY, END OF FEBRUARY FOR ANOTHER THREE MONTHS,
- 23 UNDER WHATEVER THAT FUNDING MIGHT BE COME JUNE OR JULY. SOME
- 24 OF THESE ISSUES ARE THE CASE. I AM TRYING TO LOOK AT THIS
- 25 LIST. I HAD MY STAFF GO THROUGH IT AS TO WHAT IS CRITICAL AND



- 1 NOT CRITICAL. THERE ARE A LOT OF ISSUES IN HERE THAT ARE NOT
- 2 CRITICAL. WE DIDN'T DEVELOP THE RAINY DAY FUND AND THE
- 3 ECONOMIC RESERVES WITHOUT HAVING SOME VERY CONSERVATIVE VOTES
- 4 ON THIS SIDE AND MAKING TOUGH DECISIONS AT THAT TIME. IT IS
- 5 HARD FOR ME TO APPRECIATE WHY ALL OF THE SUDDEN THE G.P.S.
- 6 THING WAS NOT READY IN OCTOBER AND IT IS READY NOW, WHAT WOULD
- 7 BE THE DIFFERENCE IN SAVINGS FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS? READY
- 8 MEANS HANDING OFF A CONTRACT TO SOMEBODY WHO IS NOT GOING TO
- 9 IMPLEMENT UNTIL OCTOBER OF THIS COMING YEAR? I DON'T KNOW THE
- 10 STATUS OF THESE THINGS, AND I DON'T KNOW WHERE THEY ARE AT. I
- 11 UNDERSTAND A 10 PERCENT INCREASE IN G.R., THAT I UNDERSTAND.
- 12 THAT MAKES SENSE TO ME. I GOT TO PUT MONEY IN THERE, AND IT
- 13 HAS TO COME OUT OF THE RAINY DAY FUND. I DON'T UNDERSTAND
- 14 SOLAR PANELS THAT WERE NOT READY TO BE APPROVED IN OCTOBER,
- 15 THAT ALL OF A SUDDEN TODAY NEED TO BE APPROVED AND WHY THAT
- 16 SAVINGS WON'T BE THERE IF WE APPROVE IN IT OUR JUNE-JULY
- 17 BUDGET. THAT IS WHAT I DON'T GET. SO I JUST CAN'T SUPPORT THE
- 18 WHOLE SERIES OF BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS THAT DON'T MAKE SENSE TO ME
- 19 AT A CRITICAL TIME LIKE THIS WHEN IN FACT, WE HAVE A WHOLE
- 20 SERIES OF PEOPLE THAT MIGHT BE LOSING OUT ON SERVICES BECAUSE
- 21 NOT OF OUR DOING, BECAUSE THE STATE IS NOT TAKING SOME
- 22 RESPONSIBLE ACTIONS THEY SHOULD BE. BUT I DON'T WANT TO
- 23 COMPOUND IT FURTHER BY SPENDING FOR SOMETHING, YES, WE NEED
- 24 AND WE WILL HAVE SAVINGS WHEN WE HAVE VERY CRITICAL ISSUES
- 25 COMING DOWN THE LINE.



SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU ASKING THAT WE MOVE LAND-BASED
PROJECT AND SOLAR ENERGY PROJECT?

SUP. MOLINA: I HAVE A WHOLE LOT OF ISSUES THAT I CONSIDER NOT
CRITICAL. BUT I DON'T THINK THE COUNTY CHANNEL PRESS ROOM IS
CRITICAL NOW. YEAH, WE HAVE ALWAYS WANTED IT BUT, HEY, I HAVE
BEEN HERE A WHOLE LOT OF YEARS. I ASKED FOR IT ON THE FIRST
DAY I GOT HERE.

10

1

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THEN THE ITEM IS BEFORE
- 12 US. PARDON ME? ANY SPEAKERS ON THIS ITEM? OKAY. MOVED BY
- 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, THE CHAIR WILL SECOND IT. ROLL CALL.

14

15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: NO.

18

19 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS: I'M SORRY, ABSTAIN?

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IT'S FOUR-VOTE ITEM.

22

- 23 **CLERK:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?
- 24 SUPERVISOR KNABE?



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: AYE.

2

3 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE MOTION FAILS.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THE MOTION FAILED.

6

- 7 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THEN WE NEED TO DISCUSS AN ALTERNATIVE,
- 8 BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME -- I KNOW THERE ARE SOME ITEMS HERE THAT
- 9 WE'VE EXPRESSED CONCERN--

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: LET ME MAKE A RECOMMENDATION THEN. WE
- 12 REMOVE THE JUNIOR GOLF PIECE. IF THERE IS SUPPORT TO MOVE IT
- 13 AS RECOMMENDED WITH EXCEPTION OF LAND-BASED PROJECT AND THE
- 14 SOLAR ENERGY PROJECT, THAT WOULD REDUCE IT BY \$5 MILLION. I
- 15 WOULD BE WILLING TO MAKE THAT MOTION. IS THERE A SECOND?

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SECOND.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT ABOUT THE COUNTY CHANNEL?

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU KNOW IT'S-- I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM
- 22 WITH IT BEING IN THERE.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA: IT COULD BE DONE IN JUNE, AND IF IT'S WAITING--



SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

2

- 3 SUP. MOLINA: IN THE REGISTRAR-RECORDER, WHILE I KNOW THAT THAT
- 4 IS A CRITICAL COMPONENT HERE, COULD WE ASK THEM TO SEND A
- 5 REPORT TO US AS TO WHY IS THERE FEES THAT MAYBE WE ARE NOT--

6

- 7 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE RECORDER FEES ARE DIRECTLY TIED TO THE
- 8 DOWNTURN IN REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY. THEY'RE BASICALLY FEES TO
- 9 RECORD DEEDS AND THERE ARE SOME OTHER SMALL AMOUNTS THAT--
- 10 <<SUP. MOLINA: NO, BUT USUALLY YOU GET PAID FOR THOSE KIND--

11

12 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: EXCUSE ME?

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: USUALLY YOU GET PAID FOR THOSE KINDS OF THINGS.

15

- 16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YOU USUALLY GET PAID TO RECORD DEEDS BUT
- 17 BECAUSE THE REAL ESTATE MARKET HAS VIRTUALLY SHUT DOWN, WE
- 18 COULD NOT PROJECT THAT THIS WOULD HAVE HAPPENED LAST YEAR WHEN
- 19 WE WERE PREPARING THE BUDGET. AND SO, AS A DIRECT CONSEQUENCE
- 20 OF PEOPLE NOT COMING IN AND RECORDING DEED TRANSFER SO THAT
- 21 REVENUE IS BEING LOST. WE HAVE BUILT THAT INTO THE REGISTRAR-
- 22 RECORDER'S BUDGET. BECAUSE OF THAT SHORTFALL-- THIS IS NOT AN
- 23 OPTION. WITHOUT THIS MONEY IT WILL NOT BE ABLE TO MEET
- 24 PAYROLL.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THERE IS A MOTION AND A SECOND TO MY
- 2 MOTION AS-- MY MOTION AND SECOND TO APPROVE IT AS PRESENTED
- 3 WITHOUT JUNIOR GOLF, WITHOUT THE SOLAR PROJECT AND WITHOUT THE
- 4 LAND BASED PROJECT ROLL CALL.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: AND YOU'RE NOT INCLUDING THE COUNTY CHANNEL?

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN, IT'S BEEN SOMETHING THAT'S BEEN GOING ON
- 9 FOR SIX YEARS, AND WE MIGHT AS WELL JUST FINISH IT, FOR
- 10 ACCESSIBILITY. IT'S WHAT WE ALWAYS TALKED ABOUT, AND YOU HAD
- 11 TALKED ABOUT IT FROM DAY ONE.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND, BUT I'VE BEEN WAITING FOR IT FOR 12
- 14 YEARS SO I FIGURE I CAN WAIT ANOTHER FOUR MONTHS.

15

16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: NO.

19

20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI : RIDLEY-THOMAS

21

22 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: AYE.

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

1

4

8

11

13

16

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AYE



2
3 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** AYE.

6

7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE?

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: AYE, MOTION PASSES. ALL RIGHT, LET'S

10 SEE. I'M STILL UP?

12 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** YES, YOU ARE.

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I WILL YIELD TO SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

15 YOU DO YOUR ADJOURNMENTS.

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: A NUMBER OF ADJOURNMENTS I WOULD LIKE TO

- 18 MAKE, MR. CHAIRMAN. FIRST AS TO, THAT WE WOULD ADJOURN IN
- 19 MEMORY OF SUZANNE HOWARD, LONG-TIME FRIEND, SUPPORTER. SHE AND
- 20 HER HUSBAND FRANK AND THEIR CHILDREN WERE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN
- 21 COMMUNITY OF GLENDALE, INVOLVED WITH ALL THE PHILANTHROPIC,
- 22 ALL OF THE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS AND LATER THEY MOVED TO
- 23 NEWPORT BEACH. SHE PASSED AWAY JANUARY 21ST AT THE AGE OF 89.
- 24 SHE LEAVES HER HUSBAND AND CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN,
- 25 CHILDREN MICHAEL, KATHLEEN AND SALLY. A MAN I SERVED WITH IN



- 1 THE STATE LEGISLATURE, ROBERT MONAGAN, PASSED AWAY. HE WAS A
- 2 FORMER ASSEMBLY SPEAKER IN THE ASSEMBLY IN 1969. HE LEFT THE
- 3 LEGISLATURE TO ACCEPT POSITION OF ASSISTANT TRANSPORTATION
- 4 SECRETARY IN THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION. HE LEAVES HIS WIFE OF
- 5 63 YEARS, IONE AND THEIR CHILDREN. DR. RICHARD WEBSTER THOMAS,
- 6 A PHYSICIAN, SERVED IN THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS, WENT TO
- 7 L.A. CITY COLLEGE, AND THEN U.C.L.A., AND THEN BECAME A
- 8 PRACTICING MEDICINE, WHERE HE RECEIVED HIS DOCTORATE FROM
- 9 U.S.C. HE WAS A PSYCHIATRIST AND A PHYSICIAN IN THE SAN
- 10 GABRIEL VALLEY, A LONG-TIME MEMBER INVOLVED WITH BOY SCOUTS,
- 11 INTERNATIONAL KIWANIS FROM ALHAMBRA, AND INVOLVED WITH ST.
- 12 THOMAS MORE SCHOOL, WITH TROOP 218. KYHL SANFORD SMEBY PASSED
- 13 AWAY. HE WORKED FOR THE BANK OF AMERICA FOR 47 YEARS, WAS THE
- 14 BRANCH MANAGER BUT SERVED AS THE DIRECTOR CHAIRMAN OF THE
- 15 TOURNAMENT OF ROSES, THE UNIVERSITY OF LA VERNE BOARD
- 16 DIRECTOR, ART CENTER COLLEGE OF DESIGN, AND L.A. MUSIC CENTER
- 17 OPERATIONS ASSOCIATION, ALONG WITH THE PASADENA FOUNDATION. A
- 18 MAN THAT I KNEW WAS A GREAT HERO AND INSPIRATION WAS DR. FRED
- 19 SCHWARZ. FRED SCHWARZ HAD ESTABLISHED THE FOUNDER OF THE
- 20 CHRISTIAN ANTI-COMMUNIST CRUSADE BACK IN THE EARLY '60S. HE
- 21 PASSED AWAY AT AGE OF 95 ON JANUARY 24TH. HE LEAVES HIS WIFE
- 22 LILLIAN AND HIS CHILDREN. HE WAS PROLIFIC WRITER AND WONDERFUL
- 23 PERSON WHO AFFECTED MANY PEOPLE, WAS VERY CLOSE AND HELPED
- 24 RONALD REAGAN'S LAUNCHING OF HIS EFFORTS. MARTIN ORTIZ, A MAN
- 25 I SERVED WITH WITH THE TOURNAMENT OF ROSES, WHO INSPIRED



- 1 THOUSANDS OF LATINO STUDENTS TO REACH FOR COLLEGE. HE WAS A
- 2 FOUNDING DIRECTOR OF WHITTIER COLLEGE, CENTER OF MEXICAN-
- 3 AMERICAN AFFAIRS COLLEGE. HE PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 89.
- 4 MARTIN AND I WORKED AT THE TOURNAMENT OF ROSES IN THE SAME
- 5 LOCATION EACH YEAR, ON ORANGE GROVE BETWEEN GREEN AND
- 6 COLORADO. HE WAS A GOOD MAN, GOOD INSPIRATION. HE SERVED IN
- 7 THE UNITED STATES ARMY IN WORLD WAR II AS AN AEROLOGIST AND
- 8 LANGUAGE SPECIALIST. S.. STEVEN NAKASHIMA. STEVE WAS A FRIEND.
- 9 WE SERVED ON RONALD REAGAN'S DELEGATIONS AND GEORGE BUSH'S AS
- 10 WELL. HE WAS WORLD A WAR II RELOCATION CAMP SURVIVOR, LONG-
- 11 TIME ATTORNEY, MEMBER OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF
- 12 REGENTS. HE PASSED WAY AT 86. AFTER A YEAR IN CAMP, HE WAS
- 13 ALLOWED TO LEAVE TO WORK AS ACCOUNTANT FOR A DEFENSE
- 14 CONTRACTOR. THEN JOINED ARMY AND SERVED STATESIDE AS STAFF
- 15 SERGEANT. UNIVERSITY OF BERKELEY, STEVE NAKASHIMA RECEIVED HIS
- 16 DEGREE IN ACCOUNTING, AS WELL AS A DEGREE IN LAW, WHERE HE
- 17 PRACTICED LAW 40 YEARS. HE ACTIVE IN THE JAPANESE AMERICAN
- 18 CITIZENS' ALLIANCE. MICHAEL MULL, WHO PASSED AWAY ON DECEMBER
- 19 8TH, WAS HOWARD MULL'S YOUNG SON. HOWARD WAS ONE OF MY
- 20 DEPUTIES WHEN I WAS FIRST ELECTED. HE LEAVES HIS MOTHER,
- 21 MARIA. EILEEN WINTERS, JONATHAN WINTERS' WIFE OF 60 YEARS,
- 22 PASSED AWAY AT 84. MILAN TRIFKO VUCUREVICH, HE PASSED AWAY ON
- 23 JANUARY 17TH AT 96 AGE OF YEARS. HE LEAVES HIS SON DONALD AND
- 24 HIS DAUGHTER, BARBARA KRAL OF SAN MARINO, WHOSE HUSBAND PAUL
- 25 WAS A FORMER MAYOR OF SAN MARINO. CARLENE LAMPELA, A REALTOR



- 1 AT COLDWELL BANKER AND LATER WON THE ANTELOPE VALLEY REALTOR
- 2 OF THE YEAR AWARD. MARY JO ECKART, A LONG TIME RESIDENT OF
- 3 TEMPLE CITY. IRVING BUSH, WHO WAS A TOP TRUMPETER WITH LOS
- 4 ANGELES PHILHARMONIC TRUMPET SECTION. HE BECAME THE ORCHESTRA
- 5 PERSONNEL MANAGER AND CONTINUED UNTIL HIS RETIREMENT IN 1995.
- 6 HE ALSO SERVED ON THE FACULTY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN
- 7 CALIFORNIA. WAYNE BRUBAKER IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE SUSAN, AND
- 8 HIS CHILDREN, ERIC AND NANCY, AND BROTHER LOREN, AND SISTER
- 9 SANDRA. BARRY J. WULWICK, SERGEANT, LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 10 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, PASSED AWAY AT AGE 59. JAMES T VAUGHAN,
- 11 RETIRED DEPUTY OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, PASSED
- 12 AWAY AT 82. RODRIGUE SIMON, PASSED AWAY ON DECEMBER 28TH AT
- 13 THE AGE OF 65. HE WAS A SENIOR COOK FOR LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S
- 14 DEPARTMENT AT THE NORTH COUNTY. CORRECTIONAL FACILITY. RUSSELL
- 15 RUCH, WHO WAS MEMBER OF THE LANCASTER MASONIC LODGE AND THE
- 16 SHRINE, A MEMBER OF THE LANCASTER ELKS. TERRY LAUTERBACH,
- 17 RETIRED CUSTODY ASSISTANT FOR THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S
- 18 DEPARTMENT. ROSCOE KING, WHO WAS A CURRENT CONTRACT EMPLOYEE
- 19 WITH THE BUILDING AND SAFETY. AFTER VERY SUCCESSFUL CAREER IN
- 20 THE PRIVATE SECTOR, AND WORKING WITH THE H.V.A.C. INDUSTRY.
- 21 MAE P. HARPER FROM THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. SHE SERVED AS STAFF
- 22 SECRETARY ON THE GENERAL STAFF OF THE AIR FORCE, AND THE
- 23 OFFICE OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF OPERATIONS, PLANS AND
- 24 PROGRAMS. WAS A MEMBER ACTIVE AT GRACE CHAPEL. LAWRENCE "PAT"



- 1 CLEARY, LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S RETIRED SERGEANT. I'D
- 2 LIKE TO CALL UP ITEM 31.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: 31.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHO DID THAT? OKAY.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WE WILL HAVE A REPORT FROM THE
- 9 DEPARTMENT, SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT UH-HUH.

- 11 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: CHAIRMAN, BOARD, COMMANDER EARL SHIELDS,
- 12 COMMANDER, SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, TECHNICAL SERVICES DIVISION.
- 13 I'M HERE TODAY TO DO A FOLLOW UP REPORT REGARDING THE STATUS
- 14 OF SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT AUDIT THAT WE CONDUCTED. AS I'VE
- 15 REPORTED IN THE PAST, THIS WAS A THREE-PHASE PROGRAM. WE HAVE
- 16 CONCLUDED PHASE ONE AND TWO WHICH, IN SHORT, WERE COUNTING THE
- 17 NUMBER OF SEXUAL ASSAULT KITS IN STORAGE, DETERMINING HOW MANY
- 18 OF THEM WERE UNTESTED, WHICH WE'VE COMPLETED, NOW IN PHASE
- 19 THREE, TO DETERMINE THE STATUS OF EACH OF THOSE CASES, WHERE
- 20 THEY ARE, AND TO BEGIN THE TESTING PROCESS ON ALL SEXUAL
- 21 ASSAULT KITS. IN THE LETTER SUBMITTED, IT DOES A LITTLE
- 22 SYNOPSIS THERE, SHOWS WE HAVE 6,129 KITS IN STORAGE, 75
- 23 PERCENT OF THOSE KITS BELONG TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 24 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT OR INDEPENDENT AGENCIES THAT UTILIZE OUR
- 25 LAB. 75 PERCENT BELONG TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S



- 1 DEPARTMENT, 25 PERCENT BELONG TO INDEPENDENT AGENCIES. ALSO OF
- 2 THAT TOTAL, 77 PERCENT WERE UNANALYZED, AND 23 PERCENT WERE
- 3 ANALYZED. OF 4,738 UNANALYZED SEXUAL ASSAULT KITS, 80 PERCENT
- 4 ARE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT KITS, 20 PERCENT ARE INDEPENDENT
- 5 AGENCY KITS. WE SENT OUT A LETTER TO ALL THE INDEPENDENT
- 6 AGENCIES AND TO ALL SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT STATIONS A
- 7 QUESTIONNAIRES SO WE CAN DETERMINE THE STATUS OF THIS CASE TO
- 8 BE USED IN PRIORITIZATION OF THESE CASES FOR TESTING. THUS FAR
- 9 WE HAVE RECEIVED RESPONSES FROM 70 PERCENT OF THOSE CASES, OR
- 10 3,313 CASES. AND BASED ON THE INFORMATION ON THOSE
- 11 QUESTIONNAIRES, CULLED FROM THE 3,313 RESPONSE, WE HAVE SO FAR
- 12 DETERMINED THAT 25 PERCENT OF THOSE CASES HAVE UNKNOWN
- 13 SUSPECTS, 43 PERCENT HAVE KNOWN SUSPECTS, 21 PERCENT WERE
- 14 REJECTED FOR FILING AND 11 PERCENT WERE ADJUDICATED.
- 15 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION PERTAINING TO STATUTE OF LIMITATION
- 16 ISSUES ON THOSE 3,313 CASES ARE THAT 3 PERCENT OF THOSE CASES
- 17 ARE WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF BEING TEN YEARS OLD. 8 PERCENT ARE
- 18 WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF BEING TWO YEARS OLD, AND 9 PERCENT OF THE
- 19 CASES ARE MORE THAN 10 YEARS OLD. CURRENTLY UNDERWAY, WE ARE
- 20 DOING FOLLOW UP ON THIS REMAINING 30 PERCENT THAT HAVE NOT
- 21 BEEN RETURNED OR WERE RETURNED INCOMPLETE, IN ORDER TO GET A
- 22 FULL 100 PERCENT COMPLIANCE ON OUR REQUESTS FOR THAT
- 23 INFORMATION. AND WE ARE MOVING FORWARD IN CULLING OUT THE
- 24 CASES, LOOKING AT BOTH THE TIMELINES, THE TIME RESTRICTIONS
- 25 THERE AND THE TYPE OF CASE IN ORDER TO BEGIN PUTTING TOGETHER



- 1 WHICH CASES ARE GOING TO GO OUT FIRST. WE'VE ALREADY BEGUN
- 2 SENDING CASES OUT TO THE STATE BUREAU OF FORENSIC SCIENCES,
- 3 WITH WHICH WE HAVE NEGOTIATED AN M.O.U. TO ACCEPT SOME OF OUR
- 4 CASES. UNDER THE MASTER SERVICES AGREEMENT THAT WAS APPROVED
- 5 BY THIS BOARD IN DECEMBER, FOR OUTSOURCING, WE ARE ALSO
- 6 BEGINNING THIS WEEK, WE WILL BEGIN SENDING ADDITIONAL SEXUAL
- 7 ASSAULT KITS OUT FOR TESTING. WE ARE ALSO CURRENTLY IN
- 8 NEGOTIATION WITH NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE, IN ORDER TO
- 9 TRY TO FORMULATE SOME TYPE OF M.O.U. SIMILAR TO THE ONE WE
- 10 HAVE IN EFFECT WITH THE STATE TO SEE IF WE CAN HAVE ONE OR
- 11 MORE OF THEIR GRANTEE LABS ASSIST US WITH TESTING SOME OF
- 12 THESE SEXUAL ASSAULT KITS. WE ARE ATTEMPTING IN-HOUSE WITH
- 13 EXISTING STAFFING TO HANDLE AS MANY OF THE INCOMING SEXUAL
- 14 ASSAULT KITS FOR CURRENT CASES AS POSSIBLE. WE ANTICIPATE OR
- 15 PROJECT THAT THIS COULD BE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF 800 CASES A
- 16 YEAR AND WE'RE ATTEMPTING TO MAKE AN EFFORT TO DEAL WITH THOSE
- 17 IN-HOUSE WITH WHAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 800 A YEAR, SORRY, WHAT WAS THAT IN
- 20 REFERENCE TO?

- 22 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THAT'S OUR PROJECTION FOR THE NUMBER OF
- 23 KITS THAT WILL BE COMING IN , CURRENT CASES THAT ARE COMING
- 24 IN, APPROXIMATELY 65 A MONTH OR SO IS WHAT WE PROJECT THAT WE
- 25 WILL BE GETTING IN. THESE ARE SHORT-TERM SOLUTIONS THAT WE'RE



- 1 WORKING ON RIGHT NOW. THE LONG-TERM SOLUTION IS TO PUT
- 2 TOGETHER A PLAN TO DEAL WITH ALL OF THE REMAINING UNTESTED
- 3 KITS, AND THAT PLAN NOW HAS BEEN DEVELOPED. WE ARE BRINGING
- 4 THAT UP TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT.
- 5 AND ONCE WE RECEIVE APPROVAL WE WILL BE BRINGING THAT TO THE
- 6 C.E.O'.S OFFICE FOR COMMENT AND REVIEW. THAT'S WHERE WE STAND
- 7 NOW.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YES, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND THANK YOU,
- 12 SERGEANT?

13

- 14 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: COMMANDER. DOESN'T MATTER. MAYBE AFTER THE
- 15 MEETING IT WILL BE IF THAT'S HOW IT GOES.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I OWE YOU ONE.

18

19 SUP. KNABE: HOW ABOUT THREE?

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S THE INFLUENCE OF THOSE DECONGESTANTS
- 22 THAT IS CAUSING MY RANK-- ANYWAY, THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE,
- 23 COMMANDER. THE THOROUGHNESS OF THE REPORT, THE HONESTY OF IT,
- 24 THE TRANSPARENCY OF IT BECAUSE THE NEWS IS NOT GOOD. I DON'T
- 25 WANT TO LOOK BACK AND FOCUS ON WHAT HAPPENED BUT I DO WANT TO

13

15

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 JUST REITERATE WHAT YOU JUST SAID, AND THEN I WANT TO FIGURE
- 2 OUT WHERE WE GO FROM HERE. BECAUSE IT WAS YOUR EXPECTATION,
- 3 WHEN YOU TESTIFIED EARLY ON IN THE RAPE KIT ISSUE-- I THINK IT
- 4 WAS MORE YOUR HOPE, AS IT WAS ALL OF OUR HOPES, THAT THE
- 5 NUMBER OF CASES IN WHICH THERE WERE NO SUSPECTS, THAT THE
- 6 NUMBER OF CASES WHERE YOU HAD RAPE KITS BUT WHERE YOU HAD
- 7 TESTED BUT THERE WERE NO SUSPECTS-- I'M SORRY, WHERE YOU HAD
- 8 NO SUSPECTS AND WHICH YOU DID NOT TEST, WOULD BE DE MINIMUS.
- 9 THAT WAS OUR HOPE, THAT WAS YOUR HOPE. TURNS OUT 25 PERCENT OF
- 10 THE CASES IN WHICH THERE WERE NO SUSPECTS, WERE NOT TESTED,
- 11 WHERE THERE WERE LAB TESTS DONE, THEY WERE NOT-- SORRY, WHERE
- 12 THERE WAS A SEXUAL ASSAULT, THERE WAS NO TEST DONE.

14 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THAT IS CORRECT, SUPERVISOR.

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THAT IS A BAD RESULT BECAUSE IT MEANS

- 17 THAT MANY OF THE ASSAILANTS WHO COMMITTED THOSE 25 PERCENT OF
- 18 THE ASSAULTS MAY BE STILL RUNNING AROUND AND WE MAY HAVE BEEN
- 19 EASILY ABLE TO IDENTIFY THEM THROUGH THE-- THROUGH THIS KIND
- 20 OF A TEST AND THEY'RE STILL RUNNING AROUND. IT IS VERY
- 21 POSSIBLE THAT MANY OF THESE COULD BE IDENTIFIED. SO THAT IS
- 22 ONE ISSUE I WANT TO RAISE. AND THE SECOND ONE WHICH I DON'T
- 23 KNOW WHAT WE CAN DO ABOUT IT. IT REALLY FRIES ME. I'M SURE IT
- 24 DOES YOU TOO, IS THE 311 KITS, TEST KITS THAT FALL BEYOND THE
- 25 TEN-YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS WHICH UNDER THE LAW WE CANNOT



1 DO ANYTHING ABOUT, WE CAN'T TEST THEM. IF WE TESTED THEM AND

2 WE HAD A HIT WE COULDN'T ARREST ANYBODY, IS THAT CORRECT?

3

- 4 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: FOR THAT PARTICULAR OFFENSE, THAT IS
- 5 CORRECT.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MY QUESTION ON THAT ONE, THEN WE WILL WORK
- 8 BACK TO THE FIRST ONE IS, IS THERE ANYTHING WE CAN DO WITH
- 9 THOSE 311 KITS THAT MIGHT-- EVEN THOUGH IT MIGHT NOT MEET
- 10 STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR THAT PARTICULAR CASE, THERE MAY BE
- 11 OTHER CASES THAT THERE MAY BE A MATCH OF CASES THAT MAY BE
- 12 LESS THAN 10 YEARS OLD, THAT MAY HOOK YOU UP WITH ONE OF THESE
- 13 311 TESTS. I DON'T KNOW. IS THERE ANY VALUE IN TESTING THESE
- 14 311 OR PURSUING THESE 311 CASES NOW?

- 16 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: ABSOLUTELY, AND WE FULLY INTEND TO DO
- 17 THAT, BECAUSE AS YOU KNOW, THE POLICY NOW IS THAT EVERY KIT
- 18 WILL BE TESTED. YEAH, AND WE'RE LOOKING AT THAT, BECAUSE THE
- 19 POSSIBILITY EXISTS, EVEN THOUGH THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS IS
- 20 EXPIRED FOR THOSE PARTICULAR CASES. THERE'S NOTHING WE CAN DO
- 21 WITH THAT. IF IT CAN BE DETERMINED THAT A CRIME DID IN FACT
- 22 OCCUR, WAS COMMITTED, WE ARE EXAMINING WHETHER THAT WOULD
- 23 STILL ALLOW US TO ENTER THAT INTO C.O.D.U.S. BECAUSE THAT IS
- 24 ONE OF THE REQUIREMENTS, BECAUSE YOU HAVE TO DETERMINE A CRIME
- 25 WAS INDEED COMMITTED. AND IF THAT IS THE CASE, THOUGH THE



- 1 STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS IS APPLIED, WOULD WE STILL BE ABLE TO
- 2 ENTER THAT INFORMATION AND SEARCH THE DATABASE FOR OTHER
- 3 POTENTIAL CASES ASSOCIATED WITH THAT PROFILE. SO YES, THAT IS
- 4 SOMETHING WE ARE LOOKING AT.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IF YOU HAVE, ON ONE OF THE 311 KITS, IF YOU
- 7 HAVE THE D.N.A. AND IT COMES FROM A CASE THAT HAPPENED MORE
- 8 THAN 10 YEARS AGO BUT SAME D.N.A., THE SAME PERSON WITH SAME
- 9 D.N.A. COMMITTED AN ASSAULT TWO YEARS AGO WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO
- 10 USE THAT MATCH?

11

- 12 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: WE ARE LOOKING AT IT. I BELIEVE YES WE
- 13 CAN. THE QUESTION WOULD BE THEN SINCE THIS IS AN UNKNOWN
- 14 SUSPECT CASE-- WELL, NOT ALL THESE ARE UNKNOWN SUSPECTS, SO
- 15 YES. IF IT WAS UNKNOWN SUSPECT, OBVIOUSLY IT WOULD HAVE TO
- 16 MATCH UP WITH SOMEBODY WHO WAS KNOWN TO BE USEFUL, OTHERWISE
- 17 WE'D JUST HAVE A CASE TO CASE MATCH AND WE'D HAVE TWO UNKNOWNS
- 18 BUT IF IT CAME FROM A CASE THAT DID HAVE A KNOWN SUSPECT THEN
- 19 OBVIOUSLY WE'D HAVE A NAME THAT WE COULD USE.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO ON THESE 311, WHAT IS THE PLAN ON THE 311
- 22 KITS FROM THIS POINT GOING FORWARD? WHAT ARE YOU GUYS PLANNING
- 23 TO DO?



- 1 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THOSE WILL GO IN THE QUEUE ALONG WITH ALL
- 2 OTHERS. WE ARE DEALING AGAIN HERE WITH CLOSE TO 4,800 KITS,
- 3 AND WE'RE GETTING MORE IN EVERY DAY. SO WHAT WE ARE LOOKING AT
- 4 OBVIOUSLY IS, WE ARE USING THESE FORMS THAT WE ARE GETTING
- 5 BACK TO DETERMINE WHAT ORDER WE ARE GOING TO TEST THESE KITS
- 6 IN. AND JUST AS A SIDE NOTE, TO MY KNOWLEDGE, IN LOOKING AT
- 7 ALL THE MAJOR AGENCIES THAT HAVE FOUND THEMSELVES IN
- 8 SITUATIONS TO WHAT WE ARE FACING HERE, WE ARE THE FIRST THAT
- 9 HAS ACTUALLY DONE SOME ANALYSIS OR MADE ATTEMPT TO STUDY IT,
- 10 TO FIND OUT WHAT THE STATUS OF THE CASES IS, SO WE COULD
- 11 PRIORITIZE. USUALLY, WHAT THEY HAVE DONE IS TAKE THESE AND
- 12 JUST BEGIN WHOLESALE FASHION SHIPPING THEM OUT. WE WANT TO
- 13 TAKE A LOOK AT THESE CASES, LOOK WHAT ARE THE IMPORTANT ONES
- 14 TO GET OUT FIRST? THAT IS WHY WE ARE TAKING A STEP HERE,
- 15 BEFORE WE START SENDING A LOT OF THESE CASES OUT AND INCURRING
- 16 CHARGES TO HAVE THEM ANALYZED. WE WANT TO LOOK AT ONES THAT
- 17 ARE UNKNOWN SUSPECT CASES. WE WANT TO LOOK AT THE ONES THAT
- 18 ARE COMING UP ON THESE TIME LINES, THESE TWO-YEAR STATUTE OF
- 19 LIMITATIONS, THE TEN-YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS, AND WE WANT
- 20 TO MAKE SURE WE ARE GETTING THOSE KITS TESTED.

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU ARE GOING TO PRIORITIZE THEM--

24 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: ABSOLUTELY.

25

21



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND OF THE 25 PERCENT OF THE 815 THAT WERE
- 2 UNTESTED, WHICH THE DEPARTMENT OBTAINED, 25 PERCENT THAT YOU
- 3 DID NOT TEST--

4

- 5 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: YEAH, 25 PERCENT OF UNTESTED KITS SO FAR,
- 6 RESPONSES HAVE SHOWN THEY HAVE UNKNOWN SUSPECTS IN THOSE
- 7 CASES.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: UNKNOWN SUSPECTS AND THEY WERE NOT TESTED.

10

11 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: AND THEY WERE NOT TESTED, CORRECT.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S THE KEY ELEMENT. HOW DO YOU
- 14 PRIORITIZE THIS BATCH, THIS 815?

- 16 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: ESSENTIALLY IF YOU ARE LOOKING AT THE
- 17 ORDER, THE TOP OF PAGE TWO THERE, IN THE BULLETS, THAT'S
- 18 PRIMARILY THE ORDER OF IMPORTANCE WE'RE LOOKING AT. WE'RE
- 19 LOOKING AT FIRST, THE UNKNOWN SUSPECT CASES, THE KNOWN SUSPECT
- 20 CASES, THEN AFTER THAT WOULD COME THE CASE REJECTS AND THEN
- 21 THE ADJUDICATED CASES. BUT WITHIN THOSE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO
- 22 ALSO TAKE A LOOK AT TIME LINES WITHIN EACH OF THOSE
- 23 CATEGORIES, SO THAT WE CAN DETERMINE WHICH ARE THE MOST
- 24 IMPORTANT WITHIN THOSE CATEGORIES TO GO OUT FIRST, WHILE



- 1 DEALING WITH THE CASES THAT ARE COMING IN WHERE WE HAVE ACTIVE
- 2 CASES OR POSSIBLE SUSPECTS THAT ARE OUT THERE NOW.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU KNOW IN EACH OF THESE CASES WHEN THE
- 5 STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS ELAPSE.

6

- 7 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THAT IS PART OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE
- 8 INFORMATION THAT WE REQUESTED, SO YES.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU HAVE THAT INFORMATION NOW.

11

12 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: YES, WE DO.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: VIRTUALLY ALL OF THEM.

15

- 16 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: WELL, ON THE 70 PERCENT THAT HAVE RETURNED
- 17 THEIR INFO-- AND WE'RE WORKING TO GET OTHER 30 PERCENT.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE MATRIX OF PRIORITIES WILL INCLUDE,
- 20 FIRST AND FOREMOST, ANY CASE WHERE STATUTE IS ABOUT TO EXPIRE.

21

22 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: YES, ABSOLUTELY.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU KNOW WHY OF THE 3,313 UNTESTED KITS
- 25 FOR WHICH THE DEPARTMENT HAD OBTAINED INFORMATION, THAT 815 OF



1 THEM-- WHY THE 815 FOR WHICH THERE WAS NO SUSPECT, WERE NOT

2 TESTED?

3

- 4 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: WHEN THAT NUMBER CAME UP, AS YOU SAID, WE
- 5 WERE HOPING THAT WOULD BE A MUCH SMALLER NUMBER. IN CHECKING--

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WAS ACTUALLY A LARGER NUMBER, LARGER
- 8 PERCENTAGE OR A LARGER NUMBER THAN THE L.A.P.D. BACKLOG IN
- 9 THIS CATEGORY.

10

- 11 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: I HONESTLY BELIEVE-- AND AGAIN, WE ARE
- 12 LOOKING AT THIS IN RETROSPECT, IN HINDSIGHT, LOOKING BACK AT
- 13 IT. BUT I THINK THE THINKING WAS, THE INVESTIGATORS AT THE
- 14 TIME CONTROLLED, THEY MADE THE DETERMINATION WHETHER TO
- 15 REQUEST FOR ANALYSIS OF A SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT. I BELIEVE IN
- 16 MANY INVESTIGATORS' MINDS, IF THEY FELT THEY DID NOT HAVE A
- 17 VALID, PROSECUTABLE CASE THAT THEY WERE NOT GOING TO GO
- 18 FORWARD AND REOUEST SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT TO BE TESTED. ALSO
- 19 THERE WAS A MATTER OF CAPACITY, AND YOU GO BACK YEARS, THE
- 20 ABILITY OF THE LAB, HOW MANY CASES COULD THEY TEST. I THINK
- 21 THERE WAS SOME CONSIDERATION, IF THIS WASN'T A REALLY STRONG
- 22 CASE TO GO FORWARD, DO I WANT TO PUT THIS IN THE QUEUE TO
- 23 CAUSE A LOGJAM OR BACK UP TO CASES FURTHER THAT WE'RE WAITING
- 24 FOR, THAT ARE REALLY GOOD CASES?



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT, BECAUSE I HEARD
- 2 THAT WAS ONE OF THE EXPLANATIONS. HOW DO YOU KNOW IF IT IS
- 3 WEAK CASE OR A STRONG CASE? IF YOU GET A HIT, DOESN'T IT MAKE
- 4 WEAK CASE ALL OF A SUDDEN MUCH STRONGER?

5

- 6 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THIS IS HOW IT WAS DONE IN THE PAST. IT'S
- 7 NOT HOW IT'S DONE ANY MORE BUT IT WAS A JUDGMENT CALL ON THE
- 8 INVESTIGATOR WITH THE CONCURRENCE OF A SUPERVISOR, THAT THEY
- 9 WOULD REVIEW THE FACTS AND MAKE A DETERMINATION. AGAIN THAT IS
- 10 THE OLD WAY OF DOING THINGS. THAT'S NOT THE WAYS WE'RE DOING
- 11 THINGS ANY MORE.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU'RE TESTING EVERYTHING NOW. THE NEW
- 14 POLICY IS, YOU'RE TESTING EVERYTHING NOW.

15

- 16 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THE NEW POLICY IS, WE'RE TESTING
- 17 EVERYTHING.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THIS SITUATION WOULD NOT ARISE ANY MORE.
- 20 I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT.

21

22 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: NO.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK THE SHERIFF AND YOUR SHOP RESPONDED
- 2 QUICKLY ON THAT, AND I THINK WE ALL APPRECIATE THAT. HOW ARE
- 3 WE COMING ON NOTIFYING THE WOMEN WHO DESERVE TO BE NOTIFIED.

4

- 5 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: WE'VE JUST RECENTLY COMPLETED THAT. RIGHT
- 6 NOW I HAVE A TOTAL-- YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE SEXUAL ASSAULT
- 7 VICTIM'S BILL OF RIGHTS,

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES.

10

- 11 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THE TWO-YEAR NOTIFICATION WINDOW. AT THIS
- 12 POINT, I HAVE A TOTAL OF 228 I HAVE IDENTIFIED WHERE WE HAVE
- 13 PASSED THAT WINDOW. RIGHT NOW WE'RE GOING THROUGH-- AND
- 14 ALTHOUGH IT'S RELATED TO THIS, IT'S A LITTLE DIFFERENT-- WE
- 15 ARE TRYING TO DETERMINE THE STATUS OF EACH OF THOSE CASES.
- 16 MANY OF THOSE CASES, THIS INFORMATION THAT WE HAVE COLLECTED
- 17 ON THESE CASES WILL HELP. SOME OF THEM IT WON'T BECAUSE THEY
- 18 ARE NOT NECESSARILY THE SAME. SO WE ARE TRYING TO FIND OUT THE
- 19 CURRENT STATUS OF ALL 228 CASES ARE. ACTUALLY WE HAVE SOME OF
- 20 THE SAME STAFF THAT'S WORKING ON THIS PROJECT OR WORKING ON
- 21 THAT AS WELL. I HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING THAT WITH COUNTY COUNSEL
- 22 AS ONCE WE HAVE THAT INFORMATION, THE STATUS OF THESE CASES,
- 23 WHAT IS BEST METHOD TO PROCEED WITH THAT?



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HAVE YOU CONTACTED ANY WOMEN YET PURSUANT TO
- 2 THE VICTIM'S BILL OF RIGHTS, THAT LAW, OR NOT YET?

3

- 4 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: TO MY KNOWLEDGE, NO, I DON'T KNOW IF WE
- 5 HAVE OR NOT. I KNOW NOW, THE WAY THAT THE POLICY, THE FIELD
- 6 OPS DIRECTIVE THAT WE DISCUSSED THAT I GAVE YOU A COPY OF
- 7 PREVIOUSLY, UNDER EARLIER REPORTS, IS IN EFFECT, THAT EVERY
- 8 STATION NOW IS REQUIRED TO DO THAT. IT'S A MATTER OF
- 9 INSPECTION, THAT THEY WILL BE INSPECTED ANNUALLY ON IT. I KNOW
- 10 THAT THE SPECIAL VICTIMS BUREAU, WHICH HANDLES THE
- 11 PREPONDERANCE OF THESE TYPES OF CASES, THEY HAVE SET UP THEIR
- 12 OWN INTERNAL DATABASE, WHERE THEY'RE NOW TRACKING THAT
- 13 INFORMATION, BOTH IN TERMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT KITS AND IN
- 14 NOTIFICATIONS.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MOST OF THESE KITS THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT
- 17 IN YOUR REPORT TODAY WERE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT CASES, CORRECT?
- 18 THEY HANDLED BY THEM. THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT WAS LEAD POLICE
- 19 AGENCY.

20

21 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: YES.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ABOUT WHAT, 30 PERCENT WERE OTHER POLICE
- 24 AGENCIES, SMALL POLICE DEPARTMENTS, SMALL CITY POLICE
- 25 DEPARTMENTS?



1

- 2 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THE UNANALYZED KITS, YEAH, ABOUT 80
- 3 PERCENT SHERIFF, 20 PERCENT INDEPENDENT AGENCIES.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY UNKNOWN SUSPECT KITS
- 6 MAY BE IN THAT INDEPENDENT POLICE QUEUE?

7

- 8 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: IN WHICH CATEGORY ARE WE TALKING? OVERALL
- 9 ARE YOU SAYING?

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE ONES THAT ARE IN STORAGE, THE UNKNOWN
- 12 SUSPECT KITS IN STORAGE THAT CAME FROM INDEPENDENT POLICE
- 13 AGENCIES, OTHER THAN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

14

- 15 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: UNKNOWN SUSPECTS FOR OUTSIDE AGENCIES I
- 16 BELIEVE THE NUMBER IS 114.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT 114 OUT OF THE 815, OR IS THAT AN
- 19 ADDITIONAL 114? THAT'S A DIFFERENT NUMBER, RIGHT?

20

21 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: YES, 114 OF THE 815.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE FROM INDEPENDENT AGENCIES?

24

25 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: UH-HUH.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THE BALANCE ARE FROM SHERIFF'S
- 3 DEPARTMENT.

4

- 5 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: TO TOTAL THE 815, YOU'VE GOT 701 FOR
- 6 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, 114 FOR INDEPENDENT AGENCIES. THAT GIVES
- 7 YOU THE 815.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT, OKAY. CAN WE GET-- OBVIOUSLY-- AND
- 10 I'M GOING TO WRAP IT UP. OBVIOUSLY THE ISSUE HERE IS THAT
- 11 YOU'VE GOT A BUNCH OF EVIDENCE SITTING THERE THAT IS POTENTIAL
- 12 A SMOKING GUN, FIGURATIVELY SPEAKING. IT COULD BE A SILVER
- 13 BULLET TO NAIL THE SUSPECT IN A SEXUAL ASSAULT CASE, AND IT'S
- 14 JUST SITTING THERE AND HAS BEEN FOR SOME TIME. SO YOUR
- 15 TESTIMONY HERE IS THAT YOU ARE MOVING-- PRIORITIZING AS YOU
- 16 DESCRIBED WITH THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS IN MIND TO MOVE
- 17 EXPEDITIOUSLY, DO WHATEVER IT TAKES TO RUN THESE TESTS AND
- 18 THEN RUN COMPARISONS, HOWEVER YOU DO IT, IN YOUR D.N.A.
- 19 SYSTEM, YOUR NETWORK. IS THAT CORRECT?

20

21 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND HOW LONG DO YOU THINK THAT'S GOING TO
- 24 TAKE?



1 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: TO TEST ALL 4,800--

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, LET'S JUST SAY THE 815 FOR STARTERS,
- 4 WHICH ARE THE ONES WHERE THERE ARE NO KNOWN SUSPECTS.

5

- 6 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: WE ARE BEGINNING TO SEND THOSE KITS OUT AS
- 7 WE SPEAK. AS I'VE SAID, WE'VE SENT SOME OUT TO THE BUREAU OF
- 8 FORENSIC SCIENCES FOR THE STATE, AND ARE WE ARE SENDING OUT
- 9 THIS WEEK SOME TO OUTSIDE LABS. THE PLAN TO DEAL WITH LARGE
- 10 SCALE OF THESE IS PART OF THAT PLAN THAT WE PUT TOGETHER, THAT
- 11 WE'RE WAITING FOR FINAL APPROVAL WITHIN OUR DEPARTMENT THAT
- 12 WE'RE GOING TO BRING TO THE C.E.O.. SUFFICE TO SAY THAT THIS
- 13 IS GOING TO BE A LONG-TERM PROJECT. THIS IS NOT SOMETHING
- 14 THAT'S GOING TO BE ABLE TO BE DONE OVERNIGHT. WE'RE TALKING IN
- 15 THE BEST-CASE SCENARIO, YEARS.

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YEARS?

18

19 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: YEARS.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TO TEST 815?

22

- 23 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: WELL, NOT 815. I'M SAYING TO RESOLVE THIS
- 24 ENTIRE ISSUE.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT ON THE 815?

2

- 3 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: AGAIN, THOSE 815 , WE ALSO HAVE TO LOOK
- 4 ABOUT THE TIMEFRAMES ON THESE, BECAUSE THOSE 815 MAY NOT BE
- 5 THE FIRST 815 WE SEND OUT. A LARGE PERCENTAGE OF THEM WILL BE.
- 6 BUT WE ALSO HAVE TO LOOK AT IF WE HAVE KNOWN SUSPECT CASES
- 7 THAT ARE APPROACHING THESE DEADLINES, THE TWO-YEAR OR THE 10-
- 8 YEAR WINDOW, WE MAY WANT TO SEND A KNOWN SUSPECT CASE OUT
- 9 AHEAD OF AN UNKNOWN SUSPECT CASE.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND.

12

- 13 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: SO IT'S NOT GOING TO SPECIFICALLY THAT 815
- 14 CASES.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND, AND I DIDN'T MEAN TO SUGGEST
- 17 THAT, BUT JUST, HOW MANY, BASED ON THE VOLUME -- BASED ON THE
- 18 TECHNOLOGY AS IT IS TODAY, THE RESOURCES YOU HAVE AVAILABLE TO
- 19 YOU NOW, HOW MANY CASES-- HOW MANY KITS CAN YOU TEST AND RUN
- 20 DURING THE COURSE OF THE ONE YEAR? ROUGHLY.

- 22 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: WELL, AGAIN, IF WE GO BACK HISTORICALLY,
- 23 OUR LAB INTERNALLY, WE WERE TESTING ABOUT 140 TO 150 SEXUAL
- 24 ASSAULT KITS A YEAR. WE ARE NOW LOOKING AT DOING-- ATTEMPTING
- 25 TO DO 800 CASES IN HOUSE A YEAR, AND ALSO MOVE TOWARDS DEALING



1 THROUGH OUTSOURCING AND AGREEMENTS WITH THE STATE AND FEDS TO

2 DEAL WITH THAT BACKLOG.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT OVER-- IS THE CONTRACTING IT OUT
- 5 OVER AND ABOVE THE 800 A YEAR?

6

- 7 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: YES, YES. NOW, AGAIN, WE'RE NOT AT THAT
- 8 800 INTERNAL NUMBER YET. AS I SAID, IN THE LETTER, THERE ARE
- 9 SOME STAFFING NUMBERS THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THERE SHOWING WHERE
- 10 WE ARE IN TERMS OF STAFFING, AND WHAT WE'RE WORKING TOWARDS,
- 11 SO WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF HIRING PEOPLE. WE ARE IN THE
- 12 PROCESS OF TRAINING PEOPLE, SO WE ARE NOT THERE YET, BUT WE
- 13 ARE WORKING TOWARDS THAT GOAL. YOU HAVE EVERYTHING YOU NEED
- 14 INTERNALLY IN THE DEPARTMENT, WITH YOUR HUMAN RESOURCES
- 15 OPERATION, HOWEVER YOU OPERATE? ARE YOU COMFORTABLE THAT YOU
- 16 HAVE EVERYTHING YOU NEED TO STAFF UP AS QUICKLY AS YOU CAN
- 17 STAFF UP TO GET THIS TO MATCH--

- 19 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: WE HAVE THE POSITIONS INTERNALLY THAT
- 20 WE'RE WORKING WITH TO HIRE AND TRAIN THEM TO STAFF UP. THE
- 21 QUESTION BECOMES, IS HOW QUICKLY DO WE WANT TO DEAL WITH THIS
- 22 PROBLEM, AND THAT'S WHAT THIS PLAN ADDRESSES, BECAUSE WE CAN
- 23 DEAL WITH THIS PROBLEM INTERNALLY WITH EXISTING LEVELS OF
- 24 RESOURCES. IT WILL REQUIRE A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF TIME TO DEAL
- 25 WITH THIS. IF WE WISH TO INCREASE THE AMOUNT OF RESOURCES WE



- 1 PUT TO, LET'S SAY, OUTSOURCING, WE CAN SHORTEN THE TIMEFRAME
- 2 TO DEAL WITH THESE, AND THAT'S WHAT THIS LOOKS LIKE, HOW LONG
- 3 IS ACCEPTABLE IN ORDER TO DEAL WITH THE TOTALITY OF THIS
- 4 SITUATION.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WHEN IS THAT GOING TO BE RESOLVED? IS
- 7 THE PLAN YOU HAVE--

8

- 9 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THE PLAN HAS BEEN DRAFTED RIGHT NOW. WE'RE
- 10 GOING TO BE SITTING DOWN WITH THE SHERIFF SHORTLY AND PRESENT
- 11 IT TO HIM PERSONALLY. ONCE HE HAS APPROVED THAT PLAN, THEN
- 12 WE'RE GOING TO BE BRINGING IT TO THE C.E.O. AND HAVE THEM
- 13 REVIEW AND MAKE THEIR COMMENTS ON THAT PLAN.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND YOUR RESOURCES TO DO THE 800 CASES A
- 16 YEAR IN-HOUSE, YOU HAVE THE RESOURCES TO DO THAT.

17

- 18 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: WE HAVE THE POSITIONS AVAILABLE TO DO
- 19 THAT. THOSE POSITIONS HAVE ALL NOT BEEN HIRED OR TRAINED AS OF
- 20 YET, BUT WE HAVE POSITIONS.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT YOU'RE HIRING THEM AS WE SPEAK?

23

24 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: WE'RE HIRING AND TRAINING AS WE SPEAK.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND SO THE ISSUE ON THE CONTRACTING OUT FOR
- 2 ANY ADDITIONAL OVER THE 800, IS THAT A FINANCIAL ISSUE?

3

- 4 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: IT WILL BE A FINANCIAL ISSUE. NOW THAT WE
- 5 HAVE THE MASTER SERVICES AGREEMENT IN PLACE.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. I WOULD REALLY-- I THINK YOU'RE MOVING
- 8 IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION, THERE'S NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT. I
- 9 WOULD JUST IMPLORE YOU TO DO IT WITH AS MUCH URGENCY AS YOU
- 10 POSSIBLY CAN.

11

- 12 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: WELL, WE ARE INITIATING IT. WE'RE
- 13 UTILIZING GRANT FUNDS, WE'RE UTILIZING PROP. 69 FUNDS, WE'RE
- 14 UTILIZING INTERNAL FUNDS THAT WE HAVE BEEN TRADITIONALLY SET
- 15 ASIDE A SMALL AMOUNT OF MONEY FOR OUTSOURCING. WE'RE UTILIZING
- 16 ALL OF THOSE THINGS TO GET THIS STARTED. WE'RE NOT WAITING.
- 17 WE'RE STARTING. WE'RE MOVING FORWARD. THE PLAN IS FOR THE
- 18 LONG-TERM, IS HOW ARE WE GOING TO ELIMINATE THIS AND NOT HAVE
- 19 TO DEAL WITH THIS IN THE FUTURE.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I APPRECIATE THAT, AND I HAVE CONFIDENCE
- 22 THAT YOU WANT TO GET THAT DONE. I JUST DON'T WANT TO SEE ANY
- 23 MORE OF THESE, AND NEITHER DO YOU, NONE OF US WANT TO SEE ANY
- 24 OF THESE CASES SLIP THROUGH THE CRACKS THROUGH INACTION. I
- 25 THINK YOU'VE PRIORITIZED IT, YOU HAVE A PLAN FORWARD AND IF



- 1 YOU HAVE ANY ISSUE, IF THINGS ARE GETTING GUMMED UP IN THE
- 2 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, I'M SURE YOU'LL COME RUNNING. IF YOU
- 3 HAVE ANY PROBLEM IN THE C.E.O.S OFFICE, ANYWHERE IN THE
- 4 BUREAUCRACY, I WANT YOU TO CONTACT ME DIRECTLY, CONTACT MY
- 5 STAFF, ANY OF US UP HERE, WE WANT TO MAKE THIS A TOP PRIORITY.
- 6 THIS IS-- THERE ARE MEN WALKING AROUND RIGHT NOW WHO OUGHT TO
- 7 BE IN JAIL FOR THE REST OF THEIR LIVES WHO WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO
- 8 NAIL FOR THAT PURPOSE BECAUSE OF THE CRIMES THEY COMMITTED. IF
- 9 WE CAN JUST GET THESE KITS TESTED AND THEN IDENTIFIED, THEN
- 10 MATCH THEM WITH THE SUSPECT. THE FACT THAT WE'RE SITTING THERE
- 11 WITHOUT HAVING TESTED THEM IS AS APPALLING TO ME AS IT IS I'M
- 12 SURE TO YOU. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

14 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THANK YOU.

13

15

17

20

22

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO THAT'S A RECEIVE AND FILE?

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN YOU GIVE US A STATUS REPORT? WHEN DO YOU
- 19 THINK IS A GOOD TIME FOR YOU TO COME BACK? 60 DAYS?
- 21 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: 0 DAYS WOULD BE FINE WITH ME.
- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET'S FOR 60 DAYS FROM TODAY HAVE A REPORT
- 24 ON WHERE YOU ARE ON THIS, AND THAT DOESN'T PRECLUDE YOU FROM



- 1 GIVEN US WRITTEN REPORTS IN THE INTERIM IF THERE'S SOMETHING
- 2 THAT DEVELOPS.

3

4 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THANKS.

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, COMMANDER.

7

8 CMDR. EARL SHIELDS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** ITEM NUMBER 5.

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER 5 IS

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE ALSO HAVE A SPEAKER FROM THE ANTELOPE
- 15 VALLEY WHO WILL SPEAK TO US VIA THE VIDEO.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. NO? THAT'S IN PUBLIC COMMENTS.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT WOULD BE PUBLIC COMMENTS.

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER 5. ROBERT--
- 22 LARGE, IS IT? LANGE? PARDON ME? LARGE, OKAY. PLEASE COME
- 23 FORWARD. WENDY REED AND JUAN BLANCO. TWO MINUTES EACH. YOU
- 24 EACH HAVE TWO MINUTES. IF YOU WILL IDENTIFY YOURSELVES FOR THE
- 25 RECORD, PLEASE.



- 2 JUAN BLANCO: GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS JUAN
- 3 BLANCO. I'M A STAKEHOLDER WITHIN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY HUMAN
- 4 RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT IN PALMDALE. THANK YOU FOR THIS
- 5 OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE BOARD. I'M SPEAKING TO SUPPORT THE
- 6 APPOINTMENT OF KEITH DEGAN, VICKY NEILSON AND DAVID KERR AND
- 7 OPPOSE THE REAPPOINTMENT OF KATHLEEN BURR TO THE A.V.R.C.D. I
- 8 AM SPEAKING TODAY FOR DISTRICT STAKEHOLDERS WHO CAN'T BE HERE
- 9 TODAY, PEOPLE WHO WANT TO COME BUT WHO ARE WORKING AND
- 10 ATTENDING COLLEGE. I HAVE LETTERS OF SUPPORT AND CONCERN
- 11 SIGNED BY HUNDREDS OF DISTRICT STAKEHOLDERS. LET ME FIRST TELL
- 12 YOU ABOUT STAKEHOLDERS WHO ARE AFRAID TO COME, WHO ARE AFRAID
- 13 TO SPEAK UP ANY MORE, BECAUSE THEY FEAR RETALIATION FROM THE
- 14 DISTRICT. LET ME ALSO TELL YOU ABOUT ANOTHER STAKEHOLDER,
- 15 UNITED STATES AIR FORCE RESTORATION EFFORTS AT EDWARDS AIR
- 16 FORCE BASE, THEIR RESTORATION RELIES ON A.V.R.C.D.
- 17 CONSERVATION NURSERIES TO GROW NATIVE PLANTS FOR THEIR
- 18 RESTORATION. THEIR RESTORATION BIOLOGIST KNOWS MR. FLORENCE,
- 19 WHO HAS GROWN PLANTS AT THE A.V.R.C.D. NURSERY FOR 18 YEARS
- 20 AND HAS THE SPECIAL KNOWLEDGE NECESSARY TO MEET THEIR NEEDS.
- 21 YET ACTIONS WERE INITIATED -- YET ACTIONS WERE INITIATED TO
- 22 TERMINATE MR. FLORENCE AND TO CLOSE THE NURSERY AND TO SELL
- 23 THE PROPERTY IN 2007. THE AIR FORCE RESTORATION EFFORT IS
- 24 SOMEWHAT CONCERNED THAT REAPPOINTMENT OF MISS BURR WILL
- 25 ULTIMATELY RESULT IN THE LOSS OF THESE UNIQUE AND CRITICAL



- 1 NEEDED RESOURCES. PLEASE EASE THE COMMUNITY'S CONCERNS AND
- 2 CONSIDER APPOINTING KEITH DEGAN, VICKY NELSON AND DAVID KERR
- 3 TO THE A.V.R.C. BOARD. THANK YOU.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. NEXT.

- 7 WENDY REED: MY NAME IS WENDY REED. I'M A LANDOWNER WITHIN
- 8 ANTELOPE VALLEY RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT IN QUARTZ HILL.
- 9 THANK YOU VERY, VERY MUCH FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS YOUR
- 10 BOARD. I DEEPLY REGRET AND SINCERELY APOLOGIZE FOR TAKING YOUR
- 11 TIME TODAY, BUT THIS HAS BECOME THE ONLY FORUM IN WHERE THIS
- 12 MATTER CAN BE HEARD. I'M SPEAKING TO SUPPORT THE APPOINTMENT
- 13 OF KEITH DEGAN, VICKY NELSON AND DAVID KERR AND TO OPPOSE THE
- 14 REAPPOINTMENT OF KATHLEEN BURR. I AM CONCERNED WHETHER THE
- 15 SUPERVISORS ARE AWARE OF THE COMMUNITY'S CONCERNS ABOUT
- 16 KATHLEEN BURR'S SERVICE ON THE ANTELOPE VALLEY RESOURCE
- 17 CONSERVATION DISTRICT THE PAST FOUR YEARS. I AM PERPLEXED HOW
- 18 HER PUBLIC RECORD WOULD MERIT REAPPOINTMENT. ARE THE
- 19 SUPERVISORS AWARE THAT THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT
- 20 ATTORNEY INTEGRITY DIVISION DOCUMENTED SIX PAGES OF BROWN ACT
- 21 VIOLATIONS IN ITS LETTER OF OCTOBER 3, 2006, AND THAT THESE
- 22 VIOLATIONS CONTINUE TO WORSEN. ARE THE SUPERVISORS AWARE THAT
- 23 MISS BURR'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT OF THE DISTRICT WAS REFERRED
- 24 TO THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE AUDIT COMMITTEE IN SACRAMENTO IN
- 25 APRIL OF 2006 BY OUR OWN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AUDITOR-



- 1 CONTROLLER'S OFFICE? THESE INCLUDE REPEATEDLY WRITING DISTRICT
- 2 CHECKS OF TAXPAYERS' FUNDS FOR UNBUDGETED ITEMS THAT WERE
- 3 NEITHER DISCUSSED IN PUBLIC MEETINGS NOR EVER APPROVED BY THE
- 4 BOARD. I'M CONCERNED WHETHER THE SUPERVISORS ARE EVEN AWARE
- 5 THAT MISS BURR HAS NEVER FILED A 700 FORM AS REQUIRED BY LAW.
- 6 I BELIEVE THIS IS NOT A QUALITY OF PUBLIC SERVICE THAT SHOULD
- 7 BE REWARDED WITH REAPPOINTMENT. I'M VERY PERPLEXED AND MY
- 8 CONCERN IS SHARED BY HUNDREDS OF STAKEHOLDERS IN THE ANTELOPE
- 9 VALLEY. WE ASK YOU TO PLEASE PREVENT THE REAPPOINTMENT OF
- 10 KATHLEEN BURR AND APPOINT DAVID KERR OR TAKE THE TIME TO LEARN
- 11 MORE ABOUT THE SITUATION AND WHAT OUR COMMUNITY'S CONCERNS
- 12 ARE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: GO AHEAD.

13

15

16 ROBERT LARGE: MY NAME IS BOB LARGE. I'M A RESIDENT OF LAKE

- 17 HUGHES AND A LANDOWNER WITHIN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY RESOURCE
- 18 CONSERVATION DISTRICT. THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO
- 19 ADDRESS THE BOARD. I'M SPEAKING IN SUPPORT OF THE APPOINTMENT
- 20 OF KEITH DEGAN, VICKY NELSON AND DAVID KERR AND TO OPPOSE THE
- 21 REAPPOINTMENT OF KATHLEEN BURR. AMONG THE DECISIONS THAT YOU
- 22 MAKE TODAY IS THE OPPORTUNITY TO RESTORE OPEN GOVERNMENT TO
- 23 THE ANTELOPE VALLEY RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT, TO RESTORE
- 24 THE COMMUNITY'S FAITH IN THE PROCESS AND PRESERVE THE PRECIOUS
- 25 AND IRREPLACEABLE PUBLIC RESOURCES HELD BY THE DISTRICT. THIS



- 1 OPPORTUNITY LIES IN YOUR CHOICE OF APPOINTMENTS TO THE
- 2 DISTRICT BOARD. MY CONCERN IS THAT FOUR YEARS OF COMMUNITY
- 3 EFFORTS THROUGH NORMAL CHANNELS HAVE NOT RESTORED OPEN
- 4 REPRESENTATIVE GOVERNANCE TO THE A.V.R.C.D. MOST TRAGICALLY,
- 5 THE MISMANAGEMENT OF TAXPAYERS' AND PUBLIC RESOURCES HAS NOT
- 6 BEEN HALTED. WE WOULD HAVE PREFERRED THESE CONCERNS BE
- 7 ADDRESSED IN LOCAL ELECTIONS. HOWEVER, IN LIEU OF ELECTION AND
- 8 UNDER STATE LAW, WE NEED YOUR HELP ON THIS ISSUE. FOR FOUR
- 9 YEARS OF KATHLEEN BURR'S LEADERSHIP, OUR DISTRICT HAS NOT
- 10 PROVIDED US THESE SIMPLE RIGHTS. PLEASE HEAR OUR REQUESTS FOR
- 11 HELP BY APPOINTING DAVID KERR IN LIEU OF KATHLEEN BURR TO THE
- 12 DISTRICT BOARD. WE ARE ALL UNCOMFORTABLE IN HAVING TO TAKE
- 13 THIS OPPOSITION ACTION, BUT FEEL THAT IT IS A NECESSARY
- 14 CITIZEN DUTY. THANK YOU.

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE ANTELOPE VALLEY RESOURCES CONSERVATION

- 19 DISTRICT HAS REQUESTED OUR BOARD TO APPOINT THREE DIRECTORS TO
- 20 FILL THE VACANT POSITIONS AND THEY ARE--
- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU DON'T HAVE YOUR MIKE POINTED IN THE
- 23 RIGHT DIRECTION.

24

21

15



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. THE ANTELOPE VALLEY RESOURCES
- 2 CONSERVATION DISTRICT HAS ASKED THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO
- 3 APPOINT THREE DIRECTORS TO FILL THE THREE VACANT POSITIONS FOR
- 4 THE NEXT TERM. THEY ARE KEITH DEGAN, WHO LIVES IN ACTON AS A
- 5 MEMBER OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT'S FOREST
- 6 PREVENTION, VICKY NELSON, WHO IS CURRENTLY THE PRESIDENT OF
- 7 THE ACTON-- EXCUSE ME, THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ACRES TOWN COUNCIL,
- 8 AND AND KATHLEEN BURR, WHO IS THE INCUMBENT AND PAST PRESIDENT
- 9 OF THE CONSERVATION BOARD. SO I'D MOVE THEIR RECOMMENDATION.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IT'S BEEN MOVED. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 12 RIDLEY-THOMAS. ANY OBJECTIONS? SO ORDERED.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WOULD CALL UP ITEM NUMBER 17. THAT HASN'T
- 17 BEEN CALLED.

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: APPOINTMENT OF--

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SOME PEOPLE HELD-- OKAY. DANIEL GOTTLIEB
- 24 AND NANCY MARINO DID THEY HOLD 18 AS WELL? IDENTIFY YOURSELF,
- 25 PLEASE. THIS IS ON ITEM NUMBER 17, THE APPOINTMENT OF SANTOS.



- 2 NANCY MARINO: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON, HONORABLE SUPERVISORS. MY
- 3 NAME IS NANCY VERNON MARINO. LAST WEEK WHEN I SAW THIS AGENDA
- 4 ITEM ON YOUR AGENDA, I CONTACTED THE C.E.O.'S OFFICE ASKING
- 5 FOR THE RESULTS OF THE NATIONAL SEARCH FOR A DIRECTOR OF
- 6 BEACHES AND HARBORS THAT THIS BOARD INSTITUTED LAST SPRING
- 7 UPON THE RETIREMENT OF THE FORMER DIRECTOR. AT THAT POINT, WE
- 8 DIDN'T KNOW WHY MR. KREIMANN WAS NOT SIMPLY APPOINTED AS
- 9 DIRECTOR THEN, BUT IT WAS FOUND NECESSARY TO DO THIS NATIONAL
- 10 SEARCH FOR AN APPROPRIATE CANDIDATE. THE STAFF REPORT THAT
- 11 YOU'RE LOOKING AT TODAY DOES NOT ADDRESS THAT NATIONAL SEARCH
- 12 AT ALL. I HAD ASKED FOR THE INFORMATION, IT WAS NOT
- 13 FORTHCOMING. I DON'T HAVE THIS INFORMATION, I ASSUME THAT
- 14 NEITHER DO YOU, HAVE ANY INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT WAS DONE TO DO
- 15 THAT NATIONAL SEARCH, WHY WE SPENT NEARLY 10 MONTHS NOT HAVING
- 16 A DIRECTOR, ONLY AN ACTING DIRECTOR, AND ALSO IN TERMS OF THE
- 17 FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY OF THIS BOARD. YOU GUYS HAVE SPENT MOST
- 18 ALL OF THIS MORNING PRACTICALLY TALKING ABOUT BUDGET ISSUES
- 19 AND YET HERE IS A PLACE WHERE APPARENTLY MONEY WAS ALLOCATED
- 20 OR MONEY WAS GOING TO BE SPENT ON THIS NATIONAL SEARCH AND
- 21 THERE'S NO EVIDENCE OF IT, AND MY CONCERN TODAY IS
- 22 TRANSPARENCY IN GOVERNMENT BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE ANY WITH THIS
- 23 WHOLE PROCESS. AS I SAID, WE WERE HAPPY WITH MR. KREIMANN, OR
- 24 AS HAPPY AS WE CAN BE UNDER THE PRESENT CIRCUMSTANCES, LAST
- 25 SPRING. THERE WAS NO REASON IN OUR MINDS THAT A SEARCH NEEDED



- 1 TO BE DONE. YOU COULD HAVE PROMOTED FROM WITHIN BUT YOU CHOSE
- 2 NOT TO. HOW WAS OUR MONEY SPENT ON THIS NATIONAL SEARCH? WHAT
- 3 WAS THE RESULT OF THAT SEARCH? WAS MR. KREIMANN PART OF THIS
- 4 SEARCH PROCESS? WE NEED THOSE OUESTIONS ANSWERED. THANK YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IF I CAN JUST BRIEFLY, HE WAS PART OF
- 7 THE PROCESS. IF YOU UNDERSTAND UNDER THE NEW ORDINANCE, THE
- 8 C.E.O. CONDUCTS THAT PROCESS INDEPENDENT OF THE BOARD. THERE
- 9 WAS A NATIONAL SEARCH, THERE WERE-- MR. FUJIOKA, I THINK IT
- 10 BOILED DOWN TO, WHAT, FIVE OR SIX CANDIDATES THAT YOU
- 11 INTERVIEWED?

12

- 13 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THERE WERE A FINAL THREE CANDIDATES. WE HAVE--
- 14 WE RECEIVED MISS MARINO'S REQUEST AND WE HAVE A RESPONSE, AT
- 15 LEAST A DRAFT OF A RESPONSE, WILL BE SENT OUT TO HER THIS WEEK
- 16 TO ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS IN HER LETTER.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. MR. GOTTLIEB.

- 20 DANIEL GOTTLIEB: MY NAME IS DAN GOTTLIEB, AND I THOUGHT MR.
- 21 KREIMANN WOULD BE HERE SO I COULD CONGRATULATE HIM ON HIS
- 22 PROMOTION, AND I WANTED TO MAKE A SUGGESTION, AND MY
- 23 SUGGESTION IS THAT PERHAPS HE SHOULD HIRE A MATHEMATICIAN TO
- 24 HELP HIM RUN HIS JOB BECAUSE MATHEMATICS IS INVOLVED IN ALL
- 25 THE CALCULATIONS THAT ARE INVOLVED WITH KEEPING THE TRAFFIC



- 1 CAP GOING, ETC., ETC. AND I HAD RECENT OCCASION TO COMMENT
- 2 ABOUT SOME PROCEDURE IN MATHEMATICS WHICH WAS BEING MISAPPLIED
- 3 IN THE RECENT APPROVAL OF THE-- SOON-TO-BE-APPROVED SHORES
- 4 ITEM NUMBER 18, AND IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE SOMEONE THERE WHO
- 5 I COULD COMMUNICATE WITH OR WE COULD COMMUNICATE WITH, WHO
- 6 ISN'T A PARTY TO THE ACTION BECAUSE IT'S PRETTY CLEAR TO ME
- 7 THAT ANY SELF- RESPECTING MATHEMATICIAN WOULD SAY, "NO, THIS
- 8 IS NOT THE CORRECT METHOD TO DO THIS." IN THAT REGARD, BUT
- 9 REALLY FOR SECTION 18, I HAVE--

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE'LL BE CALLING THAT ITEM UP NEXT.

13 DANIEL GOTTLIEB: I'M SORRY. WHAT?

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE'LL BE CALLING ITEM 18 UP NEXT. IF YOU

16 WANT TO HOLD--

18 DANIEL GOTTLIEB: WE WILL BE ALLOWED TO SPEAK?

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ABSOLUTELY, IF JUST STAY RIGHT THERE.

- 21 STAY RIGHT THERE, BECAUSE THE CHAIR WILL MOVE ITEM NUMBER 17,
- 22 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 23 ORDERED. MIKE, DO YOU MIND IF WE CALL ITEM 18, BECAUSE THEY
- 24 BOTH SIGNED UP FOR IT.

25

10

12

14

17



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S FINE.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: AND THEN I WOULD CALL ARNOLD SACHS, R.J.
- 4 COMER, AARON CLARK, WILL BE THE FIRST FOUR. GO AHEAD, EITHER
- 5 ONE OF YOU, WHOEVER WOULD LIKE TO GO FIRST. THIS IS ITEM 18.
- 6 GO AHEAD. THIS IS ITEM NUMBER 18.

7

8 NANCY MARINO: SO WE'RE SPEAKING FIRST BEFORE THEY DO?

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: RIGHT.

11

- 12 NANCY MARINO: OKAY. USUALLY YOU HAVE THE PROPONENTS SPEAK
- 13 FIRST.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL, YOU'RE HERE, AND SO--

- 17 DANIEL GOTTLIEB: SHALL I GO FIRST? HERE IS AN ITEM. HERE'S MY-
- 18 WHICH I'D LIKE TO SUBMIT TO THE FORMAL RECORD. ONE OF THE
- 19 REASONS IT'S BULKY IS I INCLUDED MY CURRICULUM VITAE IN IT,
- 20 AND THIS IS IN RESPONSE TO ONE OF THE FINDINGS THAT NONE OF
- 21 OUR PEOPLE ON OUR SIDE IN THIS ISSUE HAD ANY STANDING, EXPERT
- 22 STANDING, SO I'M SUBMITTING THIS AS A MATHEMATICIAN WHO HAS
- 23 DONE CONSIDERABLE WORK IN PURE RESEARCH AND TEACHING AND I
- 24 THINK I SHOULD BE REGARDED AS AN EXPERT. AND IF YOU WANT TO
- 25 FIND AN EXPERT THAT WOULD DISAGREE WITH ME, AT THE SAME OR



- 1 BETTER-- HIGHER LEVEL THAN I, YOU WON'T FIND ANYBODY TO DO IT.
- 2 SO THERE'S A CONFLICT IN THE TESTIMONY OF THE SHORES,
- 3 TESTIMONY THAT WAS GIVEN DECEMBER 16TH BETWEEN COMER AND
- 4 KOOTNIK [PH?] AND WHAT THE JUDGE ACTUALLY SAID, JUDGE YAFI
- 5 [PH?], AND JUDGE YAFI SAID THAT THERE WAS A 28 PERCENT CHANGE
- 6 AND KOOTNIK SAID THAT THERE WAS BASICALLY THE SAME AND HE WAS
- 7 SUBMITTING DOCUMENTS TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD THAT SHOW
- 8 THAT THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS, THE AMOUNT OF GRADING WAS NOT
- 9 CHANGED, OR AMOUNT OF WASTE MOVED AWAY WAS NOT CHANGED. SO I
- 10 DON'T KNOW WHY THIS IS NOT COMING BEFORE THE PEOPLE. I WOULD
- 11 LIKE TO SEE THIS, AND IT ALSO CONTRADICTS WHAT THE JUDGE SAID,
- 12 AND THE ONLY RECOURSE I COULD THINK THAT COULD MAKE HIS
- 13 TESTIMONY NOT WRONG, THE ONLY ONE I CAN THINK OF IS THAT HE'S
- 14 ADMITTING THAT THE E.I.R. WAS ACTUALLY NOT FULL OF CORRECT
- 15 INFORMATION, AND IF IT'S NOT FULL OF CORRECT INFORMATION, THAT
- 16 DEFEATS THE VERY PURPOSE OF WHY WE'RE GOING THROUGH THIS
- 17 PROCESS ACCORDING TO WHAT JUDGE YAFI SAID, BECAUSE HE HAD THIS
- 18 E.I.R. RECIRCULATED--

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: JUST THAT PORTION, YEAH. OKAY. YOU WANT

- 21 TO WRAP UP YOUR TESTIMONY? YOUR TIME'S UP.
- 23 DANIEL GOTTLIEB: I CAN'T HEAR TOO WELL.

24

22



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: DO YOU WANT TO JUST ADD A BULLET POINT
- 2 OR SOMETHING? YOUR TIME IS UP.

3

4 DANIEL GOTTLIEB: I DIDN'T HEAR HIM.

5

6 NANCY MARINO: YOUR TIME IS UP. YOU NEED TO WRAP UP.

7

- 8 DANIEL GOTTLIEB: OKAY. I WAS WRAPPING UP. I THINK THIS IS AN
- 9 EXAMPLE OF BAD BEHAVIOR AND I THINK BAD BEHAVIOR SHOULD BE
- 10 PUNISHED, AND I THINK THIS INVOLVES THE ENTIRE ECONOMY AS WELL
- 11 AS US, THAT THE BAD BEHAVIOR THAT'S DRIVEN BY THESE LOAN
- 12 PEOPLE, AND AVOIDING RISK IS EXACTLY WHAT'S GOING ON HERE IN
- 13 THIS SIMILAR KIND OF WAY.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. MS. MARINO, THEN MR. SACHS
- 16 AND THEN FOLLOWED BY MR. COMER AND THEN AARON CLARK.

- 18 NANCY MARINO: OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON. NANCY VERNON MARINO, ON
- 19 BEHALF OF "WE ARE MARINA DEL REY." IN LIGHT OF RECENTLY
- 20 ALLEGATIONS THAT SUPERVISORS' AIDES SOUGHT TO IMPROPERLY
- 21 INFLUENCE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT DECISIONS MADE BY BRUCE
- 22 MCCLENDON, THE FORMER HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
- 23 PLANNING AS REPORTED IN "THE LOS ANGELES TIMES" ON JANUARY
- 24 16TH, "WE ARE MARINA DEL REY" URGES THE BOARD TO CONTINUE ITEM
- 25 18 UNTIL SUCH TIME AS A FULL, INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION INTO



- 1 THESE ALLEGATIONS IS COMPLETED. THESE COMMENTS WERE SUBMITTED
- 2 IN WRITING THIS MORNING TO THE DEPARTMENT. WE WOULD LIKE THEM
- 3 MADE PART OF THE PUBLIC RECORD, PLEASE.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

- 7 NANCY MARINO: THERE ARE ADDITIONAL REASONS TO CONTINUE THIS
- 8 ITEM. THE FAILURE OF THESE FINDINGS-- I'M SORRY. YES. THE
- 9 FAILURE OF THESE FINDINGS TO INCLUDE THAT MARINA DEL REY IS
- 10 INTENDED PRIMARILY FOR THE PURPOSE OF PUBLIC RECREATION, THE
- 11 FAILURE TO INCLUDE THE FINDING THAT THE CALIFORNIA COASTAL
- 12 COMMISSION HAS RECOMMENDED A COMPREHENSIVE REVISION TO THE
- 13 MARINA DEL REY LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM WITH A COMPREHENSIVE
- 14 STUDY OF ALL EXISTING AND CONTEMPLATED DEVELOPMENT PRIOR TO
- 15 THAT REVISION, THE FAILURE TO GET ANSWERS TO CONCERNS RAISED
- 16 BY THE PUBLIC AND SPECIFICALLY ASKED BY CHAIRMAN KNABE AT YOUR
- 17 DECEMBER 16TH HEARING, NAMELY THAT THE PUBLIC HAD ONLY ONE DAY
- 18 TO REVIEW THE MATERIALS FOR THAT HEARING. THE MATERIALS ARE
- 19 THIS, PRINTED BOTH SIDES, HUNDREDS OF PAGES, AND WE HAD ONE
- 20 DAY TO LOOK AT THE MATERIALS, AND ALSO WHETHER THE GRADE LEVEL
- 21 OF THIS PARCEL WOULD BE RAISED, WHETHER THIS WAS DONE TO AVOID
- 22 THE PROJECT BEING RECLASSIFIED FROM MID-RISE TO HIGH-RISE, AND
- 23 WHETHER THIS PRECEDENT-SETTING CHANGE WAS APPROPRIATE OR EVEN
- 24 ALLOWED UNDER OUR L.C.P. WE RESPECTFULLY ASK THE BOARD TO
- 25 CONTINUE THIS ITEM UNTIL YOU HAVE THE REQUISITE INFORMATION



- 1 NEEDED TO MAKE A DECISION THAT IS TRULY IN THE INTERESTS OF
- 2 THE PEOPLE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THANK YOU.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. ARNOLD, AND IF MR. COMER AND
- 5 AARON CLARK AND DAVID.

6

- 7 ARNOLD SACHS: GOOD AFTERNOON, COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 8 THANK YOU. JUST VERY QUICKLY, HOW FORTUNATE FOR THE DEVELOPER
- 9 THAT THE COUNTY SEVERAL YEARS AGO, I BELIEVE, TOOK IT UPON
- 10 THE-- WELL, THE COUNTY TOOK IT UPON ITSELF TO IMPROVE THE
- 11 INFRASTRUCTURE IN MARINA DEL REY REGARDING THE SEWER SYSTEM BY
- 12 UPGRADING IT BECAUSE NOW THESE LARGE DEVELOPMENTS CAN BE MADE.
- 13 IF IT HADN'T BEEN FOR THE COUNTY'S UPGRADING OF THE SEWER
- 14 SYSTEM, I DOUBT THAT THIS DEVELOPMENT WOULD EVEN BE CONSIDERED
- 15 BECAUSE THE PEOPLE WHO WOULD BE DOING THE DEVELOPING WOULD
- 16 HAVE HAD FEES IMPOSED ON THEM OR WOULD HAVE BEEN FORCED TO
- 17 UPGRADE THE SEWER SYSTEM THEMSELVES, SO IT'S JUST THIS
- 18 UNFORTUNATE BIT OF CIRCUMSTANCE THAT THE COUNTY DID THE WORK
- 19 FOR THEM AND THE PUBLIC IS GOING TO PAY. THANK YOU.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. MR. COMER?

- 23 R.J. COMER: HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, MY NAME IS R.J. COMER. I
- 24 REPRESENT DEL REY SHORES. WHAT'S BEFORE YOU TODAY IS THE
- 25 PROJECT APPROVALS -- THE PROJECT REAPPROVALS AFTER THE



- 1 SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS ORDERED BY THE COURT. THE
- 2 FINDINGS HAVE BEEN PREPARED WITH YOUR STAFF AND WITH LEGAL
- 3 COUNSEL AND FULLY ADDRESS ALL OF THE ISSUES. THE ITEMS THAT
- 4 WERE JUST BROUGHT UP, I'LL JUST VERY BRIEFLY ADDRESS, AND THAT
- 5 IS WITH REGARD TO THE CONFLICT OR ALLEGED CONFLICT BETWEEN THE
- 6 TESTIMONY OF DR. DARYL KOOTNIK AND JUDGE YAFI, THERE'S NO
- 7 CONFLICT. JUDGE YAFI WAS TALKING ABOUT THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF
- 8 SOIL AND CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS EXPORTED FROM THE SITE COMPARED
- 9 TO THE AMOUNT OF SOIL AND CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS EXPORTED FROM
- 10 THE SITE IF NO SOIL WERE EXPORTED, BUT DR. DARYL KOOTNIK WAS
- 11 TALKING ABOUT WAS THE AMOUNT OF SOIL MOVED ON THE SITE, SO
- 12 THERE WERE TWO DIFFERENT THINGS THAT WERE BEING TALKED ABOUT.
- 13 WITH REGARD TO WHAT THE COASTAL COMMISSION L.C.P. SAYS, AND
- 14 YOUR FINDINGS DO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE, FINDING 123 DRAWS YOUR
- 15 ATTENTION TO THE FACT THAT NO MORATORIUM IS IMPOSED ON COUNTY
- 16 ACTION EVEN DURING A TIME WHEN THE COASTAL COMMISSION IS
- 17 RECEIVING COMMENTS ON A PROPOSED L.C.P. WITH THAT, I'LL TURN
- 18 MY COMMENTS OVER TO--

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: DAVID?

- 22 DAVID LEVINE: GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS DAVID
- 23 LEVINE, CHIEF OF STAFF FOR JERRY EPSTEIN, MANAGING PARTNER OF
- 24 THE DEL REY SHORES NORTH JOINT VENTURE. I JUST WANT TO THANK
- 25 THE COUNTY STAFF FOR ITS DILIGENT AND THOROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL



- 1 ANALYSIS AND DOCUMENTATION AND TO THANK THE BOARD FOR ITS
- 2 REVIEW AND SUPPORT FOR THE SHORES REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT. LAST
- 3 MONTH DURING THE PUBLIC HEARING, MY COUNSEL AND I ADDRESSED
- 4 THE MANY PUBLIC BENEFITS OF THE PROJECT AS WELL AS THE
- 5 SPECIFICS OF THE PROJECT WHICH DEMONSTRATE THAT THIS PROJECT
- 6 IS NOT ONLY CONSISTENT WITH, BUT WELL WITHIN THE PARAMETERS
- 7 OF, THE CERTIFIED LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM FOR THIS SITE. ALSO,
- 8 THAT WE HAVE FULFILLED THE REQUIREMENTS OF C.E.Q.A. AND JUDGE
- 9 YAFI'S ORDER, WHICH COMPELLED THE ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL
- 10 ANALYSIS, WHICH YOU REVIEWED LAST MONTH. AS YOU KNOW,
- 11 REDEVELOPMENT IN MARINA DEL REY IS A PARTICULARLY TRANSPARENT
- 12 PROCESS. THIS PROJECT HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF OVER A DOZEN
- 13 PUBLIC HEARINGS, INCLUDING FIVE BEFORE THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 14 COMMISSION AND I BELIEVE THIS WILL MARK THE SEVENTH TIME THAT
- 15 THIS PROJECT HAS BEEN BEFORE THE BOARD ITSELF. I WANT TO AGAIN
- 16 THANK YOU ALL FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION THIS AFTERNOON AND WE
- 17 LOOK FORWARD TO MOVING FORWARD WITH THE PROJECT. THANK YOU.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. SO I ASSUME THAT MR. CLARK IS
- 20 NOT GOING TO TESTIFY. IS THAT CORRECT? OKAY. THE ITEM IS
- 21 BEFORE US. I'LL MOVE IT. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.
- 22 ANY OBJECTIONS? SO ORDERED.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: 33.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WE'LL GO TO 33, BUT BEFORE WE DO
- 2 THAT, SANTOS, DO YOU WANT TO SAY ANYTHING, OUR NEW PERMANENT
- 3 DIRECTOR OF BEACHES AND HARBORS? SANTOS KREIMANN? [APPLAUSE.]

4

- 5 SANTOS KREIMANN: SUPERVISORS, I JUST WANTED TO EXPRESS MY
- 6 THANKS AND GRATITUDE FOR YOUR VOTE OF CONFIDENCE IN ME. I ALSO
- 7 WANTED TO THANK MR. FUJIOKA AND LARI SHEEHAN FOR THEIR SUPPORT
- 8 OVER THE LAST 10 MONTHS AS THE ACTING DIRECTOR. IT'S BEEN AN
- 9 INTERESTING RIDE, SO TO SPEAK, THE LAST 10 MONTHS. I LOOK
- 10 FORWARD TO LEADING THE MEN AND WOMEN OF BEACHES AND HARBORS
- 11 AND CONTINUING THE FINE WORK THAT THEY CONTINUE TO DO EACH AND
- 12 EVERY DAY FOR THE RESIDENTS OF THIS GREAT COUNTY. FINALLY, I
- 13 WOULD BE REMISS IF I DIDN'T THANK MY WIFE AND MY THREE GIRLS
- 14 FOR GIVING ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO SACRIFICE SOME TIME WITH
- 15 THEIR HUSBAND AND THEIR FATHER TO ALLOW ME TO--

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I'LL TELL YOU WHAT, SHE DESERVES A
- 18 PURPLE HEART. I SAW HER THE NIGHT OF YOUR WEDDING ANNIVERSARY
- 19 AND SHE WAS AT A PUBLIC HEARING IN THE MARINA.

20

21 **SANTOS KREIMANN:** THAT'S RIGHT.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: DON'T FORGET RESSIE.



- 1 SANTOS KREIMANN: SHE'S A REAL TROOPER. MY SISTER RESSIE AS
- 2 WELL. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT.
- 5 [APPLAUSE.]

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THIS IS ITEM NUMBER 33. WE
- 8 HAVE A LOT OF SPEAKERS. I'M GOING TO TRY, IF YOU CAN, KEEP
- 9 YOUR-- I THINK WE'RE SYMPATHETIC TO THE EARS, AND IF YOU COULD
- 10 KEEP YOUR COMMENTS TO ABOUT A MINUTE EACH, WE'D APPRECIATE IT.
- 11 ITEM 33, DO WE WANT A STAFF REPORT FIRST? BE PREPARED TO DO
- 12 THAT. A BRIEF STAFF REPORT, AND THEN WE'LL GO TO THE PUBLIC
- 13 COMMENT.

- 15 SHEILA SHIMA: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN KNABE AND SUPERVISORS.
- 16 SHEILA SHIMA, DEPUTY C.E.O., HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH
- 17 SERVICES. JOINING ME TODAY, DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF, INTERIM
- 18 DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES AND IRENE DYER, D.H.S. DIRECTOR OF
- 19 PLANNING AND ANALYSIS. VERY BRIEFLY, ITEM 33 INCLUDES OUR
- 20 RECOMMENDATIONS, BOTH AS MEMBERS OF THE P.P.P. ALLOCATION WORK
- 21 GROUP AND OF OUR RESPECTIVE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS REGARDING THE
- 22 USE OF \$44.8 MILLION APPROVED BY YOUR BOARD ON OCTOBER 7TH,
- 23 2008. THE BACKGROUND ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS IS PROVIDED IN THE
- 24 REPORT ATTACHED TO ITEM 33, SO IN ORDER TO KEEP THE
- 25 PRESENTATION SHORT, I'LL GO AHEAD AND GO RIGHT INTO THE



- 1 SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS. JUST BRIEFLY, THOUGH, I DID WANT TO
- 2 MENTION THAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS WERE BASED ON INPUT WE
- 3 RECEIVED AT FOUR PUBLIC MEETINGS THAT THE WORK GROUP CONDUCTED
- 4 IN NOVEMBER AND DECEMBER AND THEN EARLIER THIS MONTH. AT THE
- 5 PUBLIC MEETINGS, WE DID GET SUBSTANTIAL INPUT FROM THE
- 6 COMMUNITY CLINIC ASSOCIATION'S MEMBERS, MANY OF WHOM ARE HERE
- 7 TODAY TO SPEAK, AND I WANTED TO THANK THEM FOR THEIR
- 8 PARTICIPATION. WE ALSO RECEIVED WRITTEN RECOMMENDATIONS FROM
- 9 THE GROUP AS WELL AS SOME INPUT FROM A CONSULTANT WHO
- 10 CONDUCTED KEY INFORMANT INTERVIEWS. BOTH OF THOSE DOCUMENTS
- 11 ARE ALSO ATTACHED TO OUR REPORT. THE FIRST RECOMMENDATION
- 12 RELATES TO THE USE OF \$4.8 MILLION, AS MENTIONED IN THE
- 13 OCTOBER 7TH BOARD INSTRUCTION TO THE INTERIM DIRECTOR OF
- 14 HEALTH SERVICES AND OUR OFFICE, AND THE RECOMMENDATION FROM
- 15 OUR GROUP IS TO UTILIZE THE FUNDS FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS,
- 16 RENOVATIONS, INCLUDING EQUIPMENT TO ADD OR EXPAND CLINIC
- 17 CAPACITY IN S.P.A.S 1, 3, 6, 7 AND 8, AND IN ORDER TO
- 18 FACILITATE THE SPENDING OF THESE FUNDS AS OUICKLY AS POSSIBLE,
- 19 WE ARE RECOMMENDING THAT THE PROJECT SHOULD ALREADY BE
- 20 DESIGNED OR INITIATED WITH EXPECTED COMPLETION WITHIN TWO
- 21 YEARS. AS INDICATED IN THE REPORT, OUR FIRST PRIORITY WILL BE
- 22 TO USE THE FUNDS FOR NEW SITES OF NEW OR CURRENT P.P.P.
- 23 PROVIDERS IN UNDERSERVED GEOGRAPHIC AREAS WITHIN THOSE
- 24 S.P.A.S, WITH THE SECOND PRIORITY FOR DEVELOPMENT OF NEW SITES
- 25 ELSEWHERE IN THE S.P.A.S AND A THIRD PRIORITY, EXPANSIONS OF



- 1 EXISTING SITES. THIS PRIORITIZATION WAS DEVELOPED IN ORDER TO
- 2 FIRST SUPPORT DEVELOPMENT OF NEW CLINIC SITES IN THE S.P.A.S
- 3 TO ADDRESS THE CURRENT LACK OF INFRASTRUCTURE, EITHER IN THE
- 4 UNDERSERVED GEOGRAPHIC AREAS OR OTHER AREAS CLOSE BY WITHIN
- 5 THE S.P.A. HOWEVER, ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS, AS MENTIONED IN
- 6 OUR REPORT, AND AS THE DEPARTMENT WILL IMPLEMENT AS PART OF
- 7 ITS EVALUATION PROCESS, THE NEEDS OF THE AREA WOULD-- THE
- 8 DEPARTMENT WILL DETERMINE THE BEST WAY OF ADDRESSING THE NEEDS
- 9 OF EACH OF THE AREAS WITHIN THE S.P.A.S THROUGH AWARDING OF
- 10 THE CONTRACTS. THE PROJECTS MAY INCLUDE NEW OR EXPANDED SCHOOL
- 11 BASED HEALTH CLINICS THAT OFFER SERVICES TO FAMILIES AND
- 12 P.P.S PROVIDING SERVICES AT COUNTY DIRECTLY OPERATED SITES.
- 13 WE INCLUDED THOSE COMMENTS WITHIN OUR REPORTS, BECAUSE WE
- 14 WANTED TO BE SURE THAT WE ENCOURAGED INDIVIDUALS WHO WOULD BE
- 15 SUBMITTING PROPOSALS TO THE DEPARTMENT AS PART OF THE
- 16 SOLICITATION PROCESS TO THINK CREATIVELY IN TERMS OF HOW TO
- 17 DEVELOP CLINIC CAPACITY WITHIN THOSE AREAS. A PORTION OF THE
- 18 40 MILLION, WHICH DR. SCHUNHOFF WILL ADDRESS IN JUST A FEW
- 19 MINUTES, SHOULD ALSO BE SET ASIDE TO FUND VISITS AT THE NEW OR
- 20 EXPANDED SITES THAT WILL BE DEVELOPED WITH THE USE OF THE \$4.8
- 21 MILLION. CLEARLY THE WORK GROUP FELT THAT IT WAS ESSENTIAL
- 22 THAT A PORTION OF THESE FUNDS BE EARMARKED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
- 23 RECIPIENTS OF THE FUNDS MUST IDENTIFY HOW THE COUNTY FUNDS
- 24 WILL LEVERAGE OTHER FUNDING STREAMS AND HOW THE CLINICS WILL
- 25 BE SUSTAINABLE AFTER THE THREE YEARS OF COUNTY FUNDS ARE



- 1 DEPLETED. AT THE PUBLIC MEETINGS, THERE WAS A CONCERN THAT THE
- 2 \$4.8 MILLION MAY NOT BE SUFFICIENT FOR DEVELOPMENT OF
- 3 INFRASTRUCTURE AND CAPITAL PROJECTS AND WHILE I THINK THERE
- 4 WAS A GENERAL BELIEF THAT THAT MAY BE SO, THERE WERE OTHERS AT
- 5 THE MEETINGS WHO FELT THAT THE AMOUNT PROVIDED FOR THIS
- 6 CATEGORY SHOULD BE CAPPED AT \$4.8 MILLION AND WE DIDN'T HAVE
- 7 ANY DATA THAT WOULD RECOMMEND CHANGING THAT DOLLAR AMOUNT, AND
- 8 THEREFORE WE PROCEEDED WITH THAT INCLUDED IN THE BOARD'S
- 9 ORDER. DR. SCHUNHOFF WILL NOW REPORT ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS
- 10 REGARDING THE \$40 MILLION.

- 12 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: CONCERNING HOW TO USE THE REMAINING \$ 40
- 13 MILLION OF ONE-TIME FUNDS TO ADDRESS P.P.P. INEQUITY IN
- 14 UNDEREQUITY S.P.A.S, AS WELL AS THE INSTRUCTIONS TO CONSIDER
- 15 ALL AREAS IN THE COUNTY THAT ARE FEDERALLY DESIGNATED AS
- 16 UNDERSERVED, EITHER MEDICALLY OR IN TERMS OF HEALTH
- 17 PROFESSIONALS, THE P.P.P. ALLOCATION WORK GROUP MADE THE
- 18 FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS. THAT IS 1.5 MILLION FOR THE
- 19 ENCOUNTER SUMMARY SHEET PROJECT THAT WOULD INCLUDE ALL P.P.P.
- 20 STRATEGIC PARTNERS IN ALL S.P.A.S. THIS IS A PROJECT THAT'S
- 21 DESIGNED TO IMPROVE THE COORDINATION OF CARE AND THE
- 22 INTEGRATION OF INFORMATION AND REFERRAL OF PATIENTS AMONGST
- 23 THE PROVIDERS AND INCREASE EFFICIENCY OF CARE. SECONDLY, 3
- 24 MILLION FOR UNDERSERVED GEOGRAPHIC AREAS IN S.P.A.S 2, 4 AND
- 25 5. FUNDS CAN BE USED FOR CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE INCLUDING



- 1 EQUIPMENT AND/OR TO FUND NEW VISITS AT P.P.P. CLINIC SITES.
- 2 AND THEN THIRDLY, THE BULK OF THE MONEY, 3.5 MILLION, OR--
- 3 35.5, EXCUSE ME, OVER THREE YEARS, FOR S.P.A.S 1, 3, 6, 7 AND
- 4 8 FOR VISITS FOR NEW UNIOUE PATIENTS AT CURRENT OR NEW P.P.P.S
- 5 IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES: FIRST IS VISITS AT SITES CHOSEN
- 6 FOR THE 4.8 MILLION OF INFRASTRUCTURE THAT MISS SHIMA JUST
- 7 REFERENCED. SECOND IS, VISIT THE NEW P.P.P. SITES BY CURRENT
- 8 P.P.P PROVIDERS IN UNDERSERVED GEOGRAPHIC AREAS IN S.P.A.S 1,
- 9 3, 6, 7 AND 8. THE NEXT IS ADDITIONAL VISITS AND EXISTING
- 10 P.P.P. SITES IN S.P.A.S 1, 3, 6, 7 AND 8, AND FINALLY AS
- 11 ADDITIONAL VISITS FOR CLINICS IN S.P.A.S 2, 4 AND 5 WHICH
- 12 PROVIDE AT LEAST 50 PERCENT OF THEIR P.P.P. VISITS TO PATIENTS
- 13 RESIDING IN 1, 3, 6, 7 AND 8, THUS TARGETING THOSE S.P.A.S. IN
- 14 ADDITION, THE RECOMMENDATION WAS THAT IN THE PROCESS OF DOING
- 15 THIS ALLOCATION, S.P.A. 2, WHICH IS BARELY ABOVE EQUITY,
- 16 ACCORDING TO THE FORMULA THAT WAS DEVELOPED BY THE WORK GROUP
- 17 IN APRIL, THAT AS THIS DEVELOPS, THAT SUFFICIENT FUNDING BE
- 18 ALLOCATED SO THAT S.P.A. 2 DOESN'T FALL BELOW FORMULA AS THIS
- 19 PROCESS UNFOLDS AND ALLOCATIONS ARE MADE. THAT'S A BRIEF
- 20 SUMMARY OF THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS--
- 23 ANY QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC TESTIMONY? YOU OKAY WITH
- 24 THAT? DO YOU HAVE A QUESTION? OKAY.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE WILL BE ONE R.F.P.? IS THAT THE PLAN
- 2 FOR THE FUNDING HERE?

3

4 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THAT'S THE INTENT, SUPERVISOR.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND SO THE RESPONDENTS WOULD BE-- HOW WOULD
- 7 THAT WORK? WITH RESPECT TO THE THREE S.P.A.S AND THE FIVE
- 8 S.P.A.S?

9

- 10 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: WELL, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THERE ARE TWO
- 11 BASIC COMPONENTS TO THE R.F.P. ONE HAS TO DO WITH THE
- 12 INFRASTRUCTURE AND THE SECOND HAS TO DO WITH VISITS.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M TALKING ABOUT THE SECOND PIECE.

15

- 16 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: BUT THEN I THINK WE WOULD LAY OUT THE
- 17 PARAMETERS RELATIVE TO THE FUNDS ALLOCATED FOR THE VARIOUS
- 18 S.P.A.S AND THE CRITERIA THAT RELATE TO THOSE, AND WHAT
- 19 INFORMATION WE NEED ABOUT THOSE SO THAT PROPOSALS WOULD COME
- 20 IN DESIGNATING IN WHICH LOCATION THEY WOULD PROVIDE SERVICES
- 21 AND HOW THEY WOULD FIT INTO THIS, IF YOU WILL, SORT OF MATRIX
- 22 OF FUNDING, AND THEN WE WOULD WORK FROM THERE TO DETERMINE THE
- 23 BEST MIX OF PROJECTS TO FUND OUT OF THAT.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU THINK THAT'S BETTER THAN DOING TWO

2 R.F.P.S?

3

- 4 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: I BELIEVE SO BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT SOME
- 5 OF THE SERVICE DOLLARS ARE LINKED WITH THE INFRASTRUCTURE
- 6 DOLLARS. I MEAN, IT COULD BE TWO SIMULTANEOUS R.F.P.S. I SEE
- 7 THAT AS MORE OR LESS THE SAME PROCESS. WE WANT TO GET THIS OUT
- 8 OUICKLY AND ON A PROCESS THAT DOESN'T DELAY ANY MORE THAN WE
- 9 NEED TO. WE'VE ALREADY WORKED WITH COUNSEL TO COME UP WITH A
- 10 TENTATIVE SCHEDULE AND TALKED ABOUT THE FORM OF IT.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO HOW WOULD YOU HANDLE APPLICATIONS OR
- 13 WOULD PEOPLE BE PROPOSING IN ONE OR ANOTHER SET OF THE
- 14 S.P.A.S, OR WHAT? SUPPOSE I'M A PROVIDER AND I WANT TO APPLY
- 15 FOR FUNDING?

16

- 17 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: IF YOU'RE A PROVIDER AND YOU WANT TO APPLY
- 18 FOR FUNDING, YOU WOULD DESIGNATE THE SITE AT WHICH YOU WANT TO
- 19 PROVIDE SERVICES, WHETHER YOU NEED INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS FOR
- 20 IT, WHETHER IT'S WITHIN S.P.A.S 1, 3, 6, 7 OR 8 OR WHETHER
- 21 YOU'RE APPLYING FOR SERVICE DOLLARS AND THEN HOW THAT FITS
- 22 WITHIN THOSE CRITERIA. I HOPE THAT WE CAN MAKE IT AS CLEAR AS
- 23 POSSIBLE SO THAT WE GET A GOOD SET OF RESPONSES.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO DO, MAKE IT
- 2 AS CLEAR AS POSSIBLE, AT LEAST FOR ME. IF YOU WANTED TO APPLY-
- 3 IF ONE WANTED TO APPLY UNDER THIS R.F.P. TO PROVIDE SERVICES
- 4 IN S.P.A. 2--

5

6 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: RIGHT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW WOULD THEY DO THAT? THEY WOULD RESPOND
- 9 TO S.P.A. 2? THEY COULD GET A CAP ON WHAT THEY COULD APPLY FOR
- 10 BASED ON WHAT YOU'VE RECOMMENDED?

11

- 12 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, THEY COULD ESSENTIALLY APPLY
- 13 FOR SERVICES IN S.P.A. 2, IN MY MIND, IN TWO DIFFERENT PIECES
- 14 THERE. ONE IS OUT OF THE 3 MILLION THAT IS FOR UNDERSERVED
- 15 AREAS, IN OTHER WORDS, ESSENTIALLY THE MEDICALLY M.U.A.S AND
- 16 M.U.P.S WITHIN SPA 2, IF THEY HAVE A PROJECT IN ONE OF THOSE
- 17 THAT WOULD FIT IN THAT CATEGORY, THEY WOULD SAY WE QUALIFY FOR
- 18 THAT. THEY COULD ALSO PUT IN A PROPOSAL FOR S.P.A. 2 THAT
- 19 WOULD FIT WITH THAT LAST PIECE OF MONEY I WAS TALKING ABOUT,
- 20 THE ATTEMPT TO TRY TO KEEP S.P.A. 2 FROM GOING WAY BELOW THE
- 21 FORMULA, AND THAT WOULD BE MORE GENERAL TO S.P.A. 2 OTHERWISE.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT. SO YOU'RE GOING TO-- IF YOU DO ONE
- 24 R.F.P., YOU'RE GOING TO POLICE IT SO THAT THE FUNDING
- 25 ALLOCATIONS YOU'VE RECOMMENDED HERE ARE RESPECTED?



1

2 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: YES, SUPERVISOR.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN DO YOU EXPECT TO HAVE THE R.F.P. DONE?
- 5 UNDER YOUR SCHEDULE?

6

- 7 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, I'VE GOT THE SCHEDULE HERE.
- 8 LET ME JUST FIND IT SO I DON'T MISSPEAK MYSELF. THE DRAFT IS
- 9 TO RELEASE THE R.F.P. ON FEBRUARY 27TH. THAT'S AMBITIOUS. WITH
- 10 THE PROPOSALS DUE ON MARCH 26TH. THE SELECT-- COMPLETE THE
- 11 SELECTION PROCESS BY APRIL 24TH AND TO HAVE THE-- TO ATTEMPT
- 12 TO HAVE IT ON THE BOARD AGENDA ON-- I'M SORRY. THE AGENDA
- 13 REVIEW FOR THE-- AGENDA REVIEW MEETING OF JUNE 17TH, WHICH IS
- 14 ESSENTIALLY WOULD PUT IT ON THE BOARD AGENDA IN EARLY JULY.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THE R.F.P. WRITTEN ALREADY?

17

- 18 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: NO, IT IS NOT. THE THOUGHT IS THAT THE
- 19 R.F.P.-- R.F.A. THAT WE ISSUED FOR THE S.B.-474 PROGRAM COULD
- 20 BE USED AS A MODEL. WE'VE DISCUSSED THAT WITH COUNSEL ALREADY,
- 21 AND WE THINK THAT WE CAN ADAPT THAT AND USE THAT AS A BASIS SO
- 22 WE DON'T HAVE TO START FROM THE GROUND UP.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'LL RESERVE THE OTHER QUESTIONS UNTIL
- 25 LATER.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. IF I COULD ASK YOU FOLKS TO
- 3 MOVE OVER HERE. I'M GOING TO CALL UP FIRST, THE FIRST FOUR,
- 4 DR. ELISA NICOLAS, CARL COAN, LARK GALLOWAE- GILLIAM, AND ABBE
- 5 LAND, WILL BE THE FIRST FOUR. THEN AS ONE IS FINISHED
- 6 TESTIFYING, IF THEY CAN GO BACK AND I WILL CALL THE REST. IF
- 7 YOU COULD KEEP YOUR COMMENTS TO A MINUTE, WE'D APPRECIATE IT.
- 8 ALL RIGHT. WHOEVER WOULD LIKE TO GO FIRST, JUST IDENTIFY
- 9 YOURSELF FOR THE RECORD AND PROCEED.

- 11 CARL COAN: I GUESS I WILL, SUPERVISOR. MY NAME IS CARL COAN...
- 12 I'M PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF THE EISNER
- 13 PEDIATRIC AND FAMILY MEDICAL CENTER WHICH IS LOCATED IN S.P.A.
- 14 4. MOST OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS ARE
- 15 COMMUNITY CLINIC ASSOCIATION OF L.A. COUNTY. THE ASSOCIATIONS,
- 16 C.C.L.A.C. REPRESENTS THE NONPROFIT COMMUNITY AND FREE CLINICS
- 17 THAT OPERATE THE PRIMARY CARE SITES THROUGHOUT LOS ANGELES
- 18 COUNTY, INCLUDING ALL 33 OF THE P.P.P. STRATEGIC PARTNERS.
- 19 FIRST, ON BEHALF OF THE COMMUNITY CLINICS OF L.A. COUNTY, I
- 20 WANT TO THANK THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR THEIR COMMITMENT TO
- 21 THIS PROGRAM. DESPITE A TOUGH BUDGET ENVIRONMENT, THE BOARD IS
- 22 INCREASING SUPPORT FOR THIS PROGRAM IN THE COMMUNITIES WE
- 23 SERVE. THIS IS SO CRITICAL IN THIS DIRE TIME AND NEED AND
- 24 THROUGHOUT L.A. COUNTY, THE CRITICS ARE STRUGGLING TO SERVE
- 25 THE NEED THAT IS GROWING, AND I CAN TELL YOU, WE ARE SEEING



- 1 PATIENTS RIGHT NOW WHO HAVE COME IN WHO HAVE LOST THEIR
- 2 INSURANCE, LOST THEIR JOBS, AND ARE IN NEED OF CARE. WE THANK
- 3 THE BOARD FOR THIS CRITICAL SUPPORT, AND IT MAKES A
- 4 DIFFERENCE. OUR MEMBERS PARTICIPATE IN THE PUBLIC MEETINGS AND
- 5 THE P.P.P. ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY WORKGROUP. THEY WERE
- 6 INFORMING OF DIFFICULT DISCUSSIONS, THE CLINICS VOICED THEIR
- 7 CONCERNS AND WE GAVE THE WORK GROUP INPUT TO THE DRAFT
- 8 RECOMMENDATIONS. WE ALSO PRESENTED OUR OWN RECOMMENDATIONS,
- 9 AND THESE RECOMMENDATIONS REFLECT THE MAJORITY CONSENSUS AMONG
- 10 OR MEMBERS. THE WORK GROUP ACCEPTED SOME OF OUR
- 11 RECOMMENDATIONS. FOR EXAMPLE, WE RAISED THE CONCERN THAT WHEN
- 12 YOU PUT THIS MONEY OUT THERE, THE PROVIDERS CAN EITHER OPEN
- 13 NEW SITES OR EXPAND SERVICES AT CURRENT P.P.P. SITES IN HIGH-
- 14 NEED AREAS. THIS IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE EXPANDING CURRENT SITES
- 15 COSTS LESS AND CAN USUALLY ALLOW FOR SPEEDIER INCREASE IN
- 16 ACCESS TO SERVICES. BUT THE WORK GROUP DID NOT ACCEPT ALL OF
- 17 OUR RECOMMENDATIONS. CAN YOU GIVE ME ONE MORE MINUTE?

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT.

18

- 21 CARL COAN: JUST LET ME FINISH THIS ONE THOUGHT. WE DID, BASED
- 22 ON BOTH CONSENSUS AND DATA, RECOMMENDED A 75/25 PERCENT SPLIT,
- 23 AND WE DID THAT BASED ON LOOKING AT THE ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY
- 24 WHICH HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED IN THE PAST FOR S.P.A.S 1, 3, 6, 7
- 25 AND 8, WHERE NEARLY 75 PERCENT OF THE NEED EXISTS AND



- 1 THEREFORE 75 PERCENT OF THE MONEY WE FELT OUGHT TO GO THERE.
- 2 THAT RECOMMENDATION WAS NOT IN THE FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS, AND
- 3 THAT'S FINE. THESE DECISIONS ARE YOUR DECISIONS, BUT WE JUST
- 4 WANT YOU TO UNDERSTAND THAT WE HAD SPENT TIME LOOKING AT DATA
- 5 AND REACHING CONSENSUS ON WHERE WE CAME FROM.

6

7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

8

- 9 CARL COAN: THANK YOU AGAIN FOR WHAT YOU'RE DOING. IT IS REALLY
- 10 IMPORTANT.

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, CARL. DR. NICOLAS.

- 14 ELISA NICHOLAS: HELLO. I'M DR. ELISA NICHOLAS, C.E.O. OF THE
- 15 CHILDREN'S CLINICS, SERVING CHILDREN AND THEIR FAMILIES, I'M
- 16 ALSO A PEDIATRICIAN. WE'RE SYSTEM 6 CLINICS IN S.P.A. 8. THREE
- 17 ON SCHOOL SITES THAT SERVE CHILDREN AND THEIR FAMILIES, ONE IS
- 18 IN THE MULTI-SERVICE CENTER AND TWO ARE IN FREESTANDING SITES.
- 19 I'M IN DISTRICT 4 AND S.P.A. 8, AS I SAID. I WANT TO THANK THE
- 20 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR YOUR COMMITMENT TO PROVIDE HEALTHCARE
- 21 TO THE MOST NEEDY THROUGH THE P.P.P. PROGRAM. YOUR INCREASED
- 22 SUPPORT IN THIS DIFFICULT ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT IS TRULY
- 23 APPRECIATED. MORE THAN EVER THE COMMUNITY NEEDS OUR SERVICES
- 24 ON A DAILY BASIS. WE'RE SEEING PEOPLE WHO HAVE RECENTLY LOST
- 25 THEIR INSURANCE. WE EVEN HAD TWO TEACHERS COME IN LAST WEEK



- 1 WHO HAVE NO INSURANCE AND NEEDED OUR SERVICES AND THANKS TO
- 2 YOUR SUPPORT, WE WERE ABLE TO GIVE THEM. I WANT TO THANK THE
- 3 P.P.P. ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY WORK GROUP. IT WAS A VERY
- 4 TRANSPARENT, PROFESSIONAL AND VERY FAIR AND REASONABLE
- 5 APPROACH THEY TOOK. WE WERE ALL WELCOMED AT THE MEETINGS, THEY
- 6 HEARD OUR COMMENTS. THEY REALLY WERE VERY DELIBERATE IN THEIR
- 7 WORK. ALTHOUGH IT'S NOT EXACTLY WHAT WE WANTED, I WANT YOU TO
- 8 KNOW THAT THEY DID A GREAT JOB AND WE ARE MORE THAN WILLING--
- 9 I PERSONALLY AM MORE THAN WILLING TO SUPPORT WHATEVER DECISION
- 10 YOU MAKE, SO I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THAT, AND I APPRECIATE
- 11 YOUR ONGOING SUPPORT. I KNOW THAT YOU KNOW THAT WE'LL ALL NEED
- 12 TO DO MORE FOR THOSE FAMILIES AND CHILDREN IN OUR COMMUNITIES
- 13 THAT HAVE LOST THEIR HEALTH INSURANCE AND NEED OUR SERVICES.
- 14 I'M WILLING TO PARTNER WITH YOU IN ANY WAY THAT I CAN TO MOVE
- 15 FORWARD ON THESE ISSUES. THANK YOU.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU.

- 19 LARK GALLOWAE-GILLIAM: THIS IS LIKE THE BUDGET ONE MINUTE. I'M
- 20 NOT USED TO THIS. WHERE IS MY THREE MINUTES? I'M LARK
- 21 GALLOWAE-GILLIAM. I'M THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY
- 22 HEALTH COUNCIL, AND I TOO WANT TO THANK THE BOARD FOR TAKING
- 23 THIS ACTION TODAY AND SUPERVISOR GLORIA MOLINA IN PARTICULAR
- 24 FOR HER LEADERSHIP AND UNDERSTANDING THAT IT IS CRITICAL THAT
- 25 WE CORRECT WHAT HAS BEEN AN UNJUST POLICY FOR MORE THAN 13



- 1 YEARS. WE'VE ACHIEVED GREATER EQUITY IN HEALTHCARE RESOURCES
- 2 IN UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES. WE WANT TO COMMEND THE BOARD FOR
- 3 DOING THIS GIVEN THE ECONOMIC SITUATION AND UNCERTAINTY THAT
- 4 WE ALL FACE, BUT WE BELIEVE THAT THERE ARE MITIGATING
- 5 CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH REQUIRE THAT THIS PROPOSAL IN ITS CURRENT
- 6 ALLOCATION BE RECONSIDERED. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THE COUNTY IS
- 7 THE PROVIDER OF LAST RESORT AND CONSEQUENTLY THE BOARD MUST
- 8 PRIORITIZE THESE FUNDS TO THOSE COMMUNITIES IN GREATEST NEED.
- 9 WE DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE DIVERSION OF THE \$3 MILLION TO THE
- 10 OVEREQUITY S.P.A.S OR THOSE S.P.A.S WHICH HAVE FOR THE LAST 13
- 11 YEARS BENEFITED FROM THE CURRENT POLICY IS CORRECT. WE ALSO
- 12 DON'T BELIEVE THAT IT IS APPROPRIATE FOR THERE TO BE MONEY SET
- 13 ASIDE AGAIN FOR S.P.A. 2 WHEN IN FACT THE OTHER AREAS ARE ONLY
- 14 AT 71 PERCENT OF THE ALLOCATION. WE RECOGNIZE THAT THERE ARE
- 15 NEEDS THROUGHOUT LOS ANGELES THAT HAVE NOT BEEN MET, BUT THE
- 16 COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED IN THIS
- 17 UNDEREQUITY/OVEREQUITY SITUATION ARE COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE
- 18 BEEN DISADVANTAGED FOR FAR TOO LONG. I'M GOING TO SUBMIT MY
- 19 WRITTEN RECOMMENDATIONS BECAUSE THERE'S NO WAY I CAN DO THIS
- 20 ALL IN THE ONE MINUTE, BUT I THANK YOU AGAIN, AND I WOULD
- 21 ENCOURAGE YOU TO RECONSIDER THE DIVERSION OF ANY OF THOSE
- 22 FUNDS. THANK YOU.

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

25



1 ABBE LAND: HI. THANK YOU--

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ABBE, BEFORE YOU START, IF I COULD HAVE
- 4 KIMBERLY WYARD, BILL REIMERS AND JIM MANGIA COME FORWARD,
- 5 PLEASE.

6

7 ABBE LAND: THANK YOU.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: GO AHEAD, ABBE

- 11 ABBE LAND: MY NAME IS ABBE LAND AND I'M C.E.O. OF THE SAVON
- 12 FREE CLINIC. AND I WANT JOIN EVERYONE IN THANKING YOU FOR YOUR
- 13 LONG-TIME SUPPORT OF THE PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP. THIS IS
- 14 ABOUT 14 YEARS. I THINK IT STARTED WITH THREE CLINICS AND NOW,
- 15 BECAUSE OF YOUR ONGOING SUPPORT AND COMMITMENT, WE HAVE OVER
- 16 40 PROVIDERS. THE WORK GROUP DID A LOT OF WORK AND I THANK
- 17 THEM FOR THAT, AND I THANK, GLORIA MOLINA, FOR YOUR MOTION AND
- 18 ZEV, YOUR MOTION, THAT GOT THIS ALL STARTED. AS A MEMBER OF
- 19 C.C.L.A.C., I PARTICIPATED IN A LOT OF THE WORK GROUPS. THESE
- 20 ARE REALLY CHALLENGING TIMES. IT'S AMAZING THAT YOU HAVE THESE
- 21 EXTRA DOLLARS TO HELP OVER THE NEXT PERIOD OF TIME, AND IT'S
- 22 UNFORTUNATE THAT WE USE THE WORD EQUITY AND UNEQUITY BECAUSE
- 23 THE LAST TIME I CHECKED, EVERY CLINIC IN THIS AREA IS
- 24 UNDERFUNDED AND ALL OF US HAVE MORE AND MORE PEOPLE COMING TO
- 25 OUR DOORS. AS CARL HAD ALLUDED TO, WE HAD AS AN ASSOCIATION



- 1 THOUGHT A 25/75 SPLIT WOULD BE REALLY HELPFUL. IT WOULD
- 2 CERTAINLY HELP THE S.P.A.S, THE UNDEREQUITY S.P.A.S BEGIN TO
- 3 HAVE MORE SERVICES AVAILABLE, MORE INFRASTRUCTURE AVAILABLE AS
- 4 WELL AS SOME OF THE S.P.A.S THAT HAD UNMET NEED AND CAPACITY,
- 5 SO THAT IF THE DOLLARS WERE GIVEN TO S.P.A.S 2, 4, AND 5, WE
- 6 COULD RIGHT AWAY BEGIN PROVIDING SERVICES AS THE MONEYS WOULD
- 7 ALLOW, BUT WE KNOW YOU WILL MAKE THE BEST DECISION POSSIBLE.
- 8 THE MOST IMPORTANTLY THING IS THAT WE WORK TOGETHER TO GET THE
- 9 MONEY OUT TO THE PROVIDERS AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. EVERY DAY I
- 10 HAVE 10 TO 20 PEOPLE AT MY DOOR, BRAND NEW PEOPLE, WANTING
- 11 SERVICES. WE ALL NEED THESE DOLLARS, SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH
- 12 FOR YOUR COMMITMENT.

13

15

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, ABBE. YES, NEXT

16 KIMBERLY WYARD: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS KIMBERLY WYARD AND

- 17 I'M THE C.E.O. OF NORTHEAST VALLEY HEALTH CORPORATION. WE ARE
- 18 A FEDERALLY OUALIFIED HEALTH CENTER IN S.P.A. 2. WE OPERATE 12
- 19 HEALTH CENTERS THROUGHOUT THE SANTA CLARITA AND SAN FERNANDO
- 20 VALLEYS, INCLUDING SCHOOL-BASED CLINICS AND HEALTHCARE FOR THE
- 21 HOMELESS PROGRAMS. AND WE ARE JUST ONE, REALLY, OF OUR EIGHT
- 22 PROVIDERS IN THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY AND TWO PROVIDERS IN THE
- 23 SANTA CLARITA VALLEY THAT ARE COMMUNITY CLINICS THAT ARE DOING
- 24 THIS WORK IN THE P.P.P. PROGRAM. WE KNOW THAT TIMES ARE TOUGH
- 25 AND I THINK WE'VE ALL HEARD PLENTY OF TESTIMONY TODAY ABOUT



- 1 THE NUMBER OF PROGRAMS THAT ARE IN DIRE NEED OF MONEY, AND SO
- 2 WE WANT TO THANK YOU FOR CONTINUING TO MAKE THIS PROGRAM A
- 3 PRIORITY AND A COMMITMENT TO THE UNDERSERVED RESIDENTS. AND
- 4 THE ENTIRE BOARD WE THANK AND ALSO THE FUNDING METHODOLOGY
- 5 WORK GROUP. NO FORMULA WOULD BE PERFECT. WE ARE VERY PLEASED
- 6 IN S.P.A. 2 THAT YOU DID HEAR OUR VOICE. WE WERE IN DANGER OF
- 7 BECOMING AN UNDEREQUITY S.P.A. WITHOUT ANY ABILITY TO APPLY
- 8 FOR THE LARGER POT OF MONEY, SO YOU HEARD US AND WE THANK YOU
- 9 FOR THAT. WE ALSO THANK YOU FOR THE LANGUAGE THAT THE
- 10 DEPARTMENT WILL WORK WITH THE COMMUNITY CLINIC ASSOCIATION ON
- 11 PARTICULARLY ON THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY WHERE THERE IS NO
- 12 M.U.A AND THERE IS NO M.U.P. THERE IS A RURAL HEALTH
- 13 PROFESSIONAL SHORTAGE AREA AND I THINK IT'S A POPULATION THAT
- 14 OFTEN GETS OVERLOOKED BECAUSE IT'S WASHED OUT BY THE NEIGHBORS
- 15 OF AFFLUENCE AND THERE JUST AREN'T THE GROSS NUMBERS THERE
- 16 THAT THERE ARE IN OTHER AREAS, SO I THANK YOU VERY, VERY MUCH.
- 17 WE APPRECIATE THIS MONEY AT THIS TIME WHEN WE KNOW HOW HARD IT
- 18 IS TO COME UP WITH IT. THANK YOU.

19

20 BILL REIMERS: BILL REIMERS, DIRECTOR OF PRIMARY CARE AT

- 21 TARZANA TREATMENT CENTERS. I THINK ALL HAS BEEN SAID HERE THAT
- 22 NEEDS TO BE SAID. I WON'T GO OVER ANY OF THAT. WE GREATLY
- 23 APPRECIATE THE TIME THAT YOUR HEALTH DEPUTIES HAVE GIVEN US.
- 24 THEY'VE NOT ONLY HEARD WHAT WE'VE SAID, BUT WE FEEL CONFIDENT



- 1 THAT THEY'VE TAKEN WHAT WE'VE SAID AND OUR CONCERNS TO YOU, SO
- 2 I JUST WANT TO THANK THEM AND CAROL KIM IN PARTICULAR.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. JIM, BEFORE YOU GO, WILLIAM
- 5 HOBSON, ROSIE RIOS AND ALICIA MARDINI. OKAY. JIM?

- 7 JIM MANGIA: THANK YOU. MY NAME IS JIM MANGIA, C.E.O. OF ST.
- 8 JOHN'S WELL CHILD AND FAMILY CENTER. WE HAVE 11 SITES,
- 9 FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CENTERS AND SCHOOL-BASED CLINICS IN
- 10 SOUTH LOS ANGELES DOWNTOWN AND NORTHEAST. I WANT TO THANK
- 11 SUPERVISOR MOLINA IN PARTICULAR FOR THIS MOTION TO DEAL WITH
- 12 THE EOUITY OUESTION, AND I ALSO WANT TO THANK THE WORK GROUP
- 13 BECAUSE I THINK THEY DID AN AMAZING JOB. THEY CULLED THROUGH
- 14 TONS AND TONS OF DATA, M.A.P.S, MULTIPLE FACTORS. I THINK
- 15 THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS ARE THOROUGH AND EXHAUSTIVE. AND AT THE
- 16 END OF THE DAY, I AGREE WITH ABBE, ALL OF THE CLINICS NEED
- 17 MORE MONEY, BUT THE DISPARITY AND FUNDING OVER THE LAST 13
- 18 YEARS IN THE P.P.P. PROGRAM HAS RESULTED IN TRULY FRIGHTENING
- 19 HEALTH INDICATORS AND OUTCOMES IN THE UNDEREQUITY S.P.A.S. IN
- 20 SOUTH LOS ANGELES, OUR DOCTORS PULL COCKROACHES FROM THE EARS
- 21 OF TWO DOZEN KIDS EVERY WEEK BECAUSE OF THE SLUM HOUSING
- 22 CONDITIONS IN WHICH THEY LIVE. SOUTH L.A. HAS THE WORST HEALTH
- 23 OUTCOMES BECAUSE OF THIS LACK OF EQUITABLE FUNDING. WE HAVE
- 24 THE HIGHEST RATES OF CHILDHOOD LEAD POISONING, ASTHMA,
- 25 DIABETES HYPERTENSION, CANCER AND THE HIGHEST RATES OF DEATH



- 1 FROM THESE DISEASES BECAUSE WE ARE SO UNDERFUNDED. SOUTH L.A.
- 2 IS THE HIGHEST MORTALITY RATES IN THE COUNTY AND THE LOWEST
- 3 BIRTH WEIGHT-- THE MOST LOW BIRTH WEIGHT BABIES. THESE HEALTH
- 4 CONDITIONS ARE A DIRECT RESULT OF THE LACK OF EQUITY AND
- 5 FUNDING IN THE P.P.P. PROGRAM. CLINICS IN SOUTH L.A. RUN OUT
- 6 OF MONEY BEFORE HALFWAY THROUGH THE CONTRACT YEAR. SO AGAIN,
- 7 THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I THINK WE WANT TO, AS A MEMBER OF
- 8 PRESIDENT OBAMA'S HEALTH POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE, WE WILL
- 9 WORK TO PRODUCE A WHITE PAPER ON THIS SO THAT WE CAN WORK WITH
- 10 THE NATIONAL ADMINISTRATION TO BRING MORE MONEY INTO SOUTH
- 11 L.A.. THIS P.P.P. PROGRAM, OF WHICH ALL THE CLINICS NEED
- 12 MONEY, IS AN AMAZING, INNOVATIVE AND CREATIVE PROGRAM WHICH IS
- 13 NOT HAPPENING ANYWHERE ELSE IN THE COUNTRY, AND IT WARRANTS
- 14 FEDERAL DOLLARS. THANK YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. APOLOGIZE FOR MISPRONOUNCING
- 17 YOUR LAST NAME THERE.

18

19 JIM MANGIA: NO PROBLEM. EVERYBODY DOES.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: LIKE CARL ASKED ABOUT CONSENSUS, WE HAD
- 22 A CONSENSUS HOW TO SAY YOUR LAST NAME, AND IT WAS WRONG, SO
- 23 APOLOGIZE. ALL RIGHT. AND THEN ASK LETA JENKINS TO COME
- 24 FORWARD AS WELL.



- 1 WILLIAM HOBSON: GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS
- 2 WILLIAM HOBSON I'M HERE TO REPRESENT THE WATTS HEALTHCARE
- 3 CORPORATION WHERE I'M THE PRESIDENT AND C.E.O. WE HAVE OUR
- 4 MAIN CLINIC, THE WATTS HEALTH CENTER, OUR SATELLITE CLINIC,
- 5 CRENSHAW COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER AND OUR SCHOOL-BASED CLINIC
- 6 AT JORDAN HIGH SCHOOL ALL IN S.P.A. SIX. WE'VE GOT ABOUT 15
- 7 PHYSICIANS, ABOUT 4-1/2 MID LEVEL PRACTITIONERS AND A HALF
- 8 DOZEN DENTISTS TO PROVIDE CARE TO UNDERSERVED RESIDENTS OF OUR
- 9 COMMUNITY. WE HIGHLY VALUE OUR STATUS AS A STRATEGIC PARTNER
- 10 WITH THE COUNTY WORKING ON HEALTH ISSUES IN THE COMMUNITY, AND
- 11 YOU'RE TO BE COMMENDED FOR YOUR INCREASING SUPPORT FOR THE
- 12 P.P.P. PROGRAM, GIVEN THE DIFFICULT BUDGET SITUATIONS THAT YOU
- 13 FIND YOURSELVES IN AT THIS POINT IN TIME. YOU ARE TO BE
- 14 FURTHER COMMENDED FOR YOUR CONTINUING SUPPORT OF SPECIALTY
- 15 MEDICAL RESOURCES, LIKE THOSE THAT WE USE FOR REFERRAL
- 16 PURPOSES AT THE M.L.K. M.A.C.C. CENTER FOR OUR UNINSURED
- 17 RESIDENTS. IN PARTICULAR, I WOULD LIKE TO COMMEND THE PROPOSAL
- 18 TO TARGET THE NEW FUNDING TO AREAS OF THE COUNTY WITH THE
- 19 GREATEST NEED AND THE FEWEST P.P.P. RESOURCES, AND I WOULD
- 20 LIKE TO COMPLIMENT SUPERVISOR MOLINA ON HER INITIATIVE TO TRY
- 21 TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN. AT OUR MAIN CENTER, WE RE-ENROLL PATIENTS
- 22 EVERY YEAR IN JULY, AND THIS LAST TIME WE HAD PATIENTS WAITING
- 23 IN THEIR CAR ALL NIGHT. WE HAD LINES OF 200 PEOPLE IN OUR
- 24 PARKING LOT TRYING TO GET SET UP FOR THIS PROGRAM. A LOT OF
- 25 THEM SEEK THIS PROGRAM BECAUSE OF PHARMACEUTICAL NEEDS. WE



- 1 AVERAGE ABOUT \$27 PER PATIENT VISIT ON PHARMACEUTICAL COSTS
- 2 AND WE'D LIKE TO SEE IF WE COULD GET SOME RECOGNITION FOR
- 3 THESE VERY HIGH PHARMACEUTICAL COSTS FOR PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC
- 4 DISEASES IN THE FUTURE BECAUSE WE ESSENTIALLY VERY MUCH
- 5 UNDERFUNDED FOR THE TYPE OF CARE THAT WE PROVIDE FOR CHRONIC
- 6 DISEASE PATIENTS UNDER THIS PROGRAM. AGAIN, I WOULD LIKE TO
- 7 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THIS INITIATIVE.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. ROSIE, I'D ASK JAMESINA
- 10 HENDERSON TO COME FORWARD, PLEASE.

- 12 SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. WHAT AN HONOR TO
- 13 BE BEFORE YOU THIS MORNING. ON THE LAST TIME I WAS HERE WHEN
- 14 DAN HUNG WAS HERE. WHEN A GREAT MAN IN OUR COMMUNITY, AND
- 15 THANK YOU, MARK, SO MUCH FOR ALL THE HELP YOU DO IN OUR
- 16 COMMUNITY, AND I'VE BEEN KNOWING MARK SINCE WAY IN THE '80S,
- 17 AND HE'S CERTAINLY KEEPING THE BALL ROLLING. THANK YOU SO
- 18 MUCH. I'M A PATIENT AT SOUTH CENTER FAMILY HEALTH CENTER. ALSO
- 19 I AM A BOARD MEMBER, AND I ALSO HAVE A NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION
- 20 CALLED COVER THE HOMELESS. I'VE BEEN WORKING WITH THE
- 21 HOMELESS, THE POOR AND THE NEEDY FOR WAY OVER 50 YEARS, AND I
- 22 HAVE SEEN THE INCREASE IN SOUTH CENTRAL LOS ANGELES,
- 23 ESPECIALLY THE SICKNESS, THE HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE, THE DIABETES
- 24 AND ALL OF THE DISEASES THAT'S GOING ON. IN OUR CLINIC, WE
- 25 HAVE OVER 4,000 PEOPLE COMING IN THERE A MONTH. THOSE PEOPLE



- 1 ARE REALLY HURTING BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE HEALTH INSURANCE.
- 2 SOUTH CENTRAL IS SUFFERING BECAUSE THEY CAN'T AFFORD HEALTH
- 3 INSURANCE, NOT ONLY BEING HUNGRY, AND WHEN HEALTH PROBLEMS
- 4 DON'T GET TREATED, DISEASE SPREADS TO OTHER COMMUNITIES. THIS
- 5 IS WHY WE NEED SO MUCH HELP IN SOUTH CENTRAL, AND I URGE YOU
- 6 TO COME TO OUR CLINIC AND LOOK AND SEE WHAT'S GOING ON. TRULY,
- 7 TRULY, IT'S A PROBLEM THERE IN SOUTH CENTRAL L.A.. I BRING A
- 8 WHOLE LOT OF PATIENTS TO MY CLINIC BECAUSE IT IS A GOOD
- 9 CLINIC. I'VE BEEN THERE WAY SINCE THE '80S IN SOUTH CENTRAL
- 10 L.A. WORKING WITH THE NEEDY, THE POOR, AND EVERYBODY. IT'S
- 11 91,000 PEOPLE HOMELESS, EACH GIVEN NIGHT, IT'S ABOUT 5,000
- 12 EMERGENCY BEDS THAT'S AVAILABLE. HALF OF THAT NUMBER IS WOMEN
- 13 AND CHILDREN AND WHERE THEY ARE? SOUTH CENTRAL, HOMELESS,
- 14 BECAUSE THEY CAN'T AFFORD NO APARTMENT, AND ALSO THEY'RE SICK
- 15 ALSO. THEY DON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO GO OUT TO COUNTY HOSPITAL,
- 16 SO SOUTH CENTRAL IS THE CLOSEST PLACE THEY CAN GO. PLEASE DO
- 17 ALL YOU CAN, AND I KNOW THAT YOU'RE GOING TO DO ALL YOU CAN.
- 18 THANK YOU SO MUCH.

19

22

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. ALICIA, AND THEN I'D ASK
- 21 KAREN MORRIS TO JOIN US UP HERE.

23 ALICIA MARDINI: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS

- 24 ALICIA MARDINI AND I'M THE C.E.O. OF PEACE VALLEY COMMUNITY
- 25 HEALTH CENTER WITH CLINICS IN WEST COVINA AND POMONA, BOTH IN



- 1 S.P.A. 3. PEACE VALLEY HAS BEEN A PARTNER INCEPTION OF THE
- 2 P.P.P. PROGRAM, AND CURRENTLY PROVIDES OVER 25,000 P.P.P.
- 3 MEDICAL VISITS. IN ADDITION, WE ALSO HAVE A SMALL DENTAL
- 4 CONTRACT. ONCE AGAIN, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK ALL OF THE BOARD
- 5 MEMBERS, ESPECIALLY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, FOR THIS INVESTMENT ON
- 6 THE P.P.P. PROGRAM. I JUST WANT TO ASSURE YOU, EVEN IN THIS
- 7 FRAGILE ECONOMIC TIMES, YOUR INVESTMENT, YOU WILL GET 100
- 8 PERCENT RETURN ON YOUR INVESTMENT. LIKE MANY OTHER CLINICS, WE
- 9 PARTICIPATED IN THE P.P.P. ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY WORK GROUP
- 10 AND AS OTHER REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CLINICS MENTIONED, THESE
- 11 MEETINGS WERE THE MOST INCLUSIVE IN THE PLANNING AND THEY HAVE
- 12 HEARD OUR CONCERNS AND OUR RECOMMENDATIONS -- HAVE INCLUDED
- 13 MANY OF OUR RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE PRELIMINARY REPORT. TODAY,
- 14 I WOULD LIKE TO CALL TO YOUR ATTENTION ONE OF MY CONCERNS, AND
- 15 CONCERNS OF SOME OF THE OTHER CLINICS, WHICH IS THE EXPANSION
- 16 OF CURRENT SITES AGAINST NEW SITES. EXPANDING NEW SITES, IT'S
- 17 SOMETHING THAT IS AT THIS TIME IS MORE FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE.
- 18 CONSOLIDATING INFRASTRUCTURES ALLOW FOR BETTER LEVERAGING OF
- 19 RESOURCES AND ALSO WILL PROMISE SUSTAINABILITY IN THE LONG
- 20 TERM. IN OUR OWN CASE, WE HAVE A CLINIC IN POMONA WHICH IS
- 21 ALREADY IN A HIGH NEED AREA.
- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. CAN YOU SORT OF WRAP IT UP? YOUR
- 24 TIME HAS EXPIRED.

25



- 1 ALICIA MARDINI: WE NEED TO EXPAND THAT SITE. WE ALSO JUST
- 2 EXPANDED TO A 25,000 SQUARE FOOT FACILITY IN WEST COVINA,
- 3 WHICH IS READY TO ROLL WITH THE OPERATING DOLLARS. WE COULD
- 4 EXPAND OUR CAPACITY TO 50 PERCENT. SO IF YOU COULD TAKE INTO
- 5 CONSIDERATION THOSE ITEMS, AND I JUST THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 6 SUPPORT AGAIN.

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. NEXT.

- 10 LETA JENKINS: YES. I'D LIKE TO THANK YOU. MY NAME IS LETA
- 11 JENKINS. I'M ONE OF THE PATIENTS AT ST. JOHN'S WELLNESS
- 12 CLINIC. ABOUT TWO OR THREE YEARS AGO, MY HUSBAND WOUND UP
- 13 BEING A DIABETIC, WHEN I LEFT THE HOSPITAL, I WOUND UP PAYING
- 14 \$300 SOME FOR MEDICINE I COULDN'T AFFORD. A NURSE TOLD ME TO
- 15 GO TO ST. JOHN'S, AND I DID. SINCE THEN, MY HUSBAND IS STILL A
- 16 DIABETIC, HE HAS HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE, BUT THEY HELPED US THEIR
- 17 WHOLE LIVES. I MEAN, THINGS IN MEDICINE, HYPERTENSION, HIGH
- 18 BLOOD PRESSURE, I CAN'T AFFORD THAT. WE CAN'T AFFORD THAT. AND
- 19 THIS CLINIC REALLY HELPED US. HIS A.C.1 LEVELS ARE SO PERFECT.
- 20 HIS DIABETES IS UNDER CONTROL, HIS BLOOD PRESSURE IS UNDER
- 21 CONTROL. I'M A PATIENT THERE. I HAVE HIGH CHOLESTEROL, BUT
- 22 THAT'S NOTHING COMPARED TO WHAT THEY'VE DONE FOR HIM. SO THE
- 23 SUPPORT THEY NEED AND THEY WANT FOR THEIR CLINICS, THEY REALLY
- 24 DO NEED IT, BECAUSE THEY DO HELP A LOT OF PEOPLE IN THE
- 25 COMMUNITY, AND LIKE I SAID, I CAN SAY WE'RE ONE OF THE PEOPLE



- 1 THAT THEY'VE HELPED. SO I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU GUYS FOR
- 2 YOUR SUPPORT AS MUCH AS YOU CAN DO BECAUSE THEY REALLY NEED
- 3 THE SUPPORT.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. NEXT?

6

- 7 JAMESINA HENDERSON: MY NAME IS JAY HENDERSON, I'M C.E.O. OF
- 8 THE T.H.E. CLINIC, TO HELP EVERYONE CLINIC, IN THE SECOND
- 9 DISTRICT IN SERVICE PLANNING AREA 6. I WANT TO THANK YOU ALL
- 10 SO VERY MUCH, HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, ESPECIALLY SUPERVISOR
- 11 MOLINA FOR THIS ATTENTION TO THE P.P.P PROGRAM, FOR YOUR
- 12 CONSIDERATION IN THESE HARSH ECONOMIC TIMES THAT YOU SHOULD
- 13 KNOW THAT THE INCREASED CAPACITY AND THE P.P.P. PROGRAM WILL
- 14 ADDRESS THE NEED OF THE MANY PEOPLE WHO LINE UP FOR ESSENTIAL
- 15 SERVICES AT 7 O'CLOCK, BEFORE 7:00 A.M. AND ON INTO THE
- 16 EVENING AT OUR CLINIC AT 7:00 P.M. T.H.E. CLINIC IS AN
- 17 INSTITUTIONAL ICON FOR GREATER THAN 35 YEARS SERVING THE
- 18 COMMUNITY IN SOUTH LOS ANGELES. THIS INFUSION OF MONEY IS
- 19 SORELY NEEDED TO IMPROVE, ENHANCE AND SUSTAIN THESE MUCH
- 20 NEEDED SERVICES TO THOSE WHO ARE MOST GREATLY DISADVANTAGED.
- 21 THANK YOU SO VERY MUCH. THANK YOU FOR THE TIME YOU HAVE GIVEN
- 22 TO THIS ISSUE. IT IS A CRITICAL ONE.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. KAREN?



- 1 KAREN MORRIS: HI. KAREN MORRIS, S.E.I.U. 721. FIRST I JUST
- 2 WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK, ALBEIT BRIEF.
- 3 I'VE GONE AHEAD AND TYPED UP SOME QUESTIONS WHICH STEVE IS
- 4 DISTRIBUTING TO YOU ALL. THANK YOU, STEVE. I GUESS GIVEN THAT
- 5 I HAVE 49 SECONDS AND-- IT'S A LOT OF INFORMATION TO DIGEST, I
- 6 KNOW THIS WAS ON THE GREEN SHEET LATE FRIDAY EVENING AND THERE
- 7 SEEMS TO BE A LOT OF HASTE, BOTH IN THE DEPARTMENT'S DESIRE TO
- 8 GET THE R.F.P. OUT AND THE FUNDS OUT. WHAT I WOULD STRONGLY
- 9 JUST URGE THIS BOARD IS TO REMEMBER THE INTENT, WHICH WAS MY
- 10 UNDERSTANDING OF SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S WITH YAROSLAVSKY'S
- 11 AMENDMENT OF EQUITY, AND WE'RE NOW-- I MEAN, IT'S 14 YEARS
- 12 SINCE THE WAIVER HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED. THERE WAS A LOT OF
- 13 PROMISES THAT THIS COUNTY GAVE TO THE FEDS, AND WE HAVE FALLEN
- 14 FAR SHORT IN TERMS OF STRENGTHENING OUR AMBULATORY CARE
- 15 SYSTEM. WE'RE AT 11.7 PERCENT INCREASED VOLUME AND WE HAD
- 16 PROMISED 50 PERCENT, SO IN THAT THESE DOLLARS ARE ONE-TIME
- 17 FUNDS, I THINK IT'S ALL THE MORE IMPORTANT THAT WE GO FORWARD
- 18 CAUTIOUSLY, WE DO EVERYTHING WE CAN TO INCREASE EOUITY, I
- 19 THINK THAT'S ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL AND THAT PRIORITY
- 20 DESIGNATIONS ARE VERY CLEARLY IDENTIFIED IN THE R.F.P.S. I
- 21 MEAN, THIS IS A LOT OF PAPER, I SEE A LOT OF FINGERPRINTS ON
- 22 THIS DOCUMENT, THIS BOARD CORRESPONDENCE. I AM VERY CONCERNED
- 23 ABOUT THE PROCESS WHICH, GOING FORWARD, THIS SEEMS TO BE
- 24 TAKING IN THAT THE C.C.A.L.A.C. LEADERSHIP AND ITS MEMBERS
- 25 SEEM TO HAVE A HUGE ROLE IN DETERMINING HOW THIS MONEY IS



- 1 GETTING OUT, AND I WOULD RECOMMEND SOMETHING MORE AKIN TO WHAT
- 2 HAPPENED WITH THE MEASURE B DOLLARS. I THINK THE DEPARTMENT--
- 3 THIS IS THE YEAR OF THE OX, I THINK IT'S TIME THAT WE AS A
- 4 COUNTY AND A DEPARTMENT, YOU KNOW, TAKE OWNERSHIP OF IT AND WE
- 5 HAVE A NEW AMBULATORY CARE DIRECTOR AND I KNOW WE HAVE THE
- 6 TALENT, SO --

7

9

11

14

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WRAP IT UP.

10 KAREN MORRIS: THOSE ARE MY POINTS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THE ITEM IS BEFORE
- 13 US. IS THERE A MOTION TO APPROVE?
- 15 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST A FEW QUESTIONS THAT I
- 16 THINK WOULD HELP CLARIFY MATTERS, AT LEAST FOR ME AND PERHAPS
- 17 OTHERS. IF WE WERE TO RETURN TO THE ORIGINAL MOTION, PERHAPS A
- 18 DISTILLATION OF SUCH WOULD BE HELPFUL. THEN, AS I UNDERSTAND,
- 19 IF IT'S APPROPRIATE THROUGH THE CHAIR, THERE WAS AN AMENDMENT
- 20 TO THAT ORIGINAL MOTION, AND CORRECT ME IF THE THRUST OF THAT
- 21 AMENDMENT WAS TO EFFECTIVELY EXPLORE WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS
- 22 AN APPROPRIATE EXPANSION OF SERVICES TO BE RENDERED THROUGH AN
- 23 ADDITIONAL SET OF CLINICS AND OTHER S.P.A.S THAT MAY NOT HAVE
- 24 BEEN BENEFITED BY THE MAIN MOTION AS IT WERE. AM I CORRECT SO
- 25 FAR?



1
2 SHIELA SHIMA: YES, YOU ARE.

3

- 4 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THEN THE THRUST OF THE AMENDING MOTION WAS
- 5 TO DO WHAT?

6

- 7 SHEILA SHIMA: THE ORIGINAL MOTION, FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, WOULD
- 8 HAVE DIRECTED THAT THE FUNDS -- ALL OF THE FUNDS WOULD BE
- 9 ALLOCATED IN S.P.A.S 1, 3, 6, 7 AND 8. THE AMENDMENT ASKED US
- 10 TO TAKE A LOOK AT FEDERALLY UNDERSERVED AREAS, FEDERALLY
- 11 DESIGNATED AREAS THAT WERE MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED AREAS OR HAD
- 12 MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS IN THEM SO THAT THEY COULD
- 13 BE CONSIDERED AS ELIGIBLE ALONG WITH S.P.A.S 1, 3, 6, 7 AND 8,
- 14 AND THAT WAS THE PROCESS THAT WE INCLUDED IN OUR REVIEW AS THE
- 15 ALLOCATION WORK GROUP.

16

- 17 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THE THRUST, THEN, WAS TO INSTRUCT FURTHER
- 18 EVALUATION, RESEARCH, ET CETERA, TO SET APPROPRIATE CRITERIA
- 19 OR TO DEVELOP JUSTIFICATION FOR ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES BEYOND
- 20 THE ORIGINAL MOTION?

21

- 22 SHEILA SHIMA: THE INSTRUCTION WAS TO CONSIDER SOME OF THOSE
- 23 OTHER AREAS AS POTENTIALLY ELIGIBLE FOR THE FUNDS.

24

25 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: BASED ON?



1

- 2 SHEILA SHIMA: ON THEIR FEDERAL DESIGNATION AS MEDICALLY
- 3 UNDERSERVED. SO WITHIN THE SERVICE PLANNING AREAS TO LOOK ALSO
- 4 AT MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED AREAS AND AS AN ATTACHMENT TO OUR
- 5 REPORT, IS A MAP OF THE SERVICE PLANNING AREAS AND WITHIN EACH
- 6 OF THOSE SERVICE PLANNING AREAS, THEN THE AREAS THAT WERE
- 7 FEDERALLY DESIGNATED AS MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED AREAS ARE AREAS
- 8 THAT HAVE BEEN DESIGNATED AS HAVING MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED
- 9 POPULATIONS.

10

- 11 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: SO THE QUESTION THEN BECOMES ESSENTIAL TO
- 12 THE JUSTIFICATION FOR ALLOCATION OF THE RESOURCES TO ANY OF
- 13 THE S.P.A.S. CORRECT?

14

15 SHEILA SHIMA: CORRECT.

16

- 17 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THERE HAS TO BE A RATIONALE, THERE HAS TO
- 18 BE CRITERIA, THERE HAS TO BE JUSTIFICATION FOR DOING SO.

19

20 SHEILA SHIMA: YES.

- 22 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THERE WAS CLARITY WITH RESPECT TO THE
- 23 RATIONALE IN THE ORIGINAL MOTION AS IT WAS INTENDED. THE
- 24 AMENDING MOTION SOUGHT TO EXPAND THE POSSIBILITIES FOR MORE
- 25 INCLUSION, BUT AGAIN, IT HAD TO BE BASED ON SOME



- 1 JUSTIFICATION, SOME CRITERIA THAT WAS EITHER OBJECTIVE OR
- 2 SUBJECTIVE AND I GUESS THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE ULTIMATELY
- 3 HAVE BEFORE US FROM THE TASK FORCE, THE WORKING GROUP AND
- 4 ULTIMATELY THE C.E.O., THERE NEEDS TO BE FURTHER AMPLIFICATION
- 5 AS TO WHAT THE JUSTIFICATION IS, AND IS IT THE CASE, THE
- 6 OBJECTIVE THAT WAS INTENDED BY THE AMENDING MOTION, DID IT IN
- 7 FACT LEAD TO A CONCLUSION BASED ON THE DATA THAT WOULD JUSTIFY
- 8 THE REALLOCATION OF THE RESOURCES DIFFERENT FROM WHAT WAS
- 9 INTENDED IN THE ORIGINAL MOTION.

10

- 11 SHEILA SHIMA: WELL, I'LL TRY TO ADDRESS THIS AND THEN I WILL
- 12 ALSO ASK THE-- IRENE DYER AND JOHN SCHUNHOFF TO ADD SOME
- 13 COMMENTS, IF THEY'D LIKE. WHEN WE WERE REVIEWING THE MEDICALLY
- 14 UNDERSERVED AREAS WITHIN THOSE S.P.A.S, THE MEDICALLY
- 15 UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS, OUR THOUGHT WAS THAT THE DATA HAD
- 16 INDICATED THAT THERE WERE SIGNIFICANT POCKETS, AS THEY WERE,
- 17 AREAS WITHIN EACH OF THE S.P.A.S. WITHIN S.P.A.S 2 AND 4 IN
- 18 PARTICULAR WHERE THEY WOULD NOT-- THEY DIDN'T FALL UNDER THE
- 19 DEFINITIONS OF UNDEREQUITY S.P.A.S BASED ON OUR APRIL REPORT.
- 20 WHAT WE ALSO RECOGNIZED IS THE--

21

22 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: YOU SAID THEY DID NOT?

- 24 SHEILA SHIMA: THEY, ACCORDING TO OUR APRIL REPORT WHERE WE HAD
- 25 DEVELOPED AN ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY, THAT METHODOLOGY WAS



- 1 ACTUALLY BASED ON THE NUMBERS OF UNINSURED INDIVIDUALS IN EACH
- 2 S.P.A., AND THOSE ARE THE INDIVIDUALS WHO HAD INCOMES OF 200
- 3 PERCENT OR LOWER THAN THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL AND ALSO THE
- 4 AVAILABLE RESOURCES ESSENTIALLY WITHIN EACH OF THOSE SERVICE
- 5 PLANNING AREAS, AND WHAT WE FOUND IS THERE WAS-- WE GOT TO A
- 6 CALCULATION OF UNMET NEED FOR EACH OF THE SERVICE PLANNING
- 7 AREAS. BASED ON THAT FORMULA, THEN, THERE WAS-- WOULD HAVE
- 8 BEEN A DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS. SOME OF THE SERVICE PLANNING
- 9 AREAS WOULD HAVE RECEIVED FUNDS THAT WERE-- THAT WERE LESS
- 10 THAN THEIR CURRENT DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS, WHEN WE LOOKED AT
- 11 THE S.P.A.S AND THE CLINICS WITHIN THOSE S.P.A.S, THOSE WE--
- 12 AND I THINK NOW WE REGRET THE TERMINOLOGY, WERE CONSIDERED TO
- 13 BE OVEREQUITY AND IT WAS BECAUSE THEIR EQUITY PERCENTAGE UNDER
- 14 THE FORMULA WAS ONE NUMBER, THE PERCENTAGE OF THE AVAILABLE
- 15 FUNDS WAS A HIGHER NUMBER. THERE WERE OTHER SERVICE PLANNING
- 16 AREAS, 1, 3, 6, 7 AND 8, WHOSE CURRENT DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS
- 17 FELL BELOW THE PERCENTAGE THAT THE ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY
- 18 WOULD HAVE PROVIDED. SO THE INITIAL MOTION SAID TO PROVIDE ALL
- 19 THE FUNDS TO 1, 3, 6, 7, AND 8. THE ORIGINAL ALLOCATION--

21 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: AND THERE WAS CLEAR JUSTIFICATION FOR

22 DOING THAT IN THE ORIGINAL MOTION.

20

- 24 SHEILA SHIMA: IN THE ORIGINAL MOTION IN OCTOBER, WHICH WAS TO
- 25 USE THESE ONE-TIME FUNDS TO BRING THESE SPAS 1, 3, 6, 7, AND 8



- 1 UP CLOSER TO WHAT THE PERCENTAGE WOULD BE BASED ON THE 2008
- 2 ALLOCATION FORMULAS.

3

4 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: SO WE HAVE AN ORIGINAL MOTION--

5

6 SHEILA SHIMA: OF OCTOBER 7TH.

7

- 8 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: AND THEN THERE'S AN AMENDING MOTION WHICH
- 9 ESSENTIALLY SUGGESTS THAT IT PERHAPS DOESN'T GO FAR ENOUGH AND
- 10 WITH RESPECT TO THE DISTRIBUTION OF THESE RESOURCES.

11

- 12 SHEILA SHIMA: OR THAT IT DIDN'T RECOGNIZE WHAT OUR 2008
- 13 ALLOCATION FORMULA ACTUALLY ACKNOWLEDGED, WHICH IS THERE'S
- 14 STILL UNMET NEED IN S.P.A.S 2, 4 AND 5, EVEN THOUGH THEY HAVE,
- 15 BASED ON THE PERCENTAGES WE HAD DEVELOPED AND THE CURRENT
- 16 DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS, THAT THEY WERE RECEIVING OUT OF THE POT
- 17 MORE THAN THEIR PERCENTAGE BASED ON THAT FORMULA WOULD HAVE
- 18 GIVEN THEM. WE STILL ACKNOWLEDGED BASED ON THE DATA THAT WE
- 19 HAVE THAT THERE WAS UNMET NEED NOW IN S.P.A.S 2, 4 AND 5. SO
- 20 WE INTERPRETED--

- 22 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT THAT WAS EVER THE
- 23 ISSUE, WHETHER THERE WAS UNMET NEED. FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU WERE
- 24 TO TAKE THIS DOCUMENT, I ASSUME THAT THIS IS ONE WITH WHICH
- 25 YOU'RE FAMILIAR, IT COMES FROM THE WORK OF THE WORKING GROUP.



2 SHEILA SHIMA: THAT'S THE CURRENT MAP, I THINK.

3

1

- 4 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THE FORMULA. DOES IT THEN DRIVE TO THE
- 5 STATED OBJECTIVE OF THE AMENDING MOTION WITH RESPECT TO
- 6 JUSTIFYING A FURTHER DISTRIBUTION OF RESOURCES OR DOES IT
- 7 ESSENTIALLY CORROBORATE THE CONCERNS AS ARTICULATED IN THE
- 8 ORIGINAL MOTION, AND IF SO-- WELL, ANSWER THE QUESTION FIRST,
- 9 THEN I'LL--

- 11 SHEILA SHIMA: WE BELIEVE THAT IT STILL ACCOMPLISHES THE
- 12 ORIGINAL INTENT OF THE MOTION WHICH WAS TO GET S.P.A.S 1, 3,
- 13 6, 7, AND 8 CLOSER TO WHAT THEIR ALLOCATION WOULD BE UNDER THE
- 14 2008 ALLOCATION FORMULA, AND WHAT THE CHART DOES IS ACTUALLY
- 15 HAS THREE COLUMNS. THE FIRST ONE ON THE LEFT SHOWS THE ONE
- 16 THAT ACTUALLY DOES SHOW WHAT THE ALLOCATION FORMULA WOULD
- 17 PROVIDE THEM BASED ON THAT, AND THE RED LINE IN THE MIDDLE
- 18 ACTUALLY SHOWS WHAT THE CURRENT DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS IS UNDER
- 19 THE P.P.P. PROGRAM. THE YELLOW LINE ACTUALLY SHOWS WHAT OUR
- 20 PROPOSAL WOULD DO TO THE -- WITH THE CURRENT POT OF MONEY. SO
- 21 FOR S.P.A. 1, 3, 6, 7, AND 8, UNDER THIS, THE YELLOW LINE
- 22 ACTUALLY MOVES ABOVE THE RED LINE EXCEPT FOR S.P.A. 8, WHERE,
- 23 BECAUSE THEY WERE ALREADY HIGHER, CLOSER TO THEIR PERCENTAGE
- 24 THAN THE OTHER S.P.A.S, WE SAID WE'LL LEAVE THEM THERE, WE
- 25 DON'T WANT TO REDUCE THEM AS WE DID S.P.A. 2. SO IT MOVED



- 1 EVERYBODY UP. THE ISSUE WOULD BE IF WE DID NOT TAKE OUT THE
- 2 THREE MILLION, THEY WOULD BE HIGHER. SO NO QUESTION THAT IF WE
- 3 WERE NOT TO RECOMMEND THAT THE THREE MILLION BE USED FOR
- 4 S.P.A.S 2, 4 AND 5, THE YELLOW LINE WOULD BE EVEN HIGHER, BUT
- 5 WE FELT RECOMMENDING THE THREE MILLION WOULD ACKNOWLEDGE,
- 6 AGAIN, THAT THERE WAS STILL UNMET NEED IN SPAS 2, 4 AND 5,
- 7 BASED ON THE M.U.A.S AND M.U.P.S.

8

- 9 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. I DON'T KNOW THAT
- 10 THAT SATISFACTORILY RESPONDS TO THE QUESTION THAT I'M SEEKING
- 11 TO GET AN ANSWER TO. I CAN, MR. CHAIR, EITHER ALLOW OTHER
- 12 MEMBERS TO WEIGH IN IF THEY ARE SO INCLINED AND WAIT TO
- 13 REFORMULATE THE QUESTION IN AN ATTEMPT TO SEE IF GREATER
- 14 CLARITY CAN BE BROUGHT TO BEAR. AS YOU WISH, MR. CHAIR. I'M
- 15 PREPARED TO PROCEED ACCORDINGLY. BUT AT THIS POINT, I DON'T
- 16 KNOW THAT I'VE GOTTEN A SATISFACTORY ANSWER TO MY QUESTION.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. MR. ANTONOVICH.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WOULD THE FUNDING ESTIMATED CHANGE IF
- 21 ADDITIONAL FUNDS ARE PROVIDED TO QUALIFIED S.P.A.S AND
- 22 PROJECTS?

23

24 SPEAKER: I'M SORRY, SUPERVISOR, WE DIDN'T HEAR.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WILL THESE PLANNING ESTIMATED CHANGES, IF
- 2 ADDITIONAL FUNDS ARE PROVIDED TO QUALIFIED S.P.A. 2 PROJECTS?

3

- 4 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: IF S.P.A. 2 PROJECTS ARE SUBMITTED-- IF
- 5 THE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE APPROVED THE WAY THEY WERE SUBMITTED,
- 6 AND WE ISSUE THE R.F.P., IF QUALIFIED S.P.A. 2 PROPOSALS ARE
- 7 SUBMITTED, THEY WOULD BE FUNDED TO A CERTAIN LEVEL.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT DATA IS AVAILABLE TO DETERMINE THE
- 10 ESTIMATED WAIT TIMES FOR THE NEW APPOINTMENTS IN S.P.A. 1 AND
- 11 3?

12

- 13 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: WE DON'T HAVE DATA AT THAT POINT, BUT WE
- 14 WOULD WORK WITH THE COMMUNITY CLINIC ASSOCIATION TO SEE IF WE
- 15 COULD GET DATA FROM THEM.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT IS THE DEPARTMENT'S STRATEGIC VISION FOR
- 18 STRENGTHENING SPECIALTY CARE AND REFERRAL MECHANISMS?

19

- 20 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS THAT
- 21 WE PUT INTO THIS PACKET IS ACTUALLY THE ENCOUNTER SUMMARY
- 22 SHEET WORK WHICH FACILITATES REFERRAL BETWEEN THE P.P.P.S AND
- 23 THE COUNTY FACILITIES FOR BOTH PRIMARY AND SPECIALTY CARE.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW IS YOUR DEPARTMENT IDENTIFYING ON TAP
- 2 CAPACITY WITHIN THE P.P.P. COMMUNITY?

3

- 4 IRENE DYER: WE'RE WORKING WITH THE COMMUNITY CLINIC
- 5 ASSOCIATION. THEY ARE DOING A CAPACITY SURVEY.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU HAVE A TIME LINE WHEN THEY'D BE
- 8 DEVELOPED?

9

- 10 IRENE DYER: I THINK THEY'VE COMPLETED THEIR SURVEY. I'M SORRY.
- 11 GLORIA RODRIGUEZ WASN'T ABLE TO MAKE IT TODAY.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU HAVE A BOILERPLATE?

14

- 15 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: WERE YOU ASKING ON THE TIME LINE FOR THE
- 16 R.F.P.? WE WANT TO GET THAT OUT BY FEBRUARY 27.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU HAVE BOILERPLATE LANGUAGE TO EXPEDITE
- 19 THE SOLICITATION PROCESS FOR THE P.P.P.S?

20

- 21 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: YES, SUPERVISOR, WE'RE GOING TO USE THE
- 22 BOILERPLATE FROM THE S.B. 474 PROGRAM.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU HAVE ANY SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS TO
- 2 STRENGTHENING THE INFRASTRUCTURE, P.P.P.S PROVIDERS IN THE
- 3 SOUTH, EAST AND ANTELOPE VALLEY?

4

- 5 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, THE THRUST OF THIS PROPOSAL
- 6 REALLY IS TO STRENGTHEN THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND THEN THE
- 7 CAPACITY IN S.P.A.S 1, 3, 6, 7, AND 8, WHICH INCLUDES THOSE
- 8 AREAS YOU JUST MENTIONED.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONAL COSTS OF
- 11 ADMINISTERING THIS PROGRAM DUE TO THE POTENTIAL INFLUX OF THE
- 12 \$44.8 MILLION ?

13

- 14 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, TO THE EXTENT THAT WE CAN
- 15 IMPLEMENT THIS THROUGH AMENDMENTS TO EXISTING CONTRACTS, WE'LL
- 16 TRY TO KEEP THOSE COSTS DOWN TO A MINIMUM.

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THIS IS A ONE-TIME APPROPRIATION?

19

20 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: IT'S ONE TIME OVER THREE YEARS.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU.

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS OR
- 25 ANY ADDITIONS OR CORRECTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION?



SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: IF I MAY, MR. CHAIR.

SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YES?

5

- 6 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I HAVE STATED, AND I WILL RESTATE THAT THE
- 7 RESPONSE TODAY WITH RESPECT TO THE OBJECTIVE SOUGHT BY THE
- 8 AMENDMENT HAD NOT, AS NEAR AS I CAN TELL READING THIS DATA AND
- 9 THE ANALYSIS FROM D.H.S. AS WELL AS INDEPENDENT ANALYSIS FROM
- 10 THE ENDOWMENT, DOESN'T GO TO THE JUSTIFICATION SOUGHT BY THE
- 11 AMENDING MOTION AND THE RESPONSE AT THIS POINT IS UNCLEAR. THE
- 12 CLEAREST PIECE OF THIS AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT IN TIME IS THE
- 13 ORIGINAL MOTION, THE MAIN MOTION, AND THEREFORE I WOULD MOVE
- 14 THAT WE MOVE CONSISTENT WITH THE INTENT OF THE ORIGINAL MOTION
- 15 AS IT WAS STATED. I SO MOVE, MR. CHAIR.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN?

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: PARDON ME?

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAD HOPED WE WOULD AVOID
- 22 THIS, BUT I GUESS WE'RE NOT GOING TO AVOID IT. THE AMENDING
- 23 MOTION WAS A MOTION APPROVED BY THIS BOARD. THE AMENDING
- 24 MOTION WAS DESIGNED AS I THINK SHEILA TRIED TO ARTICULATE IT,
- 25 TO RECOGNIZE THAT THE PHRASEOLOGY OF UNDEREQUITY AND



- 1 OVEREQUITY WAS NOT THE ONLY MEASURE OF WHERE THE NEED WAS.
- 2 THERE'S NO QUESTION THAT SOUTH LOS ANGELES HAS BEEN-- IS IN
- 3 NEED OF AN INFUSION OF SERVICES IN THIS AREA. S.P.A.S 1, 3, 5,
- 4 7, AND 8 GO FAR BEYOND SOUTH LOS ANGELES. THE CONCERN THAT I
- 5 HAD, AND IT WAS A LITTLE LATE SINCE I WASN'T ON THE WORKING
- 6 GROUP, ONLY MOST OF THE PEOPLE WHO WERE ON THE WORKING GROUP
- 7 WERE THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE A STAKE IN WHAT'S GOING TO BE DONE
- 8 HERE TODAY, FINANCIALLY HAVE A STAKE IN IT. SOME OF THEM
- 9 TESTIFIED HERE TODAY, AND I THINK THAT FOR THE FIGHT, MR.
- 10 FUJIOKA, MISS SHIMA, THIS IS SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE
- 11 ADDRESSED IN THE WAY YOU GET INPUT. AND IT WAS ADDRESSED BY
- 12 THE WOMAN, THE LADY WHO SPOKE FROM THE PUBLIC LAST, BUT THE
- 13 ISSUE WAS WHETHER S.P.A.S ALONE WERE THE WAY TO DIVIDE THIS
- 14 UP, THAT S.P.A.S, YOU HAVE ONE S.P.A. THAT HAS UNDERSERVED
- 15 AREAS IN LONG BEACH, MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED AREAS IN A GOOD
- 16 PERCENTAGE OF LONG BEACH. IT ALSO HAS PALOS VERDES ESTATES IN
- 17 IT. YOU HAVE S.P.A.S-- A S.P.A. IN WEST LOS ANGELES, WHICH IS
- 18 LARGELY WELL ENDOWED AND SERVICES, NO QUESTION ABOUT IT, BUT
- 19 WHICH HAS POCKETS OF MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED CENSUS TRACTS, EVEN
- 20 THOUGH IT HAS BEVERLY HILLS IN IT, AND THE SAN FERNANDO
- 21 VALLEY, WHICH IS A MAJOR S.P.A., HAS HUGE NUMBERS OF
- 22 UNDERSERVED AREAS. THE EAST AND CENTRAL SAN FERNANDO VALLEY,
- 23 WE ALL KNOW IT, MR. RIDLEY-THOMAS KNOWS IT, HE CAME TO ONE OF
- 24 THE OPENINGS OF THE SCHOOL-BASED CLINIC OUT THERE IN SUN
- 25 VALLEY LAST YEAR, THAT WHILE THEY HAVE ENCINO AND TARZANA IN



- 1 IT, ALSO HAVE VAN NUYS, CANOGA PARK, RESEDA, SUNLAND, SUN
- 2 VALLEY, I'M SORRY, PACOIMA, VAN NUYS, PLACES THAT ARE THE
- 3 GROUND ZERO FOR THE UNINSURED CRISIS IN THIS COUNTY. IT'S THE
- 4 FASTEST GROWING UNINSURED INSIDE COUNTY, OR IN THOSE AREAS.
- 5 AND BY THE WAY, MR. MANGIA, THE INFANT MORTALITY RATE OF
- 6 AFRICAN-AMERICANS IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY IS FOUR TIMES THE
- 7 RATE INFANT MORTALITY RATE OF AFRICAN-AMERICANS IN THE S.P.A.
- 8 THAT COVERS SOUTH LOS ANGELES. A REPORT THAT WAS DONE BY YOUR
- 9 DEPARTMENT, MR. SCHUNHOFF, A FEW YEARS AGO. I DON'T THINK IT'S
- 10 BEEN REVERSED IN THAT SPAN OF TIME, SO THERE ARE A LOT OF
- 11 INEQUITIES IN THIS TOWN, IN THIS COUNTY, AND THE AMENDING
- 12 MOTION, WHICH I REPEAT WAS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AND WHICH YOU
- 13 RESPONDED TO, NOT TO MY SATISFACTION, I MIGHT ADD, BUT YOU
- 14 RESPONDED TO IT, WAS DESIGNED TO TAKE A LOOK AT OTHER MEASURES
- 15 OTHER THAN JUST THE ARBITRARY GERRYMANDERING OF S.P.A.S BUT
- 16 LOOK AT MORE FOCUSED DATA WHICH IS REPRESENTED BY WHAT THE
- 17 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DESIGNATES BY CENSUS TRACT AS MEDICALLY
- 18 UNDERSERVED AREAS OR MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL, EITHER ONE,
- 19 MEDICALLY OR MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL UNDERSERVED AREAS OF WHICH
- 20 THERE ARE PLENTY THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. AND IF I WERE STARTING
- 21 THIS ALL OVER AGAIN, I THINK THE BEST THING YOU COULD HAVE
- 22 DONE WOULD HAVE BEEN NOT-- THE BEST THING YOU COULD HAVE DONE
- 23 WOULD HAVE BEEN TO TAKE THE AREAS OF THE MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED
- 24 TRACT, CENSUS TRACTS IN THIS COUNTY AND MADE THAT THE FOCUS
- 25 AND BASED-- AND USED THAT AS THE FLOOR-- OR AS THE BASIS FROM



- 1 WHICH YOU WOULD INVEST IN-- AND TRY TO ENHANCE P.P.P. SERVICES
- 2 BECAUSE THERE'S GOT TO BE A CORRELATION BETWEEN THE LEVEL OF
- 3 SERVICE IN THE UNDERSERVED AREAS, THE LACK OF LEVEL OF SERVICE
- 4 AND THE FACT THAT THEY'RE UNDERSERVED BY DEFINITION, AND IF
- 5 YOU START LOOKING AT WHERE THE COUNTY INVESTS ITS MONEY,
- 6 WHETHER IT'S P.P.P. MONEY, THAT'S ONE THING. WHERE IT INVESTS
- 7 ITS OVERALL D.H.S. MONEY IS SOMETHING ELSE COMPLETELY AND
- 8 THERE ARE ALL KINDS OF WAYS TO PLAY WITH STATISTICS AND THE
- 9 WORKING GROUP DID A VERY GOOD JOB IN FASHIONING THE STATISTICS
- 10 FOR THE DESIRED OUTCOME, AND I ACTUALLY THINK MS. GILLIAM'S
- 11 PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUEST IS AN EXCELLENT IDEA AND I THINK WE
- 12 OUGHT TO GET THE ENTIRE RECORD OF PUBLIC RECORDS ACT
- 13 REVELATIONS EXACTLY HOW THIS STARTED FROM DAY ONE TO THE
- 14 PRESENT BECAUSE IT'S INTERESTING, BUT THIS IS A-- THE SALIENT
- 15 POINT IS THERE IS THIS WHOLE COUNTY, IN TERMS OF THE UNINSURED
- 16 CLIENTS IS UNDERSERVED. IF YOU'RE UNINSURED IN LANCASTER,
- 17 YOU'RE UNDERSERVED. IF YOU'RE UNINSURED IN SOUTH LOS ANGELES,
- 18 YOU'RE UNDERSERVED, AND THERE ARE POCKETS THAT WE NEED TO
- 19 ADDRESS. THE IRONY IS THAT THIS SCHEME THAT WAS PUT TOGETHER,
- 20 S.P.A. 4, A SO-CALLED OVEREQUITY S.P.A., INCLUDES EAST
- 21 HOLLYWOOD, AREAS THAT MS. MOLINA AND I SHARE, ALL THE WAY TO
- 22 DOWNTOWN, INCLUDING SKID ROW, OVEREQUITY. ISN'T THAT
- 23 INTERESTING? AND SO SOMETHING NEEDED TO BE DONE TO ADDRESS
- 24 THOSE ANOMALIES. THE ANOMALIES AREN'T THE UNDERSERVED AREAS AS
- 25 SOMEBODY HAS WRITTEN IN THE LAST FEW DAYS. THESE AREN'T



- 1 ANOMALIES. VAN NUYS IS NOT AN ANOMALY. LONG BEACH IS NOT AN
- 2 ANOMALY. THOSE ARE POCKETS OF POPULATIONS OF REAL PEOPLE, REAL
- 3 MEN, WOMEN AND CHILDREN, WHO ALSO HAVE NEEDS. THEY'RE
- 4 ADDRESSED IN LONG BEACH BECAUSE THEY'RE IN THE-- IN 1, 3, 5,
- 5 7, AND 8. THEY WERE NOT ADDRESSED IN VAN NUYS, SUN VALLEY OR
- 6 EAST HOLLYWOOD WHICH HAPPENS TO BE IN WHAT YOU DETERMINED
- 7 BASED ON YOUR PROCESS WAS AN OVEREQUITY S.P.A. SO YOU WERE
- 8 RESPONSIVE TO A CERTAIN EXTENT TO WHAT THE BOARD DID. YOU
- 9 CAN'T JUST PICK AND CHOOSE WHICH MOTIONS -- THERE WERE TWO
- 10 MOTIONS, THERE WAS A MOTION, AN AMENDING MOTION, AND THE
- 11 AMENDING MOTION WAS PART OF THE FINAL ACTION, AND IT WAS AN
- 12 ATTEMPT TO GO BEYOND JUST LOOKING AT S.P.A.S, WHICH I THINK
- 13 IF, MR. RIDLEY-THOMAS, IF YOU LOOK AT IT MORE CLOSELY, I THINK
- 14 YOU WILL FIND THAT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF WAYS TO LOOK AT THIS
- 15 NEED ISSUE, NOT-- HAVING SAID ALL OF THAT, 93 PERCENT OF THE
- 16 MONEY THAT'S BEING APPROPRIATED HERE IS GOING INTO THE VERY
- 17 ORIGINAL S.P.A.S 1, 3,5, 7, AND 8, 93 PERCENT, AND THE OTHER
- 18 THREE S.P.A.S, THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY, HOLLYWOOD, EAST
- 19 HOLLYWOOD AND THE WEST LOS ANGELES IS GETTING A TOTAL COMBINED
- 20 OF 7 PERCENT. I THINK YOU CAN CONSIDER THAT A GOOD DAY FOR THE
- 21 OTHER FIVE SPAS. I WOULD ASK FOR A "NO" VOTE ON THE AMENDING
- 22 MOTION.

23

24 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. CHAIR?

19

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: JUST BEFORE YOU RESPOND, HERE'S MY
- 2 CONCERN. OBVIOUSLY I THINK MY AREAS BENEFIT MORE FROM THE
- 3 ORIGINAL MOTION THAN THE AMENDED MOTION, BUT WE WORKED VERY
- 4 HARD TO COME UP WITH AN AGREEMENT THAT WOULD, YOU KNOW, WORK
- 5 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY, WE HAD AN INDEPENDENT GROUP THAT WE
- 6 CALLED UPON TO LOOK AT THE ISSUES OF EQUITY, AND I JUST CANNOT
- 7 SUPPORT THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION BECAUSE I THINK WE WORKED VERY
- 8 HARD TO PUSH IT BACK. I KNOW SOME MEMBERS AREN'T EVEN HAPPY
- 9 WITH THAT, BUT I THINK, YOU KNOW, WE ASKED A LOT OF PEOPLE TO
- 10 DO A LOT OF DIFFERENT THINGS, INCLUDING OUR OWN STAFF, AND I
- 11 WILL JUST HAVE TO VOTE "NO" ON THAT OR YOU CAN WITHDRAW IT OR
- 12 UNLESS YOU HAVE MORE SUPPORT, A MOTION AND A SECOND. I'M NOT
- 13 SURE WHERE MR. ANTONOVICH IS ON IT, BUT, YOU KNOW, THAT'S MY
- 14 FEELINGS. YEAH, I THINK-- I WAS PREPARED TO SUPPORT THE
- 15 ORIGINAL MOTION AT THE TIME WE DID THAT BUT WE HAD AN
- 16 AGREEMENT THAT WE'D PUT THIS INDEPENDENT GROUP TOGETHER AND
- 17 TRY TO DEAL WITH IT IN A WAY THAT WOULD BE FAIR AND IS
- 18 EQUITABLE AS IT POSSIBLY COULD BE, AND SO THAT'S WHERE I'M AT.

20 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. I WOULD SIMPLY SAY

- 21 THAT IN NO PARTICULAR INSTANCE DID I MAKE REFERENCE TO A
- 22 PARTICULAR PART OF THE COUNTY, ANY PARTICULAR S.P.A. I SOUGHT
- 23 TO ASK A BASIC OUESTION, WHAT WAS THE ORIGINAL MOTION AND WHAT
- 24 WAS THE AMENDING MOTION AND IN LIGHT OF THE OBJECTIVE OF THE
- 25 AMENDING MOTION, COULD YOU THEN ARTICULATE JUSTIFICATION FOR



- 1 REDISTRIBUTION OF RESOURCES BASED ON THE WORK OF THE WORKING
- 2 GROUP. I DID NOT, NOR HAVE I YET HEARD CLEAR ENOUGH A RESPONSE
- 3 THAT CAUSES ME TO FEEL LIKE THE STATED OBJECTIVE OF THE
- 4 AMENDING MOTION WAS ACCOMPLISHED. I APPRECIATE THE POINT BEING
- 5 MADE BY THE CHAIR, BUT THE QUESTION REALLY IS TO EARLIER
- 6 REMARKS. OF COURSE I APPRECIATE AND WE'VE THOROUGHLY SCRUBBED
- 7 THIS, THE FACT THAT YOU CAN CONSTRUCT CRITERIA TO DO
- 8 PRACTICALLY ANYTHING. THERE'S NO SECRET THAT THERE ARE NO
- 9 MEMBERS HERE WHO HAVEN'T BEEN IN THE BUSINESS OF DECISION
- 10 MAKING FOR A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF TIME. WE'VE PRACTICALLY
- 11 SEEN IT ALL, AND TO THE EXTENT THAT THAT IS THE CASE, I WOULD
- 12 THINK THAT YOU CAN JUSTIFY PRACTICALLY ANYTHING YOU MAY WISH.
- 13 MY POINT IS THAT JUSTIFIED BY THE DATA AND THE CONCLUSIONS
- 14 DRAWN BASED ON THAT DATA, AND IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT ISN'T
- 15 THE CASE. DO I MAKE THE CLAIM THAT THERE ARE NO NEEDS
- 16 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY? ABSOLUTELY NOT. AM I CLEAR THAT SUCH IS
- 17 THE CASE? I AM. DOES THAT THEN MEAN THAT WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO
- 18 SATISFY THOSE NEEDS? YES, DATA DRIVEN TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE.
- 19 NOT INTUITIVE, NOT ANECDOTAL, BUT LET'S PLAY BY ESSENTIALLY
- 20 ONE SET OF RULES. NOW, IT IS FULLY CONCEIVABLE TO ME TO MAKE A
- 21 DECISION THAT COMPOUNDS THE PROBLEMS THAT ALREADY EXIST AND
- 22 THAT ARE SOMEWHAT HISTORIC IN NATURE. THERE ARE POCKETS WHERE
- 23 THE PROBLEMS ARE MORE PROFOUND, AND THE THRUST OF THE ORIGINAL
- 24 MOTION SEEMS TO ME TO HAVE BEEN DIRECTED AT PROVIDING AS MUCH
- 25 RELIEF TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE. IT IS IN NO WAY TO BE CONSTRUED



- 1 AS THE PANACEA. NO ONE IS SAYING THESE RESOURCES ORIGINALLY
- 2 CONCEIVED WERE TO BE EXHAUSTED, BUT NOR IS THERE, TO MY WAY OF
- 3 THINKING, JUSTIFICATION FOR LIMITED RESOURCES BEING DILUTED
- 4 WHEN THEIR SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE WAS TO TRY TO CORRECT MANY
- 5 PROBLEMS THAT ALREADY HAD EXISTED, SUCH AS THE CASE, IF I
- 6 MIGHT BE SPECIFIC, IN S.P.A. 6 AS WELL AS OTHERS. WE KNOW
- 7 THAT. OBJECTIVELY WE KNOW THAT, AND TO THE EXTENT THAT THAT IS
- 8 THE CASE, I WOULD RESTATE MY COMMITMENT TO SUPPORT THE
- 9 ORIGINAL MOTION BECAUSE I THINK IT MAKES SENSE THAT THERE ARE
- 10 OTHER WAYS THE C.E.O. CAN FIND ADDITIONAL RESOURCES, I'M MORE
- 11 THAN GLAD TO ENTERTAIN THAT. MR. CHAIR, THAT IS WHERE I AM AND
- 12 THAT'S WHERE I SHALL REMAIN.

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. NO PROBLEM. MR. ANTONOVICH,

15 DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT?

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: CALL FOR THE VOTE.

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, ROLL CALL.

21 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

23 SUP. MOLINA: AYE. (ROLL CALL VOTE)

25 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** THE MOTION PASSES.

24

13

16

18

20



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. SO IF WHAT PASSED WAS THE
- 3 ORIGINAL MOTION.

4

- 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CORRECT, AND THE C.E.O.'S RECOMMENDATION
- 6 BEFORE THE BOARD IS NOT ACCEPTED, THE C.E.O.'S RECOMMENDATION
- 7 BEFORE THE BOARD TODAY IS NOT ACCEPTED AS WELL.

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: I WOULD MOVE THAT WE WOULD ACCEPT THE
- 10 RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WERE MADE WITH REGARD TO THE ORIGINAL
- 11 INTENT OF THE MOTION AND TO TAKE THE REMAINING AMOUNT AND TO
- 12 UTILIZE IT IN THE INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS AND EXPAND THAT OPTION.
- 13 I THINK THAT THAT WILL HELP AS WELL BECAUSE INFRASTRUCTURE IS
- 14 SUCH AN EXPENSIVE PART OF GETTING STARTED.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: LET ME CLARIFY. YOU ARE ASKING THE
- 17 ADDITIONAL DOLLARS TO BE ALLOCATED -- YOU WANT -- YOUR MOTION IS
- 18 TO DO WHAT?

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: BECAUSE I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHERE WE GO FROM
- 21 HERE. WE CAN EITHER REDO ALL OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS, SEND IT
- 22 BACK TO BE REDONE, OR WE CAN TAKE THE RECOMMENDATIONS WITH THE
- 23 EXCEPTION THAT DOES NOT MEET THE ORIGINAL INTENT OF THE
- 24 MOTION, WHICH IS TO FUND THE UNDEREQUITY S.P.A.S AND TAKE THE
- 25 REMAINING FUNDS THAT ARE LEFT OVER, AND INSTEAD OF PLACING



- 1 THEM INTO THAT PORTION OF MEETING THE INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS,
- 2 THAT'S BY FAR THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF NEED. TO GET A CLINIC
- 3 STARTED TAKES AN AWFUL LOT OF START-UP MONEY. ANYBODY WHO HAS
- 4 BEEN IN THIS BUSINESS KNOWS, AND SO IT REALLY REQUIRES A VERY
- 5 SPECIAL KIND OF OUTREACH, REALLY REQUIRES A PARTNERSHIP MAYBE
- 6 WITH ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE ALREADY IN PLACE, AND SO IT REALLY
- 7 REQUIRES AN AWFUL LOT OF WORK, SO TO TAKE THE REMAINING FUNDS
- 8 AND PATCH THEM INTO THE INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS, THAT'S WHAT I
- 9 WOULD RECOMMEND.

10

- 11 SHEILA SHIMA: SO IF I COULD ASK FOR CLARIFICATION, THEN, ON
- 12 THAT. CURRENTLY THE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE TO TAKE THE \$4.8
- 13 MILLION FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND ALLOCATE THEM TO PROPOSALS IN
- 14 S.P.A.S 1, 3, 6, 7, AND 8, WHICH WOULD MEET THE ORIGINAL
- 15 INTENT OF THE MOTIONS BECAUSE THOSE ARE THE UNDEREQUITY
- 16 S.P.A.S AS EARLIER DEFINED. THE \$48 MILLION THAT WE HAD
- 17 PROPOSED 3 MILLION WOULD BE SPENT IN S.P.A.S 2, 4 AND 5. THE
- 18 RECOMMENDATION NOW IS TO ADD THAT TO THE \$4.8 MILLION.

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S CORRECT.

- 22 SHEILA SHIMA: OKAY. THE ONE CLARIFICATION, IF I COULD ASK AS
- 23 WELL, FIRST OFF, IS THE 1.5 MILLION FOR THE ENCOUNTER SUMMARY
- 24 SHEET, WHICH WERE PART OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS. THOSE WOULD GO
- 25 TO ALL PARTNERS IN ALL S.P.A.S.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO THE RECOMMENDATION, MOVE THE 3
- 3 MILLION INTO THE 4.8? IS THAT--

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S RIGHT.

6

- 7 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: AS ORIGINALLY INTENDED, AND IS THIS IS AN
- 8 ADDITIONAL PIECE IN TERMS OF THE 1.5, WHICH CAN STAY
- 9 CONSISTENT WITH THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE C.E.O.

10

- 11 SPEAKER: AND THEN THE FINAL CLARIFICATION, IF I COULD ASK ON
- 12 THE \$35.5 MILLION, WHERE THE CLEAR INTENT IS TO BRING S.P.A.S
- 1, 3, 6, 7, AND 8 UP TO AS CLOSE AS POSSIBLE WHERE THEY WOULD
- 14 BE UNDER THE 2008 ALLOCATION FORMULA. I DON'T HAVE THE NUMBERS
- 15 IN FRONT OF ME, BUT I BELIEVE IF WE WERE TO ALLOCATE THEM, THE
- 16 \$7.8 MILLION TO S.P.A.S 1, 3, 6, 7, AND 8, THAT WOULD THEN
- 17 DROP S.P.A. 2 BELOW THEIR PERCENTAGE, SO THE CLARIFICATION IS
- 18 IF THE ORIGINAL INTENT REALLY WAS TO ADDRESS EQUITY, THEN
- 19 WHILE S.P.A. 2 IS CURRENTLY SLIGHTLY OVER EQUITY, USING THE
- 20 SAME TERMS, THIS WOULD THEN DROP THEM BELOW THE PERCENTAGE
- 21 THEY WOULD GET UNDER THE FORMULA.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: CAN I MAKE A RECOMMENDATION? THE ACTION
- 24 BEFORE US HAS PASSED, OKAY, ON THE ORIGINAL MOTION. OKAY? CAN
- 25 WE GET A REPORT BACK OUTLINING SPECIFICALLY-- SO INSTEAD OF



- 1 WE'RE JUST SITTING HERE SAYING YOU'RE DOING THIS AND YOU'RE
- 2 DOING THIS. PARDON ME?

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: I'D RATHER DO IT NOW. THIS HAS BEEN WAITING A
- 5 LONG TIME.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IT'S ALREADY PASSED. BUT I'M SAYING YOUR
- 8 CHANGES, WE SHOULD HAVE SOME BENEFIT OF DOUBT OF WHERE IT'S
- 9 GOING.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT, WHY DON'T WE JUST UP THAT AMOUNT. THE
- 12 REST, GO AHEAD.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YES, I'M JUST TALKING ABOUT THE PIECE
- 15 THAT YOU'RE-- OR IF YOU WANT THEM TO COME BACK--

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: THE UNALLOCATED AMOUNT. THE REST WOULD BE
- 18 ALLOCATED AS THE ORIGINAL RECOMMENDATION.

19

- 20 SHEILA SHIMA: THE 7.8, I THINK WE'RE CLEAR ON, THE 1.5, AND
- 21 35.5, THERE'S JUST ONE ELEMENT ON S.P.A. 2 THAT WE CAN REPORT
- 22 BACK ON IS THAT ACCEPTABLE?

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: AS LONG AS IT'S UNDERSTOOD THAT THE OTHERS WOULD
- 2 GET ALLOCATED.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO, THE OTHERS WOULD GET ALLOCATED BASED
- 5 ON THE MOTION. SO THE PIECES THAT THEY REPORTED BACK ON ARE
- 6 THE 1.5.

7

8 SPEAKER: YES.

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: AND WHAT ELSE?

11

- 12 SHEILA SHIMA: IT'S THE \$4.8 MILLION WILL BE INCREASED BY THREE
- 13 MILLION AND 35--

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND THAT PART. OKAY.

16

- 17 SHEILA SHIMA: AND THE REMAINING AMOUNTS, \$35.5 MILLION, WHICH
- 18 CURRENTLY IS-- WE'RE DIRECTED TO LOOK FOR PROPOSALS IN S.P.A.S
- 1, 3, 6, 7, AND 8. AND WE WOULD ADD CLINICS THAT MAY BE IN
- 20 S.P.A.S 2, 4 AND 5, BUT HAVE 50 PERCENT OF THEIR P.P.P. VISITS
- 21 IN ONE OF THE OTHER S.P.A.S, 1, 3, 6, 7, AND 8, WHICH WERE
- 22 PART OF THE ORIGINAL RECOMMENDATION.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: SO JUST A CLARIFICATION, "A" IS COMPLETELY FAIR
- 2 UNDER YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS. RIGHT? IS THAT CORRECT?

3

4 SHEILA SHIMA: YES.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: AND "B" IS MODIFIED. ONLY THAT YOU WILL COME BACK
- 7 WITH THE THREE MILLION. RIGHT? THE 35 MILLION OVER THREE YEARS
- 8 AND THE 1.5 TO LEVERAGE OTHER DOLLARS AS APPROVED. CORRECT?

9

- 10 SHEILA SHIMA: JUST SO THAT I CAN BE VERY CLEAR: "A" IS ADOPTED
- 11 AS PRESENTED. "B" IS APPROVED, AND THAT'S THE \$1.5 MILLION.
- 12 "C," WHICH IS THE \$3 MILLION, I UNDERSTAND IS BEING ADDED TO
- 13 THE \$4.8 MILLION, FOR A TOTAL POT OF 7.8. THE 35.5 MILLION IS
- 14 PROPOSED FOR S.P.A.S 1, 3, 6, 7, AND 8 BASED ON, IN THE
- 15 REPORT, THE SPECIFIC GUIDELINES THAT ARE IN THERE. THE ONE
- 16 OUTSTANDING QUESTION THAT WE HAD AND WE'LL REPORT BACK ON IS
- 17 WHAT THE ALLOCATION OF THE \$7.8 MILLION DOES THEN TO S.P.A. 2,
- 18 WHICH IS PRACTICALLY AT THE PERCENTAGE THEY SHOULD BE GETTING
- 19 UNDER THE FORMULA.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: HOW DO YOU COME UP WITH THE 7?

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: 4.8 PLUS 3.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: YOU'RE COMING BACK FOR THAT? WHY CAN'T YOU JUST
- 2 LEAVE IT AS IS?

3

- 4 SHEILA SHIMA: NO, I'M SORRY, SUPERVISOR. WE UNDERSTOOD WE WERE
- 5 NOT COMING BACK ON THE 7.8.

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: YOU'RE NOT COMING BACK ON THE 4.8.

8

9 SHEILA SHIMA: ON THE 4.8 PLUS THE 3 FOR A TOTAL POT OF 7.8.

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. YOU KNOW, LET ME JUST SAY THAT WHEN
- 12 THIS REPORT FIRST CAME OUT AND THAT WE HAD REQUESTED,
- 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND MYSELF, IT WAS REALLY KIND OF AN
- 14 EYE-OPENER FOR ALL OF US AS TO WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH REGARD
- 15 TO, AGAIN, BY ANY MEASURE, WHEN WE HAVE S.P.A.S OF THIS TYPE
- 16 AND WE'VE SET UP MOST OF OUR SERVICE DELIVERY SYSTEM UNDER OUR
- 17 S.P.A. MECHANISM, WE HAD TO EVALUATE IT AT SOME LEVEL AND AT
- 18 SOME POINT. THERE IS NO DOUBT THAT PROBABLY WITHIN CENSUS
- 19 TRACTS, WITHIN CERTAIN AREAS AND CERTAIN COMMUNITIES JUST BY
- 20 ITS OWN GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARIES AT TIMES, WE DON'T HAVE FULL
- 21 ACCESS AS WE LIKE TO SEE. WE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY HERE, AND I
- 22 REALLY WANT TO THANK THE C.A.O., THE WORKING GROUP, AND ALL
- 23 THE PEOPLE WHO WERE INVOLVED IN IT, ALL OF THE P.P.P.S WHO
- 24 HAVE BEEN A PART OF THIS PROGRAM, YOU KNOW. WHEN THIS FIRST
- 25 CAME UP, AND THIS WAS A LONG TIME AGO, I NEVER THOUGHT THIS



- 1 WAS GOING TO WORK. I WAS ONE OF THOSE THAT SAID ONLY THOSE
- 2 WITH A SOLID INFRASTRUCTURE ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO REALLY
- 3 LEVERAGE THEIR DOLLARS, AND MOST OF THE UNDERSERVED AREAS WHO
- 4 ARE UNDERSERVED FOR A VAST VARIETY OF REASONS, ARE GOING TO
- 5 CONTINUE TO BE UNDERSERVED. WHAT WAS AMAZING ABOUT IT, THAT
- 6 WHILE THEY WERE MANY AREAS THAT WERE UNDERSERVED, IT WAS
- 7 INTERESTING WHAT HAPPENED IN THOSE AREAS, AND I KNOW THAT
- 8 WORKING WITH MANY OF MY NONPROFITS IN MY AREA, WE WERE ABLE TO
- 9 RESCUE SOME OF THOSE UNDERSERVED AREAS, WHETHER IT AZUSA OR
- 10 BELL GARDENS, OR HUNTINGTON PARK, OR SOME OF THOSE AREAS, BY
- 11 REALLY WORKING OVER YEARS-LONG STRATEGIC PLAN TO BUILD AND GET
- 12 THAT INFRASTRUCTURE IN, USING DISCRETIONARY FUNDS, LEVERAGING
- 13 OTHER GRANTS AND FUNDS TO MAKE IT WORK. WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY
- 14 TO BEGIN THE PROCESS OF BRINGING BACK SOME REAL EQUITY
- 15 OVERALL. AND I REALLY APPRECIATE THE WORK OF EVERYBODY, AND I
- 16 KNOW IT'S BEEN TIRING AND EXHAUSTING, NOT EVERYONE IS HAPPY,
- 17 BY ANY MEANS, AND WE STILL HAVE A LONG WAY TO GO, BECAUSE
- 18 THESE ARE JUST DOLLARS ON THE TABLE. THE ABILITY TO BUILD AN
- 19 INFRASTRUCTURE IN SOME OF THOSE AREAS THAT ARE SO MEDICALLY
- 20 UNDERSERVED, WHICH IS TERRIBLE HEALTH OUTCOMES, IS GOING TO BE
- 21 A STRUGGLE. IT'S GOING TO BE A STRUGGLE. AND HOPEFULLY WE'RE
- 22 GOING TO SEE SUCCESSFUL P.P.P.S COMING AND JOINING IN AN
- 23 EFFORT TO PARTNER WITH SOME OF THOSE AREAS, TO HELP BUILD THAT
- 24 INFRASTRUCTURE. THEY HAVE KNOWLEDGE, THEY HAVE EXPERIENCE,
- 25 THEY KNOW HOW TO GET THE GOAL AND HOW TO DELIVER SERVICES ARE



- 1 GOING TO BE PART OF THAT PROCESS, AND SO I HOPE IT GETS
- 2 DEFINED THROUGH THAT. AT THE END OF THE DAY, THE ONLY WAY THAT
- 3 WE CAN BRING, AND IT SHOULD BE EQUITY WITHIN THE RESOURCES
- 4 THAT WE HAVE, I DON'T THINK IT'S EQUITY AS FAR AS EVERYBODY
- 5 HAVING FULL AND COMPLETE ACCESS, YOU'RE ALWAYS GOING TO HAVE
- 6 THOSE POCKETS AND THOSE SITUATIONS WHERE YOU DON'T HAVE IT--
- 7 BUT THIS GOES A LONG WAY TO REALLY ASSIST US IN REALLY
- 8 HOPEFULLY BRINGING SOME BALANCE TO ACCESS AS WE CONTINUE TO
- 9 EXPAND, WHICH I HOPE WE WILL EXPAND OUR P.P.P. SYSTEM. WHEN WE
- 10 FIRST RECEIVED THE REPORT, WE SAID, WELL, HERE IT IS, HERE'S
- 11 THE INEQUITY. WHAT DO YOU DO? DO YOU TAKE THE MONEY AWAY FROM
- 12 ALL THE OTHERS AND START EQUITY? NO. YOU COULDN'T DO THAT.
- 13 THAT COULD HAVE BEEN ONE WAY OF GETTING TO GO, BUT IT WOULDN'T
- 14 HAVE BEEN APPROPRIATE FOR THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE WORKED SO HARD
- 15 DELIVERING SERVICES SO VERY WELL, YOU COULDN'T DO THAT, TAKING
- 16 AWAY FROM THOSE THAT HAD MORE TO GIVE TO SOME THAT HAD LESS,
- 17 SO WE DECIDED NOT TO DO THAT. BUT WHEN WE COULD PUT NEW MONEY
- 18 ON THE TABLE, ABSOLUTELY NEW MONEY THAT NO ONE WAS EXPECTING,
- 19 WE READ A REAL OPPORTUNITY TO BRING ABOUT THOSE KINDS OF
- 20 CHANGES, AND SO THAT'S WHAT THIS EFFORT DOES, AND I REALLY
- 21 APPLAUD EVERYONE WHO HAS BEEN INVOLVED. I KNOW IT'S BEEN AN
- 22 AWFUL LOT OF WORK, AND WE STILL HAVE WORK AHEAD OF US AS WE
- 23 MOVE FORWARD, AND I DO HOPE THAT AS WE CONTINUE TO DO THAT, WE
- 24 ARE GOING TO CONTINUE TO SEE THE BLOSSOMING OF A PARTNERSHIP
- 25 OF MANY MORE PEOPLE IN THIS P.P.P. PROGRAM THAT IT'S GOING TO



- 1 GET THE KIND OF ATTENTION THAT IT SHOULD BE GETTING ON A
- 2 NATIONAL LEVEL, THAT THE FEDS ARE GOING TO APPRECIATE HOW
- 3 EFFECTIVE THE DELIVERY OF SERVICE GOES ON THROUGH OUR P.P.P.
- 4 SYSTEM TO CREATE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR GRANTS AND OTHER KINDS OF
- 5 THINGS. I CAN'T IMAGINE THE STATE WILL EVER BE AT THAT POINT
- 6 IN TIME, BE ABLE TO HELP US IN THAT REGARD, BUT WHEN I SEE MY
- 7 P.P.P.S IN MY AREA AND I THINK THEY'RE NO DIFFERENT THAN ANY
- 8 OTHER AREAS, THEY REALLY WORK HARD IN HUSTLING EVERY SINGLE
- 9 DAY, NOT ONLY IN TRYING TO GET FUNDS, TRYING TO DEVELOP NEW
- 10 OPPORTUNITIES FOR NEW FUNDS, TO LEVERAGE FUNDS, BUT MORE
- 11 IMPORTANTLY TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THEIR PATIENTS. I AM ALWAYS
- 12 SO IMPRESSED. ONE DAY, I KNOW WE'RE NOT GOING TO GET THERE
- 13 COMPLETELY, THERE'S A REAL OPPORTUNITY THAT THEY ARE GOING TO
- 14 BE PROVIDING THE VERY BEST SERVICES, AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE
- 15 GOING TO WANT, THE VERY BEST SERVICES, THEY PROVIDE BEST
- 16 SERVICES NOW, BUT BETTER THAT HAVE BEEN WE PROVIDE IN OUR OWN
- 17 LARGER CLINICS, AND A LOT OF IT IS BECAUSE THE PERSONALIZED
- 18 CARE THAT MANY OF THE P.P.P.S PROVIDE, SO IT IS A REAL PLUS TO
- 19 GET THIS GOING AND IT GETS BACK TO THE ISSUE THAT WAS
- 20 ORIGINALLY WE HAD A REPORT THAT CLEARLY CLEARLY DEMONSTRATED
- 21 THERE WASN'T AN EQUITY ACROSS THE BOARD. THIS GOES A LONG WAY.
- 22 HOPEFULLY ONCE WE SEE IT IN ITS APPLICATION IN THE NEXT TWO
- 23 YEARS, THREE YEARS, WE'RE GOING TO SEE SOME REAL EQUITY ACROSS
- 24 THE BOARD AND BUILD A BETTER PARTNERSHIP TO DEMONSTRATE TO



- 1 MANY OTHERS HOW EFFECTIVE THIS IS AS FAR AS THE DELIVERY OF
- 2 SERVICES, SO I WANT TO THANK EVERYONE WHO WAS INVOLVED.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THAT'S AS CLEAR AS MUD. BUT I
- 5 THINK WE KNOW WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO REPORT BACK ON. CORRECT?
- 6 OKAY. ALL RIGHT. OKAY. HOPEFULLY YOU'RE GOING TO BE REPORTING
- 7 BACK NEXT WEEK. IS THAT CORRECT?

8

9 SHEILA SHIMA: YES.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YES. ONE WEEK. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU ALL
- 12 FOR TAKING THE TIME TO COME DOWN AND TESTIFY. ITEM 33. OKAY.
- 13 MR. ANTONOVICH, STILL YOU.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S ALL I HAVE.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SUPERVISOR MOLINA. SUPERVISOR
- 18 MOLINA?

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: YES, SIR.

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: DO YOU HAVE ANY ADJOURNMENTS?

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: I DO. WE LOST A VERY, VERY BELOVED LEADER IN OUR
- 25 COMMUNITY AND I WANT TO ADJOURN IN HIS MEMORY, AND THAT IS THE



- 1 MEMORY OF MARTIN ORTIZ. HE WAS DIRECTOR OF THE CENTER MEXICAN-
- 2 AMERICAN AFFAIRS AT WHITTIER COLLEGE. THE CENTER WAS
- 3 ESTABLISHED IN 1968. MARTIN WAS TRULY INSPIRATIONAL. HE GREW
- 4 UP IN POVERTY IN KANSAS AND HIS CHILDHOOD WAS FRAUGHT WITH
- 5 ENORMOUS OBSTACLES AND CHALLENGES. AFTER HIS MILITARY SERVICE
- 6 IN WORLD WAR II, MARTIN MOVED TO CALIFORNIA. HE EARNED TWO
- 7 DEGREES, THEN BEGAN HIS TEACHING CAREER OF SOCIOLOGY AT
- 8 WHITTIER COLLEGE. AS THE DIRECTOR OF CENTER FOR MEXICAN-
- 9 AMERICAN AFFAIRS, MARTIN COORDINATED NUMEROUS SUPPORT GROUPS,
- 10 WHICH CONTINUE TO TOUCH COUNTLESS LIVES. MARTIN'S EFFORTS TO
- 11 RECRUIT LATINO STUDENTS, HELP THEM OBTAIN SCHOLARSHIPS, OFFER
- 12 ORIENTATIONS FOR SPANISH SPEAKING PARENTS AND GUIDE STUDENTS
- 13 THROUGHOUT THEIR COLLEGE CAREERS CAN NEVER BE FORGOTTEN. WE
- 14 WANT TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST CONDOLENCES TO HIS BELOVED WIFE AND
- 15 EVERYONE WHO KNEW HIM AND LOVED HIM. SO I'M ASKING THAT WE
- 16 ADJOURN TODAY, AND IF WE COULD ALL JOIN, BECAUSE HE REALLY IS
- 17 A WONDERFUL PERSON, IN THE MEMORY OF MARTIN ORTIZ.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: 5-SIGNATURE. ANYTHING ELSE? OKAY.
- 20 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, DO YOU HAVE SOME ADJOURNMENTS?

- 22 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I DO, MR. CHAIRMAN. MAY I BEGIN WITH TOBY
- 23 RUBENSTEIN, LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE CITY OF CULVER CITY,
- 24 PASSED ON DECEMBER 29 LAST YEAR, THE WIFE OF FRIEND OF THE
- 25 CULVER CITY LIBRARY, NEIL RUBENSTEIN. ALONG WITH HER HUSBAND,



- 1 SHE WAS PROMINENT IN THE FOREFRONT OF VOLUNTEERS AND THE
- 2 MEMBER OF DEMOCRATIC CLUB. SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY
- 3 HER HUSBAND, NEIL, HER SON DAVID, ALONG WITH A HOST OF FAMILY
- 4 AND FRIENDS. MR. CHAIRMAN, ZELDA BURNS, LONGTIME SECOND
- 5 DISTRICT RESIDENT PASSED ON JANUARY 21 AT THE THE AGE OF 58.
- 6 SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY HER HUSBAND KEITH, HER
- 7 CHILDREN TERRANCE, SHAWN, AND HER MOTHER, LAVELLE H. BURNS.
- 8 ALFRED WATERS, LONG-TIME SECOND DISTRICT RESIDENT WHO PASSED
- 9 ON JANUARY THE 21ST AT THE AGE OF 65. WAS A VETERAN OF THE
- 10 VIETNAM WAR AND WAS A RETIRED MACHINIST FOR DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT
- 11 AND FIRESTONE TIRES CORPORATION. HE LEAVES TO CHERISH HIS
- 12 MEMORY HIS DAUGHTER ALICIA, HIS MOTHER CLARNEICE, HIS BROTHERS
- 13 GARNETT AND KEITH AND SISTER JOAN, ALONG WITH A HOST OF FAMILY
- 14 AND FRIENDS. MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD ASSUME THAT ALL MEMBERS
- 15 WOULD WISH TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS FINAL RESOLUTION OR
- 16 ADJOURNING MOTION, LOVING WIFE OF LATE BISHOP JAY BLAKE,
- 17 FORMER SECOND ASSISTANT OF THE PRESIDING BISHOP, AND GENERAL
- 18 BOARD MEMBER OF THE CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST, AND THE MOTHER
- 19 PRESIDING BISHOP CHARLES E. BLAKE, SUPERINTENDENT.

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL MEMBERS.

20

- 23 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: LULA C. BLAKE PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF
- 24 95. SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY HER SONS CHARLES AND
- 25 SUPERINTENDENT JAY BLAKE, JR. ALONG WITH A HOST OF FAMILY AND



- 1 FRIENDS AND AN ENTIRE RELIGIOUS COMMUNITY WHO GIVES THANKS TO
- 2 GOD FOR HER HAVING PASSED THIS WAY. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. SO ORDERED. ZEV, DO YOU HAVE
- 5 ANY ADJOURNMENTS?

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF
- 8 MATTHEW LEVANT BEAVER, A VERY BRAVE YOUNG MAN WHO PASSED AWAY
- 9 AT THE UNTIMELY AGE OF 17, AFTER A FOUR-YEAR BATTLE WITH
- 10 EWING'S SARCOMA. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS PARENTS STEVE AND
- 11 ELLISA, HIS SISTER EMILY, HIS GRANDPARENTS, GLEN LEVANT,
- 12 FORMER L.A.P.D. DEPUTY CHIEF, WHO FOUNDED THE HIGHLY
- 13 SUCCESSFUL D.A.R.E. DRUG EDUCATION PROGRAM, WIFE JANE AND
- 14 OTHER FAMILY MEMBERS.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: KENNETH SCHONLAU, LONG TIME SANTA MONICA
- 19 RESIDENT NATIONAL CONTRIBUTOR TO THE COMMUNITY, THE SOCIAL
- 20 MODEL RECOVERY FIELD WHO RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF
- 21 79. KEN WAS THE FOUNDER AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE CLARE
- 22 FOUNDATION COMMUNITY RECOVERY PROGRAM FOR 17 YEARS, AND FOUND
- 23 PRESIDENT AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION
- 24 OF RECOVERY HOMES. HE WAS ALSO ONE OF THE FOUND BOARD MEMBERS
- 25 IN 1985 OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF NONPROFIT



- 1 HOUSING, FOUNDER IN 1995 AND CURRENT PROJECT DIRECTOR OF THE
- 2 SILVER LIVING NETWORK, 2007 FOUNDER OF THE VENICE RECOVERY
- 3 CENTER AND COMMISSIONER ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION ON
- 4 ALCOHOLISM FROM 1996 TO 2008. HE WAS MY APPOINTEE ON THAT
- 5 COMMISSION. HE ALSO SERVED FOR FIVE YEARS IN THE CITY OF SANTA
- 6 MONICA HOUSING COMMISSION. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS NIECE,
- 7 JENNIFER HENNEN, NEPHEW FREDERICK MENG. LAST, ASK ADJOURN IN
- 8 THE MEMORY OF ABE DAVIDSON, RESIDENT OF PASADENA, WHO RECENTLY
- 9 PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 96 AFTER A LONG AND SUCCESSFUL
- 10 WORKING CAREER. ABE DEDICATED HIMSELF TO VOLUNTEER WORK AT THE
- 11 PASADENA JEWISH TEMPLE AND CENTER WHERE HE TAUGHT AND WAS A
- 12 TUTOR FOR-- WHERE HE ASSISTED MORE THAN 600 STUDENTS PREPARING
- 13 FOR THEIR BAR MITZVAH CEREMONIES. I USED TO TEACH AT THAT
- 14 TEMPLE, AND I KNOW THE DAVIDSON FAMILY. I ASK THAT WE ADJOURN
- 15 IN HIS MEMORY.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S IT.

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE SEVERAL ITEMS LEFT. ITEM 20.
- 22 GENEVIEVE? DR. CLAVREUL? AND SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, ITEM 20
- 23 YOU HELD. ITEM 20. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS HELD IT. DR.
- 24 CLAVREUL SIGNED UP TO SPEAK. OKAY. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS IS



1 RELEASING HIS HOLD ON ITEM 20. THEN GENEVIEVE, IF YOU'D LIKE

2 TO COME FORWARD.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK THAT YOU WERE VERY CONCERNED WHEN WE HELD

5 THIS \$33 MILLION, BUT AT THAT TIME IT WAS VERY CLEAR THAT ON

6 THE TRAJECTORY THAT IT WAS IN, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO MEET THE

7 PATIENT VISITS. I KNOW THERE WAS A LOT OF EFFORT THAT WAS MADE

8 TO MAKE SURE AND THEY REALLY HAVE UPPED THE PATIENT VISIT,

9 WHICH IS WHAT WE WANTED. WHAT WE'RE SEEING NOW WHEN WE DO A

10 COST COMPARISON, AND AT THAT TIME THE COST PER PATIENT WAS

11 JUST SO HIGH AS COMPARISON TO THE HIGH DESERT M.A.C.C. IT IS

12 MY UNDERSTANDING WE ARE MUCH CLOSER, AND I REALLY THANK THE

13 DEPARTMENT, I WANTED THEM TO RECOGNIZE THOSE KINDS OF ISSUES.

14 WE COULDN'T JUST LET IT GO. WE REALLY HAD TO FOCUS ON THOSE

15 KINDS OF EFFICIENCIES AND EFFECTIVENESS BECAUSE AS YOU ALL

16 KNOW, OUR HEALTH DOLLARS ARE SO LIMITED, SO THAT'S WHAT WE

17 WANT, AS LONG AS THE VISITS KEEP GOING UP, THIS IS-- ACCESS IS

18 THE KEY HERE. THIS IS AN UNDERSERVED AREA WHERE THE HOSPITAL

19 IS JUST CLOSED, SO WE NEED TO MAKE SURE, AND I JUST WANT TO

20 THANK THE DEPARTMENT FOR DOING SUCH A GOOD JOB AND ALL THE

21 PEOPLE AT THE M.L.K. M.A.C.C. FOR MEETING OUR NEEDS AND SO I'M

22 MORE THAN HAPPY TO APPROVE THESE DOLLARS.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.



- 1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST VERY QUICKLY, WE WOULD
- 2 STILL REQUEST FOR THE DEPARTMENT TO STAY IN REGULAR
- 3 COMMUNICATION WITH OUR OFFICE. MR. RILEY HAS ASKED THAT SUCH
- 4 BE THE CASE, SET A SCHEDULE, WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU ON A
- 5 CONSISTENT BASIS AS RELATES TO THE QUESTIONS THAT I WOULD
- 6 OPPOSE OTHERWISE, SO IF YOU WOULD SEE HER TODAY, THAT WOULD BE
- 7 USEFUL, OR MISS VERA WAS WOULD BE FINE.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. DR. CLAVREUL.

10

- 11 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 12 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. I STILL HAVE SOME QUESTIONS BECAUSE,
- 13 AGAIN, IN THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENT, AND, YOU KNOW, SUPERVISOR
- 14 MOLINA MENTIONED COST ANALYSIS AND SO ON, BUT ACTUALLY THAT
- 15 WAS NOT ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC, AND I THINK IT WOULD BE VERY
- 16 NICE, WHEN WE ARE TOLD THAT SOMETHING IS MORE FEASIBLY, YOU
- 17 KNOW-- USUALLY WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ECONOMICALLY OR MORE
- 18 EFFICIENTLY. I STILL DON'T HAVE THAT TERM, FEASIBILITY, AND
- 19 LIKE I SAID, THE PUBLIC DID NOT HAVE ACCESS TO THAT
- 20 INFORMATION, THE COMPARISON BETWEEN GETTING A PHYSICIAN FROM
- 21 OUTSIDE OF THE SYSTEM, WITH ONE IN THE SYSTEM, AND AGAIN, NO
- 22 R.F.P. IT WAS JUST A REQUEST FOR AFFIRMATION. THAT'S ALL.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU.



1 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: THAT'S ALL.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: CAN I GET A CLARIFICATION FROM
- 4 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS? SUPERVISOR MOLINA WAS TALKING ABOUT
- 5 ITEM 34. WERE YOU TALKING ABOUT ITEM 20 OR 34? ARE YOU GOING
- 6 TO RELEASE 34 TO MOVE IT FORWARD, THE 33-MILLION-DOLLAR
- 7 CONTRACT? 34 IS DIFFERENT. 20 WAS HELD.

8

9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM 34 WAS CONTINUED ONE WEEK.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT I DIDN'T-- I
- 12 HEARD SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS'S COMMENTS. I THOUGHT IT WAS IN
- 13 REGARDS TO 34, NOT 20.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: I THOUGHT WE WERE ON 34. THAT'S WHAT Y'ALL TOLD
- 16 ME.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO. I SAID 20. I CALLED 20.

19

20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 34 IS CONTINUED ONE WEEK.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT. WE'D HAVE TO
- 23 RECONSIDER IT. WERE YOU TALKING ABOUT 34 OR 20?

24

25 **SUP. MOLINA:** 20.



1 2 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: 20. 3 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT WAS 20? WHAT WAS 20? 4 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT 20 OR 34? ALL 6 7 RIGHT. ITEM 20 HAS BEEN MOVED, CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT 8 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM 34 IS STILL CONTINUED ONE WEEK. IS THAT CORRECT? 9 10 SUP. MOLINA: OH, I SEE, YES, IT IS CONTINUED. 11 12 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. JUST KEEPING TRACK HERE. 14 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. CHAIR, IF IT'S IN ORDER, I'M QUITE 15 16 PREPARED, MS. MOLINA AND I ARE PREPARED TO MOVE THAT FORWARD PURSUANT TO YOUR REMARKS, SO WE CAN MOVE IT FORWARD. 17 18 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DID THE DIRECTOR OF --20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WHY DID THE DIRECTOR CONTINUE IT? CAN WE 21 22 HAVE THE DIRECTOR COMMENT WHY HE REQUESTED A CONTINUANCE? 23

DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I WAS TALKING TO 20.

25



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND THAT, AND I CALLED YOU ON
- 2 20, GENEVIEVE. THIS WAS A THING THAT CAME TO MY ATTENTION IN
- 3 THIS EAR AND THAT EAR.

4

- 5 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: MR. CHAIR, WE CONTINUED IT BECAUSE WE
- 6 THOUGHT THERE WERE STILL QUESTIONS. IF THE QUESTIONS ARE
- 7 RESOLVED, WE'D BE HAPPY FOR THE BOARD TO APPROVE IT TODAY.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WE NEED A MOTION TO RECONSIDER
- 10 ITEM 34.

11

12 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: SO MOVED, MR. CHAIR.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THERE WASN'T ANYONE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK
- 15 ON IT, IS THAT RIGHT, OTHER THAN THE DEPARTMENT? OKAY. MOVED
- 16 AND SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. MOTION TO
- 17 APPROVE.

18

19 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 34.

22

23 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: SO MOVED.

24

25 SUP. MOLINA: SECOND.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SECONDED. ANY OBJECTIONS? SO ORDERED.
- 3 OKAY. WE HAVE ITEM 32.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU FOR THAT CLARIFICATION.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ITEM 32. THAT'S A REPORT BY THE C.E.O.
- 8 AND DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS IN REGARDS TO AIR RESOURCES BOARD
- 9 AND DIESEL AND DO I HAVE ANYBODY SIGNED UP ON THAT?

10

- 11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE HAVE TOM TINDALL COMING FORWARD TO SPEAK ON
- 12 THAT. IT'S ACTUALLY AN ITEM THAT TOM WAS-- IS ACTUALLY
- 13 HANDLING AND READY TO SPEAK TO.

- 15 TOM TINDALL: GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. TOM TINDALL WITH THE
- 16 INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT. YOUR BOARD ASKED FOR A REPORT
- 17 BACK ON THE NEW REGULATIONS, THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD ADOPTED
- 18 RELATIVELY TO DIESEL-POWERED VEHICLES OPERATED IN THE STATE,
- 19 AND THE IMPACT THAT THE REGULATIONS HAVE ON THE COUNTY. WE
- 20 HAVE SUBMITTED A WRITTEN REPORT AND WHAT I'M GOING TO DO RIGHT
- 21 NOW IS SUMMARIZE THAT WRITTEN REPORT. ON DECEMBER 12TH OF
- 22 2008, THE A.R.B. ADOPTED TWO REGULATIONS TO REDUCE EMISSIONS
- 23 FROM HEAVY-DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS THAT OPERATE IN CALIFORNIA. THE
- 24 STATEWIDE TRUCK AND BUS RULE REQUIRES THAT TRUCK OWNERS
- 25 INSTALL DIESEL EXHAUST FILTERS ON THEIR TRUCKS OR, IN SOME



- 1 CASES, THEY MUST REPLACE THE ENGINES. THIS RULE APPLIES TO
- 2 PRIVATELY OWNED FLEETS. IT DOESN'T APPLY TO THE COUNTY
- 3 DIRECTLY. HOWEVER, THE COUNTY HAS BEEN OPERATING UNDER AN
- 4 A.R.B. RULE, A VERY SIMILAR A.R.B. RULE SINCE JANUARY 2007,
- 5 AND I'LL DISCUSS THAT IN A SECOND. ALSO ADOPTED ON DECEMBER
- 6 12TH WAS THE HEAVY-DUTY VEHICLE GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS
- 7 REDUCTION MEASURE, WHICH REOUIRES LONG HAUL TRUCKERS TO
- 8 INSTALL FUEL EFFICIENT TIRES AND AERODYNAMIC DEVICES ON THEIR
- 9 TRAILERS. THIS WILL LOWER GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND IMPROVE
- 10 FUEL ECONOMY. THIS DOESN'T APPLY TO THE COUNTY BECAUSE THE
- 11 COUNTY DOESN'T RUN A LONG HAUL FLEET, BUT BACK TO THE
- 12 REGULATION THAT DOES APPLY OR HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE COUNTY,
- 13 AND THAT WAS THE A.R.B. DIESEL REGULATION FOR PUBLIC FLEETS
- 14 WHICH BECAME EFFECTIVE IN JANUARY OF 2007. FOR IMPACTED
- 15 VEHICLES, IT'S REOUIRED US TO INSTALL SPECIAL FILTERS OR
- 16 REPOWER THE VEHICLE WITH AN ALTERNATIVE ENGINE OR SALVAGE THE
- 17 VEHICLE, AND IF WE SALVAGE THE VEHICLE, IT'S GOING TO BE
- 18 OPERATED AGAIN, IT NEEDS TO BE OPERATED OUT OF THE STATE. WE
- 19 ARE REOUIRED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THIS REGULATION BY 2011.
- 20 ARE THERE EXEMPTIONS TO THIS REGULATION, THEY APPLY TO LOW USE
- 21 VEHICLES, EMERGENCY VEHICLES AND MILITARY VEHICLES. OUR STATUS
- 22 IN COMPLIANCE WITH THIS REGULATION IS THAT WE OPERATE
- 23 APPROXIMATELY 700 DIESEL VEHICLES THAT WERE IMPACTED BY THIS
- 24 RULE. COUNTY DEPARTMENTS THAT OPERATE THESE VEHICLES INCLUDE
- 25 PUBLIC WORKS, FIRE, SHERIFF, HEALTH SERVICES, PARKS AND



- 1 RECREATION, BEACHES AND HARBORS, PROBATION, REGISTRAR-
- 2 RECORDER/AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER, CORONER, PUBLIC SOCIAL
- 3 SERVICES, MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY, PUBLIC LIBRARY, AND
- 4 INTERNAL SERVICES. OF THE APPROXIMATELY 700 IMPACTED VEHICLES,
- 5 355 VEHICLES HAVE ALREADY BEEN BROUGHT INTO COMPLIANCE, AND
- 6 THAT'S COST US APPROXIMATELY \$2 MILLION. IT WILL COST US
- 7 APPROXIMATELY \$4.9 MILLION TO BRING THE REST OF THE FLEET INTO
- 8 COMPLIANCE. THESE COSTS ARE BEING INCURRED IN THE CURRENT
- 9 FISCAL YEAR AND WILL BE INCURRED IN FISCAL YEARS 2009, 2010,
- 10 2010/11, AND WE ARE ON SCHEDULE TO MEET THE COMPLIANCE
- 11 REQUIREMENTS. THAT COMPLETES MY SUMMARY. DO YOU HAVE ANY
- 12 QUESTIONS? YES, SIR?

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: COUNTY FLEET VEHICLES THAT USE DIESEL FUEL
- 15 IMPACTED BY PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED AIR RESOURCES BOARD
- 16 REGULATIONS, SO WE HAVE-- WE'RE USING THOSE PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED
- 17 AIR BOARD REGULATIONS?

18

19 TOM TINDALL: YES, SIR.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BY WHAT YEAR MUST THE COUNTY COMPLY WITH
- 22 THOSE REGULATIONS?

- 24 TOM TINDALL: WE HAVE TO BE IN COMPLIANCE BY THE CLEAR YEAR
- 25 2011.

25

OBJECTIONS.

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HAVE YOU BUDGETED ADEQUATE FUNDS TO COMPLETE 2 THAT WORK FOR 2011? 3 4 5 TOM TINDALL: YES. WE HAVE, AS A PART OF THE NORMAL BUDGET, DEVELOPMENT AND APPROVAL PROCESS, BEEN BUDGETING THEM AND THAT 6 7 WILL CONTINUE. 8 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO WE'RE ON TARGET 10 11 TOM TINDALL: WE'RE ON TARGET, YES, SIR. 12 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'D MOVE THE MOTION, MR. CHAIRMAN. ACCEPT THE REPORT. 14 15 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU WANT TO DO WHAT? 17 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ACCEPT THE REPORT. 19 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OH. RECEIVE AND FILE? 21 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YEAH. 23 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MOVE TO RECEIVE AND FILE. WITH NO



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE A QUESTION OF
- 3 CLARIFICATION THAT I WANT TO ASK MR. FUJIOKA. RELATIVELY TO
- 4 ITEM 33 WHICH WE PASSED, PAGE 4 OF 10, THE LANGUAGE UNDER "B"
- 5 AS IN BOY, IS THAT INCLUDED IN THAT FINAL ACTION BY THE BOARD?

6

7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WHICH ONE? WHAT PAGE?

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: PAGE 4 OF 10, PROJECTS MAY INCLUDE NEW AND/OR
- 10 EXPANDED SCHOOL BASED HEALTH CLINICS THAT OFFER SERVICES TO
- 11 FAMILIES AND P.P.P.S PROVIDING SERVICES AT COUNTY DIRECTLY
- 12 OPERATED SITES.

13

- 14 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT SHOULD BE "YES" BECAUSE -- AM I ON? THE
- 15 RECOMMENDATIONS AS A WHOLE WERE ADOPTED WITH THE EXCEPTION OF
- 16 SOME OF THE FUNDING ALLOCATIONS.

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THIS IS INCLUDED. THANK YOU.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I THINK THAT TAKES CARE
- 21 OF THE AGENDA -- WELL, NO, WE HAVE S-1. WE HAVE S-1. WE'LL
- 22 HAVE STAFF REPORT AND THEN DR. CLAVREUL SIGNED UP TO SPEAK ON
- 23 S-1. BOY, SEE HOW QUICK I CAUGHT THAT? I GOT THE EVIL EYE FROM
- 24 SACHI.



- 1 CAROL MEYER: CAROL MEYER, INTERIM CHIEF NETWORK OFFICER. WE'VE
- 2 PROVIDED YOU WITH A DECEMBER TRENDING REPORT FOR THE
- 3 L.A.C./U.S.C. MEDICAL CENTER. THE AVERAGE DAILY CENSUS FOR ALL
- 4 OF THE BUDGETED BEDS, INCLUDING PSYCH, IS UP BY APPROXIMATELY
- 5 3 PERCENT FROM NOVEMBER, KEEPING IN MIND THAT DECEMBER IS
- 6 GENERALLY A LIGHT, LOW CENSUS MONTH FOR HOSPITALS IN GENERAL,
- 7 BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE BECAUSE OF THE MANY HOLIDAYS, SO THE
- 8 FACT THAT THERE WAS AN ACTUAL INCREASE IN CENSUS AT
- 9 L.A.C./U.S.C. MEDICAL CENTER IS SIGNIFICANT AND ALL OF THIS
- 10 DEMONSTRATES THAT WE ARE REALLY ON CONTINUAL GROWTH AND CENSUS
- 11 TO RESUME TO THE NORMAL CONDITIONS PRIOR TO THE MOVE.
- 12 DECEMBER'S OVERALL OCCUPANCY WAS 78 PERCENT, OR ABOUT A 76
- 13 PERCENT UTILIZATION, WHICH IS PRETTY MUCH HOSPITAL INDUSTRY
- 14 WITH RESPECT TO THE MEDICAL SURGICAL BEDS, WE ARE AT 94
- 15 PERCENT, WITH ABOUT TWO PARENTS A DAY BEING TRANSFERRED TO
- 16 RANCHO. THE E.D. BOARDING TIME FOR ADMISSION IS AVERAGING LESS
- 17 THAN FIVE HOURS WITH A TARGET OF LESS THAN 7, SO DOING AN
- 18 EXCELLENT JOB THERE. E.D. WAITING TIME AVERAGING 10 HOURS WITH
- 19 THE LEFT WITHOUT BEING SEEN BEING DECREASED BY 2 PERCENT FROM
- 20 NOVEMBER. DIVERSION TIME CONTINUES TO DECREASE SPECIFICALLY 10
- 21 PERCENT DECREASE AS COMPARED TO NOVEMBER, AND AS YOU RECALL AT
- 22 THE LAST MEETING WE HAD SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT DIVERSION, AND
- 23 ALTHOUGH NOT READILY AVAILABLE, WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GO INTO
- 24 OUR BASE HOSPITAL LOGS AND WE DETERMINED THAT, ON AVERAGE, ONE
- 25 PATIENT PER DAY IS DIVERTED FROM THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AT



- 1 L.A.C./U.S.C. MEDICAL CENTER AND TWO PATIENTS PER DAY DIVERTED
- 2 FROM HARBOR-U.C.L.A., WHICH REFLECTS THE FACT THAT IN MOST
- 3 CASES, WHEN A HOSPITAL REQUESTS DIVERSION, THEY OFTENTIMES
- 4 STILL GET THE PATIENTS, PARTICULARLY OUR COUNTY HOSPITALS,
- 5 BECAUSE OF THEIR GEOGRAPHICS. I THINK THAT BEYOND THAT, I
- 6 COULD JUST ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS. WE HAVE SOME ADDITIONAL
- 7 THINGS WE'RE GOING TO PROVIDE TO YOU AT THE NEXT MEETING
- 8 RELATED TO THE M.S.O.A. AND TO A.C.G.M.E., BUT I CAN ANSWER
- 9 ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YES. QUESTIONS?

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: FIRST OF ALL, THE GLARING QUESTION HERE IS, WHERE
- 14 DID ALL THE CHILDREN GO? THERE IS SOMETHING THAT MAKES NO
- 15 SENSE TO ME, NO MATTER WHICH WAY, AND WE NEED TO FIGURE THAT
- 16 OUT. I WOULD LIKE YOU TO START LOOKING INTO IT. I'VE ASKED MY
- 17 STAFF TO LOOK INTO IT, BUT, YOU KNOW, CHILDREN DIDN'T GET LESS
- 18 SICK IN DECEMBER AND JANUARY. THERE'S SOMETHING HERE. I AM
- 19 TOLD THAT PEOPLE GO TO WOMEN AND CHILDREN'S AND SAY IT'S
- 20 CLOSED SO THAT THE COUNTY MAY NOT BE PROVIDING SERVICES TO
- 21 CHILDREN. I DON'T BUY ANY OF THAT, BECAUSE IT IS CLEARLY, WE
- 22 CUT THE BEDS SO DRAMATICALLY AND NOW WE HAVE THESE BEDS AND
- 23 THEY'RE NOT BEING FULLY UTILIZED OR OCCUPIED, ONE OR THE
- 24 OTHER, AND SO THERE'S SOMETHING, AND SO I HOPE SOMEWHERE IN
- 25 THERE YOU CAN HELP ME UNDERSTAND WHERE THE CHILDREN WENT.



- 1 SECOND OF ALL, THE ISSUE OF THE MED SURG BEDS AT CAPACITY
- 2 CONCERNS ME AND IT SHOULD CONCERN YOU AS WELL. THEY ARE BEYOND
- 3 CAPACITY, AS I LOOK AT IT, AND THE REASON THEY'RE BEYOND
- 4 CAPACITY IS BECAUSE WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE NUMBERS THAT YOU GIVE
- 5 US, RIGHT NOW WE ONLY HAVE 299 MED SURG BEDS. THAT'S IT. SO
- 6 ANY TIME YOU HAVE 310 PATIENTS IN MED SURG, 314 PATIENTS IN
- 7 MED SURG, YOU'RE OVER CAPACITY, SO YOU'RE NOT AT CAPACITY. IF
- 8 YOU LOOK AT THE AVERAGE, EVEN THE AVERAGE TAKES YOU OVER, BUT
- 9 WHEN YOU ARE LOOKING AT USING RANCHO AS YOUR LEVELER, YOU
- 10 KNOW, AND AGAIN, I'M GLAD THAT RANCHO IS THERE AND I KNOW
- 11 THERE WAS AN ARGUMENT INITIALLY ABOUT NOT UTILIZING IT, BUT,
- 12 AGAIN, THIS-- I MEAN, IF THIS IS WHERE WE'RE GOING, THIS IS
- 13 WHERE WE'RE GOING, WE NEED TO PREPARE OURSELVES IN OUR NEXT
- 14 BUDGET THAT THAT'S WHERE WE'RE GOING, BUT THE WAY IT-- OR WHAT
- 15 WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING, BECAUSE WE DO HAVE UNDER CAPACITY
- 16 STILL IN OUR SPECIALTY BEDS, AND WE HAVE OVER CAPACITY, AND SO
- 17 I DON'T KNOW HOW ADJUSTMENT WILL WORK OR IF IT WILL WORK AT
- 18 ALL, BUT IT WILL WORK CLEARLY WITH THESE NUMBERS THAT ARE
- 19 HERE. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 17 DAYS OVER CAPACITY. NOW THE ONLY
- 20 WAY YOU FOUND BALANCE IS BECAUSE YOU COULD TRANSFER PATIENTS
- 21 TO RANCHO, SO, AGAIN, THAT WASN'T THE INTENT WHEN WE BUILT
- 22 THIS HOSPITAL. IT WAS THE INTENT THAT, YOU KNOW, YOU WILL
- 23 BUILD IT AND IT WILL MEET THE NEED. WELL OBVIOUSLY IT IS NOT
- 24 MEETING THE NEED, BUT THAT DOESN'T SAY THERE AREN'T EMPTY
- 25 BEDS. THERE ARE EMPTY BEDS. IT'S JUST THAT HOW WE CONFIGURED



- 1 IT. SO I KNOW THAT ISN'T ANYTHING YOU CAN RESOLVE NOW AND
- 2 THERE'S NOT AN ANSWER YOU CAN GIVE ME WITH REGARD TO IT, BUT I
- 3 DO THINK WE NEED TO BE DOING SOME FORECASTING AS WHAT OUR
- 4 FUTURE LOOKS LIKE, AND IF WE'RE GOING TO BE UTILIZING RANCHO,
- 5 WHICH I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH, WE HAVE TO UNDERSTAND THAT,
- 6 YOU KNOW, BECAUSE IT'S FOR SALE, AS I UNDERSTAND. SO--
- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.
- 10 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S THE ONLY THING I HAVE TO SAY ABOUT THAT.
- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I'M GLAD YOU DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH
- 13 RANCHO.

7

9

11

14

16

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH IT.
- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, ANYTHING ELSE FOR STAFF? IF NOT,
- 18 THANK YOU. RECEIVE AND FILE. IS THAT CORRECT? THERE'S NO REAL
- 19 ACTION THERE? ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT-- NO, I'M
- 20 SORRY, DR. CLAVREUL, AND THAT'S ONE. YOU STILL WANT TO
- 21 TESTIFY?
- 23 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 24 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. I THINK ONE THING YOU COULD BE DOING ABOUT
- 25 U.S.C. IS ADVERTISING AT MARKET _____ APPLICATION AND I



- 1 THINK THAT WOULD BE AN IMPORTANT THING TO DO. IF PEOPLE DON'T
- 2 KNOW WHERE IT IS, THEY'RE NOT GOING TO COME, AND APPARENTLY
- 3 THAT'S NOT AN ISSUE.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: THEY'VE BEEN GOING THERE FOR DECADES.

6

- 7 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I KNOW, BUT, YOU KNOW, SOMETIMES
- 8 PEOPLE FORGET AND YOU HAVE TO REINFORCE THINGS.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: THEY FORGET WHERE THEIR HOSPITAL IS?

- 12 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: ANYWAY, I DID WRITE AN ARTICLE ABOUT
- 13 THE OPENING OF U.S.C. AND I BROUGHT YOU A COPY SO YOU CAN
- 14 ENJOY IT. I HOPE THAT _____. ALSO I'M VERY CONCERNED THE
- 15 WAY THE STATISTICS WERE REPRESENTED TODAY. CONTRARY TO WHAT
- 16 WAS PRESENTED TODAY, 600 THAT GOOD, AND YOU CAN ALWAYS
- 17 MANIPULATE, YOU KNOW, STATISTICS, AND THEY DID THIS MORNING. I
- 18 AM PICKY AND I REALLY LOOK AT THE FACTS. ON THE MEDIAN
- 19 BOARDING TIME, THE TIME THE PATIENT IS IN THE E.R. HAS
- 20 INCREASED AND IS INCREASING EVERY MONTH INSTEAD OF DECREASING.
- 21 EMERGENCY WAITING TIME HAS INCREASED INSTEAD OF DECREASING.
- 22 AND ON-- THERE WAS ANOTHER ITEM. LEFT WITHOUT BEING SEEN. IT
- 23 WAS AN INCREASE OF 2 PERCENT. YES, IT MIGHT BE AN INCREASE OF
- 24 2 PERCENT, BUT THE TOTAL INCREASE, AN INCREASE OF PEOPLE
- 25 LEAVING WITHOUT BEING SEEN WHEN YOU TRULY LOOK AT THE



- 1 STATISTIC. SO I THINK, YOU KNOW, I THINK YOU ARE NOT GETTING
- 2 THE FACTS, AS USUAL, AND I THINK YOU SHOULD DEMAND FOR THE
- 3 FACTS. I AM ONE WHO BELIEVES IN FACTS.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I THINK WE BELIEVE IN FACTS.

6

- 7 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: OKAY. ALSO, TODAY, WHEN YOU BROUGHT
- 8 BACK ITEM 34, YOU COULD NOT BRING IT BACK BECAUSE YOU HAD TO
- 9 REMOVE IT FROM THE AGENDA AND YOU BROUGHT IT BACK AND VOTED ON
- 10 IT. JUST FOR YOUR INFORMATION. THIS VOTE IS NOT LEGAL. I WAS
- 11 GOING TO SPEAK TO 34 BUT IT WAS REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA AND
- 12 YOU TOTALLY REMOVED IT.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I ASKED IF ANYONE HAD SIGNED UP FOR 34.

15

- 16 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I HAD SIGNED UP, BUT THEY TOLD ME IT
- 17 WASN'T BEING MOVED, SO SINCE I WAS SPEAKING TO TWO ITEMS--

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SPEAK TO ITEM 34.

20

- 21 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: THE POINT IS, IT'S NOT A NICE WAY TO
- 22 DO BUSINESS. IF CHANGE YOUR MIND, THEN PUT IT ON AN ITEM. THAT
- 23 ITEM IS NOT IN IT.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND THAT. I UNDERSTAND WHAT
- 2 YOU'RE SAYING, BUT I ASKED IF ANYONE HAD SIGNED UP BECAUSE I
- 3 REALIZED THAT THAT'S PART OF IT, SO I APOLOGIZE.

4

- 5 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I WAS GOING TO SIGN UP FOR IT, BUT
- 6 THEY TOLD ME IT WAS BEING MOVED.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL, YOU HEARD ME. WHY DIDN'T YOU RAISE
- 9 YOUR HAND? I WOULD HAVE CALLED YOU UP.

10

11 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: BECAUSE IT WAS OUT OF CONTEXT.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT'S THE PERSONAL TOUCH THAT COUNTS. RIGHT?

14

- 15 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: PERSONALLY, I DON'T LIKE TO SPEAK TO
- 16 MORE THAN ONE OR TWO ITEMS, AND TODAY SINCE IT WAS POSTPONED,
- 17 I DIDN'T SPEAK TO IT, BUT FOR YOUR INFORMATION, IT WAS NOT A
- 18 HELD ITEM, AND TECHNICALLY YOU CANNOT BRING IT BACK.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE CAN ALWAYS RECONSIDER A CONTINUED
- 21 ITEM.

22

23 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: MMM?



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ABSOLUTELY, WE CAN ALWAYS RECONSIDER A
- 2 CONTINUED ITEM, BUT THAT'S WHY I ASKED IF ANYONE HAD SIGNED UP
- 3 AS WELL, BECAUSE IF NOT, I WOULDN'T HAVE ALLOWED IT TO BE
- 4 RECONSIDERED. THANK YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO WE HAVE A MAN IN ANTELOPE VALLEY WAITING
- 7 TO SPEAK?

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO. HE LEFT. HE'S DRIVING DOWN HERE. [.
- 10 LAUGHTER.]

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: HE DID LEAVE. WE WERE NOTIFIED HE LEFT.
- 13 WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENTS. WALTER BECKTEL, REGINALD BRASS, JOHN
- 14 FLORES, THERE IS A CRUZ LAND SOMETHING AND REGINALD BRASS IS,
- 15 I DON'T KNOW-- IS REGINALD BRASS THE PERSON YOU'RE TALKING
- 16 ABOUT? WELL, HOW CAN-- ALL RIGHT. PUBLIC COMMENTS. REGINALD,
- 17 YOU CAN SPEAK UNDER ONE. OKAY? ALL RIGHT. MR. BECKTEL, SIR.

- 19 WALTER BECKTEL: HELLO. OKAY. I GOT A COUPLE LETTERS YOU TOLD
- 20 ME ABOUT WITH THE THING I WAS TRYING TO TELL YOU ABOUT A FEW
- 21 WEEKS AGO. YOU TOLD ME TO TALK TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND
- 22 THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY TOLD ME TO TALK TO THE POLICE
- 23 DEPARTMENT. OKAY. I DON'T THINK I MADE IT CLEAR. I HAVE
- 24 ALREADY TALKED TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. I STOOD BEFORE THE
- 25 POLICE COMMISSION TWO YEARS AGO, ABOUT THREE OR FOUR TIMES, I



- 1 WAS TOLD TO TALK TO A DETECTIVE DAWSON. HE WAS SUPPOSED TO GET
- 2 BACK TO ME. I TALKED TO HIM BRIEFLY, HE SAID HE WAS GOING TO
- 3 GET BACK TO ME. THAT WAS TWO YEARS AGO. THE REASON I CAME IN
- 4 HERE IS BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT DOING WHAT THEY'RE SAYING THEY'RE
- 5 GOING TO DO. THE MUNICIPALITY IS A PART OF THE COUNTY. THE
- 6 ONLY THING I HAVE ON THE CHAIN OF HIERARCHY IS IF THE CITY
- 7 DOESN'T WANT TO DO WHAT IT'S SUPPOSED, WHAT IT'S SAYING IT'S
- 8 GOING TO DO, THEN I HAVE TO SAY SOMETHING IN THE CHAIN, OR
- 9 ABOVE THAT, AND THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING, SAYING THAT THERE'S
- 10 SOMETHING WRONG WITH THE CITY, THEY DON'T WANT TO ENFORCE THE
- 11 LAW AND THEY DON'T WANT TO -- THEY DON'T WANT TO TAKE A REPORT,
- 12 OR THEY ALREADY TOOK THE REPORT, OR THEY TOOK THE REPORT AND
- 13 THEY DON'T WANT TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT I TALKED TO THEM
- 14 ABOUT. SO, AGAIN, THIS HAS TO DO WITH EAVESDROPPING,
- 15 WIRETAPPING, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY THEFT, AND--

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: FIRST OF ALL, WE'RE NOT --

18

- 19 WALTER BECKTEL: I WAS TRYING TO RELATE TO THEM. RELATING
- 20 INFORMATION, I NEEDED EX REI PROSECUTION. I NEEDED SOMEBODY TO
- 21 START EX REI PROSECUTION, AND THAT'S THE POINT. NOBODY WANTS
- 22 TO TALK TO ME ABOUT IT, I CAN'T GET STARTED. WHAT AM I GOING
- 23 TO DO? I WENT TO THEM.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO CONTINUE TO GO
- 2 TO THEM UNTIL THE CITY DEALS WITH YOUR ISSUE. THE COUNTY IS
- 3 NOT IN A POSITION TO DO ANYTHING. I MEAN, UNTIL THE POLICE
- 4 OPERATE ON YOUR BEHALF WITH THE D.A., AS I UNDERSTAND IT,
- 5 THERE'S NOT MUCH WE CAN DO AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT.

6

- 7 WALTER BECKTEL: I WAS TOLD BY THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
- 8 GENERAL THAT I NEEDED TO TALK TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY. I GOT
- 9 A LETTER, I DIDN'T BRING IT WITH ME RIGHT NOW, BUT IT SAYS
- 10 YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO TALK TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY IS NOT GOING TO
- 13 TALK TO YOU UNTIL YOU HAVE A POLICE REPORT THAT'S BEEN FILED,
- 14 AND IF THE POLICE REPORT SHOULD BE IN THE HANDS OF DISTRICT
- 15 ATTORNEY, THEN YOU SHOULD TALK TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, BUT
- 16 UNTIL THAT POINT, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S NOT GOING TO TALK TO
- 17 YOU. SO I THINK IF YOU GO BACK TO THE BEGINNING OF THIS, YOUR
- 18 REAL ISSUE IS WITH THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, AND THEN PUTTING
- 19 IN A REPORT IN THE HANDS OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, SO THE D.A.
- 20 HAS SOME POINT OF REFERENCE TO TALK TO YOU.

21

- 22 WALTER BECKTEL: THE PERSON I TALKED TO WAS NAMED LIEUTENANT
- 23 DAWSON.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE DON'T OVERSEE L.A.P.D.. THE SHERIFF'S
- 2 WOULD BE A DIFFERENT STORY. YOUR ISSUE IS WITH L.A.P.D., AND
- 3 THAT'S ALL I CAN SAY. I APOLOGIZE.

4

- 5 SPEAKER: THE ONLY THING I CAN DO IS JUST, SO MY CONSTITUENCY,
- 6 YOU GUYS DON'T WANT TO DO ANYTHING. THAT CAUSES SOME FINANCIAL
- 7 PROBLEMS. NOT JUST WITH ME. THIS IS FOR YOUR BENEFIT.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND. OKAY. NEXT.

- 11 REGINALD BRASS: YES. MY NAME IS REGINALD BRASS, THE HONORABLE
- 12 DON KNABE. LAST TIME I WAS HERE WAS MAYBE TWO YEARS AGO. I RUN
- 13 AN ORGANIZATION CALLED MY CHILD SAYS DADDY, AND THESE TWO
- 14 GENTLEMEN THAT WERE HERE, WE ARE HAVING SOME PROBLEMS IN
- 15 DEALING WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICE,
- 16 FOR ONE, JOHN FLORES HAS COME TO ME ABOUT A SITUATION WITH THE
- 17 DEPARTMENT. WE WERE SEEKING SOME TIME WITH THIS CHILD, THIS
- 18 CHILD HAS BEEN IN THE SYSTEM FOR YEARS. I JUST RECENTLY GOT
- 19 THE CASE AND WE HAVE MADE SOME PHONE CALLS TO THE DEPARTMENT
- 20 OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES TO FIND OUT THAT THE CASE WAS
- 21 CLOSED, WHICH GAVE US A CHANCE TO GO TO FAMILY COURT. ONCE WE
- 22 MADE THE CALL, WE FIND OUT NOW THAT THE CASE IS NOW BEING
- 23 REOPENED, AND FROM THE ATTORNEY THAT THEY HAVE GIVEN HIM, THE
- 24 ATTORNEY THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE WORKING FOR HIM TELLS HIM
- 25 THAT IT'S GOING TO BE HARD FOR HIM TO GET HIS CHILD BACK. THE



- 1 PROBLEM IS IS THAT WHEN YOU'RE DEALING WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 2 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES AND WHERE THE CHILD IS LOCATED,
- 3 THE PARENTS ARE NOT ALLOWING HIM ACCESS TO HIS OWN CHILD, AND
- 4 THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES IS SAYING,
- 5 WELL, YOU NEED TO MAKE SOME ACCESS TO SEE YOUR CHILD, BUT YET
- 6 THERE'S NO COURT ORDER, SO THEREFORE HE'S UNDER A CATCH-22 IN
- 7 TRYING TO SEE HIS CHILD, BUT YET THERE'S NO COURT ORDER, BUT
- 8 YET HE'S BEING DENIED ACCESS TO HIS CHILD. HE HAS SOME ILLNESS
- 9 THAT HE HAD TO DEAL WITH, HE WAS DEALING WITH CANCER WITHIN
- 10 HIS OWN SELF, AND YET STILL NOT GETTING A GOOD FAMILY PLAN TO
- 11 BE THERE FOR HIS CHILD. WE ARE HERE TO SEEK SOME HELP FROM THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICE ON A CASE PLAN OR
- 13 WHAT IS IT THAT FURTHERMORE THAT HE NEEDS TO DO IN ORDER FOR
- 14 HIM TO BE-- HAVE ACCESS TO HIS OWN CHILD. WE HAVE A PERFECTLY
- 15 GOOD FATHER HERE THAT'S WILLING AND ABLE, BUT YET THEY'RE
- 16 READY TO ADOPT HIS CHILD OUT AND NOT EVEN GIVE HIM A CHANCE TO
- 17 SEE HIS CHILD. ON THE OTHER HAND I HAVE HERE, MR. CRUZ, WHERE
- 18 HE HAS SOME JOINT LEGAL PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF HIS OWN CHILD, BUT
- 19 AGAIN, ACCUSATIONS WERE MADE, NO COURT DATE, JUST THE
- 20 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICE SAYING THAT HE CAN'T
- 21 SEE HIS CHILD, AND EVEN COME TO THE POINT WHERE THE CASE
- 22 WORKER SAID TO THE MOTHER, "IF YOU GIVE THE CHILD, WE'LL TAKE
- 23 THE KIDS AWAY FROM YOU." THERE WAS A WEEKEND THAT WENT BY
- 24 WHERE THE MOTHER ALLOWED HIM TO HAVE HIS OWN KIDS, BUT YET
- 25 NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE. THEY'RE ASKING ME FOR INFORMATION,



- 1 THEY'RE ASKING ME FOR DRUG TESTS, BUT YET NEITHER ONE OF MY
- 2 CLIENTS HAVE BEEN ARRESTED, NOTHING HAS BEEN PROVEN AGAINST--
- 3 ON THEM, BUT THEY HAVE NO ACCESS TO THEIR OWN CHILDREN. THE
- 4 SYSTEM IS SAYING, WE WANT FATHERS TO STEP UP, BUT YET NO ONE
- 5 GIVES US THE ROAD MAP IN ORDER TO BE A PART OF THE CHILDREN'S
- 6 LIVES.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: FIRST OF ALL, BEING AN ATTORNEY, YOU
- 9 KNOW THAT THIS BODY HERE IS NOT THE COURTS AND CANNOT
- 10 NECESSARILY DEAL WITH THAT PARTICULAR ISSUE. WE DO HAVE
- 11 SOMEONE THAT'S WILLING TO TALK TO YOU FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 12 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICE, IF YOU'D JUST GO VISIT WITH THEM,
- 13 WE'D APPRECIATE IT.

14

15 **REGINALD BRASS:** OKAY. THANK YOU.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: RICHARD ROBINSON AND ARNOLD SACHS. IS UP

18

- 19 ARNOLD SACHS: GOOD AFTERNOON, COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 20 THANK YOU. I KNOW THIS IS GOING TO BE HARD TO BELIEVE, BUT ON
- 21 MY M.T.A. ROUND-UP THIS AFTERNOON, I'D LIKE TO CONGRATULATE
- 22 THE BOARD MEMBERS FOR THEIR--

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: COULD YOU SPEAK LOUDER?



- 1 ARNOLD SACHS: I'D LIKE TO CONGRATULATE THE BOARD MEMBERS FOR
- 2 THEIR COMMENTARY AT THIS PAST M.T.A. BOARD MEETING REGARDING A
- 3 PROJECT WITH THE STATE WHERE THE STATE WAS-- CALTRANS WAS
- 4 LOOKING FOR \$17 MILLION FOR THE EL MONTE BUS WAY AND THERE WAS
- 5 QUESTIONS RAISED REGARDING HOW IT WOULD BE PAID BACK AND IF IT
- 6 WOULD BE PAID BACK WITH INTEREST, BUT BEFORE YOU GET TOO BIG
- 7 HEADED AND START PATTING YOURSELVES ON THE BACK.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO, NO. NO, NO. WE'RE NOT THERE.

- 11 ARNOLD SACHS: LET'S NOT FORGET THAT THE M.T.A. ITSELF IS ONE
- 12 OF THE MOST UNORGANIZED COMMITTEE, GROUPS THAT MEETS IN THE
- 13 STATE OF CALIFORNIA. AMONGST ONE OF THE ITEMS THAT HAVE
- 14 APPROVED WAS FUNDING, AGAIN, AND JUST AS AN EXAMPLE, FOR THE
- 15 DOWNTOWN CONNECTOR TO GO FORWARD WITH SCOPING AND SOME
- 16 RESEARCH. THE DOWNTOWN CONNECTOR, ANOTHER NAME FOR THE
- 17 ORIGINAL BLUE LINE, WHICH SHOULD HAVE BEEN BUILT FROM LONG
- 18 BEACH TO UNION STATION. WHY IT WAS NOT, NEVER ANSWERED. MAYBE
- 19 RAN OUT OF MONEY. WHY THE RED LINE, HEAVY RAIL CONSTRUCTION
- 20 WAS BUILT IN PLACE OF A LIGHT RAIL CONSTRUCTION IS A QUESTION
- 21 THAT'S GONE UNANSWERED. BUT THE FACT IS, IS THAT THAT'S
- 22 CHANGED THE WHOLE AXIS OF THE UNDERGROUNDING TRANSIT SYSTEM IN
- 23 L.A.. I'D ALSO LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT IN THE \$17 MILLION THAT
- 24 WAS BEING DISCUSSED FOR THE -- FOR THE TRANSIT WAY, THE TERM OF
- 25 INTEREST TO BE PAID ON THAT \$17 MILLION CAME UP, AND JUST FOR



- 1 CLARIFICATION, BECAUSE IT WASN'T DISCUSSED AT THE BOARD
- 2 MEETING, WHAT WOULD THE INTEREST BE USED FOR? AT A PREVIOUS
- 3 BOARD MEETING REGARDING A FLIER FOR MEASURE "R," IT WAS
- 4 DISCUSSION REGARDING HOW THE FLIER WAS PAID FOR, WHAT WAS
- 5 USED-- WHERE DID THE FUNDS COME FROM. DISCUSSION REGARDING
- 6 WHETHER IT WAS PROP "A" FUNDING, WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN
- 7 ILLEGAL, OR SOME OTHER FUNDS, IT WAS DISCUSSED AND DISCOVERED
- 8 THAT INTEREST FROM MONEY THAT THE COUNTY HAD GIVEN-- M.T.A.
- 9 HAD GIVEN THE STATE WAS USED TO PAY FOR THE FLIER. WELL, IF
- 10 THAT'S THE CASE, LET'S SEE THIS INTEREST PAID BACK TO THE
- 11 COUNTY'S M.T.A. BOARD AND USED FOR MORE FLIERS. THANK YOU VERY
- 12 MUCH FOR YOUR TIME, YOUR ANSWERS, AND YOUR ATTENTION.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I AGREE WITH THAT TOTALLY. ARE YOU
- 15 SHOCKED, TOO, THAT I AGREE WITH YOU? NOW LET ME GIVE YOU A
- 16 QUICK ANSWER, JUST FROM A HISTORICAL. THE REASON THE LAST LEG
- 17 OF THE BLUE LINE DOESN'T GO TO UNION STATION AND THE LAST LINE
- 18 OF THE GREEN LINE DOESN'T GO TO L.A.X. BECAUSE THOSE DOLLARS
- 19 WERE TRADED FOR THE RED LINE. END OF CONVERSATION. THERE WERE
- 20 THE VOTES THEN. THE VOTES WERE THERE. IT WAS A VERY SPLIT VOTE
- 21 ON THE L.A.C.T.C. WELCOME BACK, MR. ROBINSON.

22

23 RICHARD ROBINSON: THANK YOU, SIR. MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS.

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MR., MR. CHAIRMAN.



- 2 RICHARD ROBINSON: I DIDN'T SAY MRS.. JUST THE FACTS HERE, MR.
- 3 KNABE. THIS MAY SOUND LIKE A HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION MADE TO
- 4 MAKE ME SOUND LIKE-- MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS, I'M MAKING
- 5 IMPROVEMENTS IN PROTECTING THE CHRISTIAN SCIENCE CHURCH ACROSS
- 6 THE STREET FROM MY RESIDENCE AT HOLLYWOOD AND LA BREA. WE VERY
- 7 EFFECTIVELY PREVENTED L. RON HUBBARD FROM TAKING THAT CHURCH.
- 8 PARDON ME. I'D LIKE TO SPEAK GENERALLY ABOUT THE TAX IN
- 9 UGANDA, RECENTLY THAT CHRISTIANS BEING HEARD IN UGANDA,
- 10 NIGERIA, LEBANON, CHRISTIANS ARE BEING MURDERED DAILY BY-- AT
- 11 HOME HERE, THOUGH, THIS IS WHAT REALLY I CAME HERE TO SAY. YOU
- 12 KNOW, DR. SCHULLER UP IN THE CRYSTAL CATHEDRAL, HE WAS ON A
- 13 PLANE. SOME GUY, I'M NOT GOING TO SAY IT'S A PLOT, BUT THAT
- 14 THEY KNEW THAT DR. SCHULLER WAS GOING TO GET ANGRY, BUT A KID
- 15 KICKS DR. SCHULLER'S VESTMENTS UNDER-- INTO A CUBBY HOLE. DR.
- 16 SCHULLER CHASTISES THE KID AND THE CHURCH IS NEARLY DESTROYED
- 17 WORLDWIDE, BUT HERE'S ANOTHER, REVEREND JOEL OSTEEN'S WIFE IS
- 18 ON A PLANE. SOME PERSON TRIES TO SIT HER ON A KETCHUP STAIN.
- 19 WELL, WE KNOW WHAT HAPPENED, AND SOON WHAT ENSUED WAS A VERY
- 20 EMBARRASSING TRIAL FOR THE LARGEST CHURCH IN THE UNITED STATES
- 21 OF AMERICA. NOW, THESE SEEMINGLY INNOCUOUS INCIDENTS HAVE AN
- 22 ACCUMULATIVE EFFECT. I'M SIMPLY HERE SAYING THAT CHRISTIANITY
- 23 IS UNDER ATTACK. GLOBALLY AND AT HOME. SO ST. MATTHEW 6:34
- 24 SAYS DON'T WORRY ABOUT TOMORROW, BECAUSE TOMORROW WILL WORRY
- 25 UNTO ITSELF. SUFFICIENT UNTO THIS DAY IS THE EVIL THEREOF. SO



2	ETERNITY	HAS N	O BEGINNING,	NOR	SHALL	IT	EVER	END.	MY	BOSS	IS
3	GOING TO	WIN.	THANK YOU.								

WE SAY WHAT? WE SAY ONE DAY AT A TIME. GOD IS IN CHARGE.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. WELCOME BACK. ALL RIGHT.

6 WOULD YOU READ US INTO CLOSED SESSION, PLEASE?

7

- 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS,
- 9 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL
- 10 CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM C.S.- 1, C.S.-2, AND
- 11 C.S-3, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING
- 12 LITIGATION AND ITEM NUMBER C.S.-4, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL
- 13 COUNSEL REGARDING LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING INITIATION OF
- 14 LITIGATION, ONE CASE, AND C.S.-5, INTERVIEW AND CONSIDERATION
- 15 OF CANDIDATES FOR THE POSITION OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER AND
- 16 CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR MICHAEL J. HENRY, DIRECTOR OF
- 17 PERSONNEL AS INDICATED ON THE POST AND SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA.
- 18 THANK YOU.

19

20

21

22

23

24



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
3	California, do hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the
5	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors January 27, 2009
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as
9	archived in the office of the reporter and which
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of
11	Supervisors as certified by me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
16	30th day of January 2009 for the County records to be used
17	only for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22	
23	